From: Joyce Donohue
To: Donohue, Joyce
Subject: Fw: Updated Fluoride Assessment
Date: Thursday, May 02, 2013 1:50:11 PM

Joyce M. Donohue, Ph.D.
Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology
Health and Ecological Criteria Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Mail Code 4304T
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington DC 20460
Telephone: 202-566-1098
FAX: 202-566-1140
http://epa.gov/waterscience/

----- Forwarded by Joyce Donohue/DC/USEPA/US on 05/02/2013 01:44 PM -----

From: Joyce Donohue/DC/USEPA/US
To: Kelly Sherman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Christina Swartz/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Doherty/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Loranger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Wynne Miller/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Elizabeth Doyle/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 12/16/2010 10:31 AM
Subject: Re: Fw: Updated Fluoride Assessment

My concern with changes in the sulfuryl fluoride numbers was not related to Mike's document below. My concern relate to the values we use (mg/day) in the Exposure and Relative Source Contribution Document which are based on the OPP May 6, 2009 and July 1 2010 documents from OPP that are included in our document as appendices. Did the recalculation for the aggregate assessment have any impact of the 2009 and 2010 documents?

Joyce M. Donohue, Ph.D.
Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology
Health and Ecological Criteria Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Mail Code 4304T
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington DC 20460
Telephone: 202-566-1098
FAX: 202-566-1140
http://epa.gov/waterscience/

Kelly Sherman---12/16/2010 09:37:19 AM---Sorry - reading my emails backwards. I missed this one. Wynne - see Joyce's email below. Kelly She

From: Kelly Sherman/DC/USEPA/US

To: Wynne Miller/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Joyce Donohue/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Christina Swartz/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard

Cc: Loranger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Doherty/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 12/16/2010 09:37 AM

Subject: Fw: Updated Fluoride Assessment

Sorry - reading my emails backwards. I missed this one. Wynne - see Joyce's email below.

Kelly Sherman
Office of Pesticide Programs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
(703) 305-8401

----- Forwarded by Kelly Sherman/DC/USEPA/US on 12/16/2010 09:35 AM -----

From: Joyce Donohue/DC/USEPA/US

To: Michael Doherty/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Christina Swartz/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Elizabeth Doyle/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jonathan Fleuchaus/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Kelly Sherman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Meredith Laws/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Loranger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Steve Knizner/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Steven Bradbury/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Tina Levine/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, William Jordan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 12/15/2010 03:19 PM

Subject: Re: Updated Fluoride Assessment

Attached is your assessment with my comments. I need an explanation about the RSC in the Table that I have questioned. I have no idea of what it is.

[attachment "Fluoride Assessment 14 Dec Revised D382938JMD2.mem.docx" deleted by Joyce Donohue/DC/USEPA/US]

Let me know if you have any questions.

Joyce M. Donohue, Ph.D.
Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology
Health and Ecological Criteria Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Mail Code 4304T
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington DC 20460
Telephone: 202-566-1098
FAX: 202-566-1140
http://epa.gov/waterscience/

Michael Doherty---12/14/2010 12:56:26 PM---Hi Joyce, Thanks, again, for helping us get the indirect water issue straightened out. Attached is

From: Michael Doherty/DC/USEPA/US

To: Joyce Donohue/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Jonathan Fleuchaus/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Christina Swartz/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Loranger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Steve Knizner/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Tina Levine/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Kelly Sherman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, William Jordan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Meredith Laws/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Steven Bradbury/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Elizabeth Doyle/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 12/14/2010 12:56 PM

Subject: Updated Fluoride Assessment

Hi Joyce,

Thanks, again, for helping us get the indirect water issue straightened out. Attached is an updated assessment, taking into account my new understanding of what indirect water represents. The exposure values should now be completely in line with those in the RSCA, except for water due to our programs' policy differences (we are also continuing to work in mg/kg/day rather than kg/day). Could you please look the document over and let me know if you have any comments, concerns, etc? I've also included a document comparison file which highlights the differences between this version and the one we completed at the end of October, since that may help you focus on things that have changed.

Apparently we may be called upon to have something ready by the end of this week, so if you can do this in a couple of days, I'd really appreciate it. Sorry for the short notice.

Regards,
Mike

[attachment "Fluoride Assessment 14 Dec Revised D382938.mem.docx" deleted by Joyce Donohue/DC/USEPA/US] [attachment "Update vs 28 Oct Document Compare.docx" deleted by Joyce Donohue/DC/USEPA/US]