attachment - release in full

From: Lee, Anita
To: PerezSullivan, Margot
Cc: McKaughan, Colleen
Subject: FW: NGS Info
Date: Tuesday, November 05, 2013 11:47:24 AM
Attachments: 2013_1022 FR of NGS Supp Proposal.pdf

Here's a PDF of the Supplemental.

Anita Lee, PhD
Environmental Scientist
US EPA, Air Division, Planning Office (Air-2)
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3958

-----Original Message-----
From: PerezSullivan, Margot
Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2013 11:34 AM
To: Lee, Anita; Glosson, Niloufar; Zito, Kelly; Lyons, Ann; McKaughan, Colleen
Subject: Fw: NGS Info

Here are some questions from the AZ Daily Star.
Margot Perez-Sullivan
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
D: 415.947.4149 C: 415.412.1115 E:perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov

---

From: Davis, Tony <tdavis2@azstarnet.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2013 2:31:41 PM
To: PerezSullivan, Margot
Subject: Re: NGS Info

Margot, A few questions:

A)Does EPA have a preferred alternative among these various choices: Its first proposal that gave NGS 5 years to cut emissions, its proposal from last winter that gave them until 2023, and now this one? Mr. Blumenfeld is quoted fairly favorably about this proposal in one of your releases. Does that imply an endorsement or potential endorsement, since that proposal has the backing of a lot of interests and interest groups?

B)Your Federal Register notice didn't open up due to what it said were temporary technical problems. So I have a couple of questions trying to pin down details of the newest proposal.

First, CAP and the Sierra Club both told me that the new proposal gives Navajo until 2030 instead of 2023 to reduce emissions from two of the three existing coal-fired generators.

But CAP told me that the third unit would shut down under the latest proposal by 2020, while the Sierra Club told me there's no guarantee in this proposal that that third generator would shut down that quickly. Sierra Club said under some circumstances, such as if and when LADWP sells its share of the plant, the third unit could run at a lower capacity for some time. Could you please clarify this for me?

Second, the Sierra Club's Sandy Bahr said that by 2044, the other two units could continue burning coal if it's non-conventional coal, or some kind of clean coal. Again, could you please furnish me some clarification and more

details on that section of the proposal?

Thanks again,

Tony Davis
Environmental reporter
Arizona Daily Star
520-349—0350 C
520-806-7746 O

From: <PerezSullivan>, Margot <PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov<mailto:PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov>>
Date: Monday, November 4, 2013 11:24 AM
To: Tony Davis <tdavis789@yahoo.com<mailto:tdavis789@yahoo.com>>, "Davis, Tony"
<tdavis2@azstarnet.com<mailto:tdavis2@azstarnet.com>>
Subject: NGS Info

http://www.epa.gov/region9/air/navajo/#2013-09

http://yosemite.epa.gov/opa/admpress.nsf/0/9BF5DD84C5D1846985257BF1007A3C39

Margot Perez-Sullivan
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
D: 415.947.4149 C: 415.412.1115 E:perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov<mailto:perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov>