From: Lakin, Matt
To: rachelle toti
Cc: Steckel, Andrew; Kurpius, Meredith
Subject: RE: email from rachelle toti
Date: Thursday, October 17, 2013 9:15:30 AM

Hi Rachelle,

Thank you for continuing to share information about exceedances related to the Oceano Dunes. Now that we are, thankfully, back at work, we'll get up to speed on the latest exceedances and efforts by the APCD.

Thanks,
Matt

_____

Matthew Lakin, Ph.D.
Manager, Air Planning Office
US EPA, Region 9 (AIR-2) | 75 Hawthorne St. | San Francisco, CA 94105
P: 415.972.3851 | E: Lakin.Matthew@epa.gov

From: Steckel, Andrew
Sent: Thursday, October 17, 2013 7:48 AM
To: Lakin, Matt
Cc: rachelle toti
Subject: email from rachelle toti

Andy Steckel
(415) 947-4115

From: rachelle toti [mailto:rachelletoti@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 6:57 PM
To: Steckel, Andrew
Subject: Fwd: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

Hello Andrew,

I tried to send this letter to Matt Lakin, but it failed. Would you please forward it to him.
Thank you.
Rachelle Toti

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Mail Delivery Subsystem** <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>
Date: Tue, Oct 1, 2013 at 5:55 PM
Subject: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)
To: rachelletoti@gmail.com

Delivery to the following recipient failed permanently:

lakin.matt@epa.gov

Technical details of permanent failure:
Google tried to deliver your message, but it was rejected by the server for the recipient domain epa.gov by mseive12.rtp.epa.gov. [134.67.100.70].

The error that the other server returned was:
550 5.1.1 <lakin.matt@epa.gov>: Recipient address rejected: User unknown in relay recipient table

----- Original message -----

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=gmail.com; s=20120113;
h=mime-version:date:message-id:subject:from:to:content-type;
bh=W2ksbqRcvOnKDXXuKPMc5fbq/zO5z3u3aaM5X03c2q4=;

b=UQPAEI2qOtvACNvZC3Tb+fONdVlxd7ul9bV1A+5kATOmgOHiZa+YK3jUaB+NJzD9NQ

hvzaPUmPlYcwh5rCO7CtDx9apw1OUi7/wsqMsen1Zr17fWnXjfHjhRogYe2T0yimjsbG

tjnNDNqUiCc+EQp4ebbsN4tdleGH91OK8IxgB/XYX0sH4vPA4Xsyp2GzAxuT6bysUw7O

mnP5UtOqTf1vw6YaPYE/BNJfGGLW1EWjhDC8GoErJd65Gp8x6NT5Q66fY+vBEB+gVCpB

CcjiNmmVOHqaRgJqIoPhNA+pt/Ed/KBls+fJ97haB4ybMdfpfxx7Ndz4Fief9MdALKlj
jCMw==
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Received: by 10.220.196.66 with SMTP id ef2mr25138862vcb.7.1380675322679;
Tue, 01 Oct 2013 17:55:22 -0700 (PDT)
Received: by 10.52.183.132 with HTTP; Tue, 1 Oct 2013 17:55:22 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Tue, 1 Oct 2013 17:55:22 -0700
Message-ID:
<CAF9iRUpi57KqK3ZAtegsjj+UeTetJPk9okEw6fDwwBe4MjN47w@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: Letter to Board of Supervisors re: 3rd Federal Exceedance
From: rachelle toti <rachelletoti@gmail.com>
To: Lakin <lakin.matt@epa.gov>
Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=001a1132e6e22a3eb804e7b78645

Hello Matt,

I wanted to bring you up to date on the latest developments regarding our air pollution. Last week we had our third PM10 exceedance and second PM 2.5 exceedance. Also at the APCD meeting on Sept. 25th, we were told an second lawsuit was filed against the APCD by Friends of Oceano Dunes an off-road riding group. While doing research for another issue, I found the paragraph from the 1983 Coastal Comm. report mentioned in the letter below. Feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Rachelle Toti

October 1, 2013

San Luis Obispo County

Board of Supervisors

1055 Monterey St.

San Luis Obispo, Ca.

Dear Supervisors,

Last Wednesday the South County air quality monitors registered the third 2013 federal exceedance for PM10 and the second for PM 2.5 (CDF monitor). We now have consecutive years with federal exceedances. There have been 73 exceedances of the State standards this year and 24 days with a 24 hour average above 100 micrograms/ cubic meter at CDF so far this year. By comparison the north Main St monitor in downtown Los Angeles has had zero PM 10 federal exceedances ; 15 State PM10 exceedances and zero days with 24 hr readings over 100 micrograms/ cubic meter .

The South County is in federal non-attainment for PM10 (and perhaps PM 2.5). Residents feel they have become ill from the cumulative effects of breathing “very dirty air” as two experts described it in 2009. In 2010, the County Public Health Officer, wrote a letter asking for quick action to protect public health. So far none has occurred. The Air Pollution Control District and the Dust Rule while well-intended, have not produced any actual “control” of the air pollution.

The Board of Supervisors has the power to stop this pollution with one simple step. *Stop the riding on the La Grande tract.* The County owns the land, which is the source of much of the particle pollution according

----- Message truncated -----