To: CN=Jason Brush/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Melissa Scianni/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Laura Fujii/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Melissa Scianni/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Laura Fujii/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Laura Fujii/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: []
Bcc: []
From: CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Wed 2/17/2010 4:26:14 PM
Subject: BDCP -- Coordination on Purpose/Need
http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html
http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/organizations/cespk-co/regulatory/index.html
mailto:Hagler.Tom@epamail.epa.gov

Hi Jason, Melissa, and Laura,

Karen has asked me to sit in on a BDCP meeting where we'll be discussing P & N. The last version I saw of it, Michael Nepsted was proposing an appropriate 404 purpose statement (short with few modifiers). However, MN said he is receiving requests from DWR via BOR to make the purpose statement more specific to the alternative they'd ultimately like to gain CWA and ESA permits to build.

Melissa and Jason, should I have MN include Melissa on the meeting-schedule correspondence with the thought that Melissa could participate by phone or come in person? I can also field the purpose meetings and coordinate with Melissa afterward in the interest of optimizing staff resources. I know everyone is very busy and one could spend their entire federal career attending BDCP meetings! Similar to the NEPA/404 process, it will probably take some time to agree on a purpose statement. Let me know what works best for you.

Laura, are you covering BDCP? Will you be participating as well?

Let me know your preferences and I'll email MN with a request to include folks on the meeting coordination.

Hope you are all well!
Erin
****
Erin Foresman
US EPA Region 9
1325 J Street, 14th floor
C/O Army Corps of Engineers
Sacramento, CA 95814-2922
(916) 557 6843

-----Forwarded by Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US on 02/17/2010 08:09AM -----

To: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
From: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US
Date: 02/16/2010 09:57PM
Subject: Fw: Coordination on Purpose/Need

I'd like you to attend this mtg that Mike Nepstad will organize. Tom should attend as well. Maybe Melissa can tie in by phone, or you can fill her in.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
KAREN SCHWINN
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Associate Director
Water Division
U.S. EPA Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street (Wtr-1)
San Francisco, CA 94105
415/972-3472
415/947-3537 (fax)
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

----- Forwarded by Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US on 02/16/2010 09:49 PM -----

From: "Jewell, Michael S SPK" <Michael.S.Jewell@usace.army.mil>
To: Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, "Nepstad, Michael G SPK" <Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil>, "Nawi, David" <David_Nawi@ios.doi.gov>
Date: 02/16/2010 01:57 PM
Subject: Coordination on Purpose/Need

Thanks, Tom. Yes, the fed family needs to nail down the purpose statement for NEPA purposes... Or at least identify where we are not in sync and work to resolve.

You weren't on the call this morning but we decided to have Mike N, my deputy, take the lead on organizing a focused meeting on purpose. This should really be the responsibility of the lead agency(s) but we're stepping up since we have a (404) dog in the show. Our idea is to have 1-3 reps (one of which is counsel) from each agency attend and literally work the language of the purpose statement.

Michael S Jewell
Chief, Regulatory Division
US Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District
1325 J Street, Room 1480
Sacramento, California 95814
O:(916) 557-6605 F:(916) 557-6877
michael.s.jewell@usace.army.mil

Want to let us know how we're doing?
http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html

Need information on the Regulatory Program?
http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/organizations/cespk-co/regulatory/index.html

-----Original Message-----
From: Hagler.Tom@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Hagler.Tom@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 8:37 PM
To: Jewell, Michael S SPK
Cc: schwinn.karen@epa.gov

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00074636-00002
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Subject: RE: Coordination

Mike -

I think it would be useful for you and Karen, probably with staff, to discuss this and come up with a common position on both P+N and alternatives. It will get thorny very quickly.

I did sit in on the collective meeting with DWR and Marc Ebbin on the P+N, and have raised a number of my own questions. I can fill you in on all of that.

But I am actually not a 404 guy, but we're bringing in Erin, who has done it for a while, and Karen may augment that. But that's up to her to discuss with you.

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00074636-00003