From:	lallen@co.slo.ca.us
To:	Zimpfer, Amy
Cc:	Drake, Kerry; Adams, Elizabeth; Jordan, Deborah; McKaughan, Colleen; Lakin, Matt; Steckel, Andrew; Spiegelman, Nina
Subject:	RE: Request for EPA opinion on MOA vs Rule to acheive attainment
Date:	Thursday, May 28, 2015 3:41:19 PM

No, I can make any time work on Monday, so please pick a time that works best for you all and let me know and I'll put it in my calendar.

Thanks so much, and I look forward to speaking with you on Monday.

Larry

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

-------- Original Message --------

From : "Zimpfer, Amy" <Zimpfer.Amy@epa.gov>
To : "lallen@co.slo.ca.us" <lallen@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc : "Drake, Kerry" <Drake.Kerry@epa.gov>, "Adams, Elizabeth" <Adams.Elizabeth@epa.gov>, "Jordan, Deborah" <Jordan.Deborah@epa.gov>, "McKaughan, Colleen" <McKaughan.Colleen@epa.gov>, "Lakin, Matt" <Lakin.Matthew@epa.gov>, "Steckel, Andrew" <Steckel.Andrew@epa.gov>, "Spiegelman, Nina" <Spiegelman.Nina@epa.gov>
Sent on : 05/28 01:18:51 PM PDT
Subject : Re: Request for EPA opinion on MOA vs Rule to acheive attainment

Monday would be good. Any time you are NOT available?

Amy Zimpfer, Associate Director, USEPA, Region 9
+1 415.947.4146
zimpfer.amy@epa.gov

> On May 28, 2015, at 12:48 PM, "lallen@co.slo.ca.us" <lallen@co.slo.ca.us> wrote:
>
>
> Thanks Amy. I'm out of the office until Monday - would it be possible to
> set up a call with you and whoever else we need for Monday or Tues next
> week to discuss this? I'll make myself available at whatever time works for
> you all.
>
> Thanks,
> Larry
>
>
>

> Sent with Good (www.good.com)
>
>
>
> -------- Original Message --------
>
> From : "Zimpfer, Amy" <Zimpfer.Amy@epa.gov>
> To : "lallen@co.slo.ca.us" <lallen@co.slo.ca.us>
> Cc : "Drake, Kerry" <Drake.Kerry@epa.gov>, "Adams, Elizabeth"
> <Adams.Elizabeth@epa.gov>, "Jordan, Deborah" <Jordan.Deborah@epa.gov>,
> "McKaughan, Colleen" <McKaughan.Colleen@epa.gov>
> Sent on : 05/27 07:35:45 PM PDT
> Subject : Re: Request for EPA opinion on MOA vs Rule to acheive
attainment
>
> Hi Larry,
> I was in Tijuana for a U.S./MX border meeting today and just now saw you
> tried to call. I will discuss this with Debbie and others tomorrow. Stay
> tuned.
>
> Amy Zimpfer, Associate Director, USEPA, Region 9
> +1 415.947.4146
> zimpfer.amy@epa.gov
>
>
>>> On May 27, 2015, at 6:31 PM, "lallen@co.slo.ca.us"
<lallen@co.slo.ca.us>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Hi Debbie,
>>
>> I hope all is well with you. I realize you're about to leave for your
> D.C.
>> assignment, so I've cc'd your executive team on this in the hopes that
> one
>> of you can provide a response to my request.
>>
>> At our Board hearing today, we asked the Board to amend Rule 1001 (the
>> Oceano Dunes Dust Rule) to remove the permit requirement to comply with
> the
>> recent Court of Appeals opinion that the facility is not a contrivance
> and
>> therefore cannot be required to obtain an air permit. Yesterday at 3:00
> pm,
>> Friends of the Oceano Dunes, who initiated and won the contrivance case,
>> delivered a 900 page comment package to APCD opposing our proposed
>> amendment and suggesting we implement other options instead, most of
> which
>> involved vacating Rule 1001 and trying something different, including an
>> MOA instead of the rule. Quite a lengthy discussion ensued among our
> Board
>> members, particularly regarding crafting an MOA to replace the rule.
>>
>> I responded that an MOA would not be acceptable to EPA as a regulatory
>> enforcement mechanism to ensure the emission reductions required to come
>> into attainment of federal PM standards would be achieved in a timely

>> manner. The Board asked me to request EPA to provide an official letter
>> stating your position on this matter; specifically, whether or not
>> substituting a negotiated MOA with State Parks would be acceptable to
EPA
>> as a demonstration that we were on a path to attainment and thus avoid
>> federal intervention. In light of your April 15, 2015 letter to the
>> District, the Board's primary concern is the potential for a
> nonattainment
>> designation by EPA for the federal PM10 and/or PM 2.5 standards if the
> rule
>> were to be rescinded and replaced with an MOA.
>>
>> The Board is hoping you can provide a response by or before our next
> Board
>> meeting on June 17. Please let me know if you can provide such a letter
> and
>> the timeframe in which we might expect it, and please call me if if you
>> have any questions or need clarification on this request.
>>
>> Thank you, and I look forward to hearing from you.
>>
>> Larry
>>
>> Larry Allen
>> Air Pollution Control Officer
>> San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District
>> Phone: 805 781-5912
>> Fax: 805 781-1002
>> Web: http://www.slocleanair.org
>>
>> P Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> [Scanned @co.slo.ca.us]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Scanned @co.slo.ca.us]
>

[Scanned @co.slo.ca.us]