From: Wetmore, Cynthia
To: Mayer, Kevin
Cc: Jolish, Taly; Barton, Dana
Subject: Re: results from sampling on Wednesday at two municipal drinking water wells
Date: Monday, January 26, 2015 10:16:13 AM

EPA is just helping coordinate. So far the WRD has said they could pay for it. The WRD and SWQD have biannual sampling of all the wells (the Title 22 stuff), they just want to add pCBSA to select wells. So far, Montrose is not involved with this - except to allow sampling of one one-site monitoring well

---

From: Mayer, Kevin
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2015 10:11 AM
To: Wetmore, Cynthia
Cc: Jolish, Taly
Subject: RE: results from sampling on Wednesday at two municipal drinking water wells

Is the current sampling being conducted by the State as part of the Construction CD or is it separate? What part is being funded by EPA? I do not know how I should be charging my time on this CBSA work. Shaw does not have such sampling in their SOW if it is not PRP Oversight. Should we set up a separate account?? Should the action code be RA Oversight or Risk/Health Assessment?

If we have an enforcement mechanism before April, sampling of surrounding water supply wells should be conducted by Montrose. That is what the MACP requires. It seems that we could refer Montrose to the State water Board and or the Water Replenishment District. We need to understand how we can require the PRPs to implement the MACP absent a CD.

If we do not have an enforcement mechanism by then, I know that I will need much more information about how or why we would proceed.

Kevin Mayer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9, SFD-7-2
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901
(415) 972-3176
mayer.kevin@epa.gov

From: Wetmore, Cynthia
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2015 9:51 AM
To: Mayer, Kevin
Cc: Barton, Dana
Subject: Re: results from sampling on Wednesday at two municipal drinking water wells

Thanks Kevin.

I just arranged with Montrose for Shu-Fang's group to collect a sample from one of Montrose's monitoring wells to help their lab verify their pCBSA testing. She plans to collect several more municipal wells (operating and not operating) and test for pCBSA on Wednesday.

She did not could get cooperation from Golden State Water Company for the wells named Dalton, that she feels are important to test. She said that she could work with us to get access (she has some authority) for the April/May testing round.

I told her that I would pass this information on to you since you will be working with them and the Water Replenishment District to come of up a list. It seems that we may need some time to get access, so it might be a good idea to start working on it.

Shu-Fang Orr, P.E.
District Engineer, Angeles District
Drinking Water Field Operations – Southern California
SWRCB, Division of Drinking Water
500 N. Central Avenue, Suite 500
Glendale, CA 91203
818-551-2045 (Phone); 818-551-2054 (fax)
e-mail: Shu-Fang.Orr@waterboards.ca.gov

From: Mayer, Kevin
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2015 3:41 PM
To: Wetmore, Cynthia
Subject: RE: results from sampling on Wednesday at two municipal drinking water wells

Have a good weekend. I spoke with the Corps and Del Norte FYR is in great shape. Aerojet GW cleanup is continuing to go very well. We have over 3000 monitoring wells and are adding more to optimize. The AJ model is modern and is being substantially improved with more current data incorporated.

Kevin Mayer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9, SFD-7-2
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901
(415) 972-3176
mayer.kevin@epa.gov

From: Wetmore, Cynthia
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2015 2:54 PM
To: Lyons, John; Barton, Dana
Cc: Mayer, Kevin; Jolish, Taly
Subject: results from sampling on Wednesday at two municipal drinking water wells

Both are non-detect. (unvalidated results)

State Water Resources Board called and asked to get a sample from Montrose next Wednesday. I called Mike Palmer, and he has to ask up his chain of command.