From: Calvino, Maria Soledad
To: Garcia-Bakarich, Luis; Amato, Paul
Cc: McKinley, Helen; Keener, Bill
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry: Stockton Chloramine Question
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2016 3:50:00 PM

Sounds good. Thank you all for your help!

Soledad Calvino
Public Affairs Specialist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | San Francisco
D: 415-972-3512 **| C**: 415-697-6289| **E:** calvino.maria@epa.gov

---

From: Garcia-Bakarich, Luis
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2016 3:50 PM
To: Amato, Paul ; Calvino, Maria Soledad
Cc: McKinley, Helen ; Keener, Bill
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry: Stockton Chloramine Question

I concur.

´¯`·.¸¸..><((((º>·´¯`·.¸¸..><((((º>·´¯`·.¸¸..><((((º>·´¯`·.¸¸..

Luis M. Garcia-Bakarich (WTR 3-1)
Drinking Water Management Section
Water Division
U.S. EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne St.
San Francisco, CA 94105
garcia-bakarich.luis@epa.gov
Telephone: (415) 972-3237
Fax (415) 947-3545

From: Amato, Paul
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2016 3:22 PM
To: Calvino, Maria Soledad <Calvino.Maria@epa.gov>; Garcia-Bakarich, Luis <Garcia-Bakarich.Luis@epa.gov>
Cc: McKinley, Helen <McKinley.Helen@epa.gov>; Keener, Bill <Keener.Bill@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry: Stockton Chloramine Question

Given the late hour in DC and the information already provided by Luis, I would say that is all we have at this time.

From: Calvino, Maria Soledad
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2016 3:04 PM
To: Garcia-Bakarich, Luis <Garcia-Bakarich.Luis@epa.gov>; Amato, Paul <Amato.Paul@epa.gov>
Cc: McKinley, Helen <McKinley.Helen@epa.gov>; Keener, Bill <Keener.Bill@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry: Stockton Chloramine Question

Thank you again, Luis!

--Paul, are we waiting on anything else from HQ?

From: Garcia-Bakarich, Luis
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2016 2:16 PM
To: Calvino, Maria Soledad <Calvino.Maria@epa.gov>; Amato, Paul <Amato.Paul@epa.gov>
Cc: McKinley, Helen <McKinley.Helen@epa.gov>; Keener, Bill <Keener.Bill@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry: Stockton Chloramine Question

From what I have gathered, and I am not a chemist…
Chloramine is the combination of chlorine and ammonia. There is a little reaction and chloramine is born as a new compound (molecular) versus a mixture.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chloramine
´¯`·.¸¸..><((((º>·´¯`·.¸¸..><((((º>·´¯`·.¸¸..><((((º>·´¯`·.¸¸..

Luis M. Garcia-Bakarich (WTR 3-1)
Drinking Water Management Section
Water Division
U.S. EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne St.
San Francisco, CA 94105
garcia-bakarich.luis@epa.gov
Telephone: (415) 972-3237
Fax (415) 947-3545

From: Calvino, Maria Soledad
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2016 1:37 PM
To: Garcia-Bakarich, Luis <Garcia-Bakarich.Luis@epa.gov>; Amato, Paul <Amato.Paul@epa.gov>
Cc: McKinley, Helen <McKinley.Helen@epa.gov>; Keener, Bill <Keener.Bill@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry: Stockton Chloramine Question

Thank you, Luis. I will also direct him to R9's Chloramine website.
The other question he had was…Is Chloramine a combination of Ammonia and Chlorine? Does the combination of these two chemicals change the compounds on a molecular level? Or are they just pouring ammonia to the drinking water?
He is raising this question because Erin Brockovich wrote:
"Historically, drinking water disinfection with chlorine has been extremely successful in addressing bacterial and viral contamination. It has virtually wiped out waterborne diseases like typhoid fever, cholera, and dysentery. However, chlorine disinfection may also cause health risks. When chlorine is added to the water, it not only kills bacteria and viruses, but it also reacts with other chemicals dissolved in the water to form new compounds, known as disinfection byproducts. Some of these byproducts, such as trihalomethanes, are thought to cause cancer and pose other long-term health risks.
Chloramine, on the other hand, is a combination of chlorine and ammonia. While chlorine dissipates and evaporates into the air relatively quickly, chloramine is more stable and will last longer in the water system. The goal is to provide increased protection from bacterial contamination. Chloramine also happens to be the cheapest and easiest of the options available to water utilities. Yet even though the use of chloramine is convenient, it may not be safe."
Thank you,

Soledad Calvino
Public Affairs Specialist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | San Francisco
D: 415-972-3512 **| C**: 415-697-6289 | **E:** calvino.maria@epa.gov

---

From: Garcia-Bakarich, Luis
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2016 1:27 PM
To: Amato, Paul <Amato.Paul@epa.gov>
Cc: McKinley, Helen <McKinley.Helen@epa.gov>; Keener, Bill <Keener.Bill@epa.gov>; Calvino, Maria Soledad <Calvino.Maria@epa.gov>

Subject: Media Inquiry: Stockton Chloramine Question

As I understand the question:

"What studies did the EPA evaluate when considering chloramines for treatment of drinking water?"

The reporter is looking for a syllabus of studies.

I spoke with a person at HQ very familiar with disinfection and the rules associated. Our recommendation would be to provide the reporter with FR notices for the Stage 1 and Stage 2 Disinfection Byproduct Rules. The FRs provide lists of all the studies considered in rule development. The FRs also provide discussion that interprets the findings of those studies. Notably, the Stage 1 FR notice states on page 38682, with respect to exposure to chloramines, "No adverse clinical effects were noted in any of the studies."

Stage 1 Federal Register Notice: Vol 59, No 145, page 38668, Friday July 29, 1994

Stage 2 Federal Register Notice: Vol 71, No 2, page 388, Wednesday January 4, 2006

´¯`·.¸¸..><((((º>·´¯`·.¸¸..><((((º>·´¯`·.¸¸..><((((º>·´¯`·.¸¸..

Luis M. Garcia-Bakarich (WTR 3-1)
Drinking Water Management Section
Water Division
U.S. EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne St.
San Francisco, CA 94105
garcia-bakarich.luis@epa.gov
Telephone: (415) 972-3237
Fax (415) 947-3545