To: CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Melissa Scianni/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Kathleen Goforth/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Laura Fujii/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Melissa Scianni/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Kathleen Goforth/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Laura Fujii/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Kathleen Goforth/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Laura Fujii/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Laura Fujii/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
From: CN=Jason Brush/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Sat 3/19/2011 2:48:41 AM
Subject: Re: BDCP

Sorry - sent before I finished the thought! Just meant that if we pursue one, the ERO trans team will have much to offer on lessons learned, and that DWR should not necessarily look at the HSR example as latest and greatest.

----- Original Message -----
From: Karen Schwinn
Sent: 03/18/2011 10:03 PM EDT
To: Jason Brush; Melissa Scianni; Kathleen Goforth; Laura Fujii
Subject: Re: BDCP

Do we like caltrans one better?

----- Original Message -----
From: Jason Brush
Sent: 03/18/2011 08:43 PM EDT
To: Karen Schwinn; Melissa Scianni; Kathleen Goforth; Laura Fujii
Subject: Re: BDCP

FYI, HSR version has led to some issues needing clarification recently, so if a NEPA-404-like agreement

----- Original Message -----
From: Karen Schwinn
Sent: 03/18/2011 07:31 PM EDT
To: Jason Brush; Melissa Scianni; Kathleen Goforth; Laura Fujii
Subject: BDCP

The State (DWR) is somewhat interested in a NEPA-404 MOU for the BDCP. I've shared the High Speed Rail MOU with them. I plan to speak with Mike Jewell soon. (State has already spoken with Corps-HQ.)

I think its needed and appropriate. Any thoughts?

ED_000733_DD_NSF_00065065-00001