From:	Kelly Wright
To:	Williams, Jonathan
Cc:	Virginia Monsisco; Jennings, Jannine; Sheldrake, Beth; McDonnell, Kimberlee; Albright, Rick
Subject:	RE: 2015 FMC CA
Date:	Tuesday, January 13, 2015 2:29:46 PM
Attachments:	Monthly Reporting Procedures for EPA Grants.docx FMC 2015 workplan - EPA edits 1-12-15.doc

---

Jonathan, Sorry to hear that you are out on funeral leave.

Beth, I am attaching a copy of the revised version to make sure that you agree. The only difference is with the travel and extra costs associated with refreshments for District Lodge meetings which have been historically included. Many times membership has been more receptive of changes or modification with light refreshments provided. These costs were $4,200 and $600 respectively.

Please let us know if these changes are acceptable and we will submit them into Grants for our 2015 Cooperative Agreement. Still waiting on the Simplot and Off-Site.

I do have an additional question to ask of you Beth, we have asked several times for the documentation supporting the allegations that Simplot is questioning Tribal charges. Tribes contacted Simplot to see what sort of additional details were needed because we are spending way too much time trying to do Project Management than necessary. Based on Simplot's response, they were not questioning the Tribes charges but rather EPA's cost. They also said that it was an issue with their Finance Department who requested their Attorney's Office to submit an informal questioning but later decided to simply pay the cost.

I received your email with the letter of Rick Albrights and also received in the mail today the original one you were questioning. At a first glance, it appears that the date was added to the electronic version of December 23rd. Why the change?

Next week, our Finance Department would like to meet with you and Janine to discuss some of the issues identified in Mr. Albright's letter. We can do this prior to the Government to Government Consultation. I am also enclosing a copy of the internal monthly reporting issues being taken by the Tribes which should have addressed the concerns with earlier correspondences. This document appears not to have been provided to EPA on oversight on my behalf.

I am also working on the 4th QPR but not exactly sure what is missing in the 1st, 2nd, 3rd QPRs that has not been addressed as identified in both the August and October correspondences. Please advise so we can get them done accurately and ensure that 4th Qtr will be acceptable as well.
Thanks
Kelly

From: Williams, Jonathan [mailto:Williams.Jonathan@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2015 6:46 PM
To: Kelly Wright
Cc: Virginia Monsisco; Jennings, Jannine; Sheldrake, Beth; McDonnell, Kimberlee

Subject: RE: 2015 FMC CA

Thanks for the resubmittal of the Cooperative Agreement Work Plan in response to EPA comments of Dec. 12, 2014. Attached in redline/strikeout are remaining comments to be addressed on the Work Plan.

EPA has accepted the SBT proposal for maximum onsite hours although it appears too high. EPA expects to have onsite representation about 40 hours each week when remedial action construction is occurring. That amounts to a total of about 1400 hours for the period of March through December.

Note that I've suggested revising the Cooperative Agreement activity date to begin February 1, 2015. This assumes that the Work Plan can be resubmitted soon along with the Grant Application. No costs can be covered for 2015 until the Cooperative Agreement has been approved.

Beth Sheldrake has agreed to cover for me on this aspect of the FMC OU in my absence the next couple of weeks. Feel free to contact her at (206) 553-0220 with any questions you might have.

Jonathan Williams, LHG
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, ECL-111
Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 553-1369
E-mail: williams.jonathan@epa.gov

From: Kelly Wright [mailto:kwright@sbtribes.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 4:35 PM
To: Williams, Jonathan
Cc: Virginia Monsisco
Subject: 2015 FMC CA

Dear Mr. Williams:

Attached you will find the Tribes 3rd submittal based on EPA comments for the Cooperative Agreement. As you will see, the Tribes accepted many changes but not all. We are very concerned EPA is describing the level of effort and work the Tribes can do through this Cooperative Agreement despite our communications with you.

I have amended the scheduled date for submittals to reflect realistic time frames.
I have broken out Tasks listed in Task 1 to reflect your preferred submittal however, please be advised the hours are estimated.
I have not accepted the changes to hours you prescribed for oversight. The Tribes expect to accompany EPA and be present at all Times FMC will be doing work at the site.

I have retained consultation time under Task 2. The Shoshone-Bannock Tribes FHBC, Land

Use Policy Commission have retained consultation and expect updates to both policy makers and general membership by staff an consultants. Because EPA chooses to utilize BAH and other consultants for specific tasks does not require the Tribes do the same.

I hope I have answered your questions adequately so we can move forward on this Workplan.

Thanks
Kelly C. Wright