Yacovone, Krista

From: Carrie McGowan <Carrie.McGowan@ehs-support.com>
Sent: Monday, June 17, 2013 11:48 PM
To: Gorin, Jonathan
Cc: jmhoffman@ashland.com
Subject: RE:
Attachments: 040.JPG

Another picture of the other side of the cell building.

From: Gorin, Jonathan [mailto:Gorin.Jonathan@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 17, 2013 2:48 PM
To: Carrie McGowan
Cc: DiPippo, Gary; smacmillin@brwncald.com; John M. Hoffman
Subject: RE:

Carrie, thanks. I wanted to verify that you contacted them. Oh, agree about the lack of a home for the retorted product.

As for the photos, if you can send me a fairly recent one showing a gaping hole in the cinderblock walls, that's fine.

Jon

Ps, I'm hoping Praxair will be off the site soon. The rain last week killed their schedule. On a happy note, they took care of that broken power line pole.

From: Carrie McGowan [mailto:Carrie.McGowan@ehs-support.com]
Sent: Monday, June 17, 2013 2:40 PM
To: Gorin, Jonathan
Cc: DiPippo, Gary; smacmillin@brwncald.com; John M. Hoffman
Subject: Re:

John
The recent building monitoring report has pictures of the buildings. I can send you any of the individual pictures you want. And I will let Gary handle the faculty question but yes of course we know it and capacity is the issue. Aside from you are still left with retorted mercury which had nowhere to go.

Thanks
C

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 17, 2013, at 2:29 PM, "Gorin, Jonathan" <Gorin.Jonathan@epa.gov> wrote:

> John, Carrie, Gary and Scott.
>
> As a reminder, next Wed is the NRRB meeting. If all goes well, you can expect my final comments on the RI & FS to follow. If not, we may need substantial changes to the FS (the RI should be ok, but who knows?). Unless we all missed something completely - I don't foresee this holding up the ROD.

I'm putting together lists of questions I feel will come up. No doubt an easy one will be "why didn't you further characterize the extent of contamination under the buildings?" I thought a simple response to that would be a photo of the cell buildings, showing their current state of decay.

So if you have a good photo like that, please send it along.

Also, Gary DEP has just told me they are going to suggest – to the NRRB - that this facility http://mercury-recovery.com/ is the answer to our PTW issues. I looked at it quickly, it's a retort facility of some sort. They can do "high volume" treatment which they define as "up to 2 tons per hour." So, I figure 1 ton = 1 cubic yard. We'd need to treat up to 24,000 cubic yards. So if I did my arithmetic correctly that will take 12,000 hours or 1,500 8 hr days. Or about 6 years.

Anyway, have you contacted them? Have you ever heard of them? I left a message. if/when they call back I'll try to get some info on the volume they can handle…

Thanks, jon