Attachment deleted - duplicate

From: Lee, Anita
To: McKaughan, Colleen; Lyons, Ann
Subject: RE: revised hearing presentation
Date: Tuesday, October 29, 2013 3:11:45 PM
Attachments: NGS Hearings Presentation.pptx

Made the changes you suggested in slides 5 and 8, and a few more in slide 5 as well. Please see what you think. Thank you!

Anita Lee, PhD
Environmental Scientist
US EPA, Air Division, Planning Office (Air-2)
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3958

From: McKaughan, Colleen
Sent: Tuesday, October 29, 2013 2:49 PM
To: Lee, Anita; Lyons, Ann
Subject: RE: revised hearing presentation

How about Navajo has not yet sought …… There is that statement in the TWG about TAS and I still don't know what it means.

Regarding Slide 8, I think CAA and EPA regulations is fine. If we get a question about TAR we can respond.

From: Lee, Anita
Sent: Tuesday, October 29, 2013 2:38 PM
To: McKaughan, Colleen; Lyons, Ann
Subject: RE: revised hearing presentation

Thanks for reviewing it Colleen!

Slide 5 – I was thinking perhaps we could insert a statement in the notes for me to say (Navajo Nation EPA has not sought to develop a Tribal Implementation Plan for NGS. EPA is therefore is developing a Federal Implementation Plan for the BART requirement?). Would you prefer to be more explicit (with a bullet)?

Slide 8 – I didn't want to get into having to explain what the TAR is. I was hoping "CAA" was sufficiently inclusive, but perhaps we could say "CAA and EPA regulations"?

- EPA is using our authority and discretion under the CAA and EPA regulations to extend the compliance timeframe for Alternatives to BART

Thanks!

Anita Lee, PhD
Environmental Scientist
US EPA, Air Division, Planning Office (Air-2)
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3958

From: McKaughan, Colleen
Sent: Tuesday, October 29, 2013 1:59 PM
To: Lee, Anita; Lyons, Ann
Subject: FW: revised hearing presentation

Hi, Anita,

This looks great. I had two questions:

Slide 5: Do we need to explain why EPA is dealing with NGS and not Navajo? We talk about jurisdiction on that slide. Perhaps most people won't know there was an option.
Slide 8: We mention the CAA, but not the TAR as giving us flexibility. Is that what you intended?

That's it. We can see what Jared thinks on Friday.

Colleen

From: Lee, Anita
Sent: Tuesday, October 29, 2013 11:59 AM
To: McKaughan, Colleen
Subject: revised hearing presentation

Anita Lee, PhD
Environmental Scientist
US EPA, Air Division, Planning Office (Air-2)
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3958