From: Williams, Jonathan
To: susanh@ida.net; Kelly Wright; Scott.Miller@deq.idaho.gov Miller
Cc: Michele Benchouk; (b) (6) McDonnell, Kimberlee
Subject: RE: EPA Comments on FMC OU Soil Remedy RD/RA Resubmitted Documents
Date: Friday, May 13, 2016 10:06:37 AM

I understand and agree with the concern. Some of what Rob was saying sounded too much to me like site-wide averaging. That's why I continued the line of questioning about "decision unit" size that Scott began.

Chad's response about number of surveyed subareas struck me as helpful. If I understood him correctly, areas of erosion and deposition are likely to be measureable, to within 0.05 foot (0.6 inch), within decision units on the order of 10,000 square feet (about a quarter acre).

I've copied Rick and Michelle on this e-mail to see if they have anything to add.

Jonathan Williams, LHG
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, ECL-122
Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 553-1369
E-mail: williams.jonathan@epa.gov

-----Original Message-----
From: Susan Hanson [mailto:susanh@ida.net]
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 9:37 AM
To: Kelly Wright <kwright@sbtribes.com>; Williams, Jonathan <Williams.Jonathan@epa.gov>; Scott.Miller@deq.idaho.gov Miller <Scott.Miller@deq.idaho.gov>
Subject: Re: EPA Comments on FMC OU Soil Remedy RD/RA Resubmitted Documents

Kelly-Jonathan-Scott

My question has to do with the topographic to compare to the land base. FMC recognizes that loss as well as addition of soil may occur at the site and changes will be made according to reasonable size. I just want to assure FMC will not be averaging anything…. addition the additional soils in some areas vs. losses in another that may negate additional repairs in areas that need.

Susan Hanson

On Apr 26, 2016, at 7:39 PM, "Williams, Jonathan" <Williams.Jonathan@epa.gov> wrote:

> <Comments on FMC Soil PSVP Resubmittal 4-26-16.pdf>