DEQ Water Quality Division

2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan

State of Oregon
Department of
Environmental
Quality

Water Quality Div
811 SW 6th Avenue
Portland, OR 97204
Phone: (503) 229
(800) 452
Fax: (503) 229

DEQ is a leader in r
maintaining and eni
the quality of Oregon

Commented [CJ1]: 1. Need to explain why you are revising the current Plan. May want to include the need to update the Plan every 5 years and submit for EPA's approval, discuss any major changes from the original plan and how the plan will be updated in the future.
2. Consider where to include the public participation process in the Plan's development—whether to include in the Plan itself or as part of the submittal to EPA.
3.Consider incorporating the 303d new vision and goals into this plan including additional information included in the Integrated Reports by 2016.
4.Need to provide more detail on the process and criteria used to prioritize waters for protection and restoration.
5.Need to include new information required to be in the TMDL documents ("…as a condition of using § 319 funds to develop TMDLs, the state will include the following supplemental information to support the load allocations specified in the TMDL: (1) an identification of total NPS existing loads and total NPS load reductions necessary to meet water quality standards, by source type; (2) a detailed identification of the causes and sources of NPS pollution by source type to be addressed in order to achieve the load reductions specified in the TMDL (e.g., acres of various row crops, number and size of animal feedlots, acres and density of residential areas); and (3) an analysis of the NPS management measures by source type expected to be implemented to achieve the necessary load reductions, with the recognition that adaptive management may be necessary during implementation.).
6.Explicitly describe how DEQ and other state agencies partner with Tribes to address tribal concerns pertaining to NPS.
7.May want to include a list of acronyms in an appendix or at the beginning of the report.

Last Updated: 6/26/2014
By: Don Yon, DEQ

2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan

This program plan was prepared by:

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
811 SW 6th Avenue
Portland, OR 97204
1-800-452-4011
www.oregon.gov/deq

2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary 6

Local Partners 6

State Agencies 7

Federal Agencies 7

Federally Recognized Tribes of Oregon 7

2. Introduction 12

3. Oregon's NPS Management Program 16

3.1 General Description of NPS Management Program 16

3.2 Partnerships 30

Local Partners 30

State Agencies 30

Federal Agencies 31

Federally Recognized Tribes of Oregon 31

3.2.4 DEQ Memorandum of Understandings and Memorandum of Agreements 31

3.3 Baseline Regulatory Statutes 33

3.3.1 Water Quality Standards 33

3.3.2 Integrated Report [303(d) and 305(b)] 34

3.3.3 Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) and Water Quality Management Plans 35

3.3.4 General Permits for Pesticides 36

3.4 Other Management Programs that Address NPS 37

3.4.2 Cross Program Efforts to Address Toxic Chemicals 38

3.4.2.1 Pesticides Stewardship Partnerships (PSPs) 39

3.4.2.2 Water Quality Pesticide Management Team (WQPMT) 39

3.4.3 Drinking Water Protection 40

3.4.4 Groundwater Protection and Groundwater Management Areas (GWMAs) 41

3.4.5 Coastal Zone NPS Management Program 43

3.4.6. Incorporate EPA Watershed Plans Elements into TMDLs and Watershed Approach Basin Reports 45

4. Management of NPS by Land Use 49

4.1. Agricultural Lands 50

4.1.1. Agricultural Water Quality Management Program 50

4.1.1.1 Memorandum of Agreement 52

4.1.1.2. Other programs and partners 52

4.1.3. Nonpoint Source Program Priorities 53
4.1.3.1. TMDL Implementation, Biennial Reviews and Basin Plans 53
4.1.3.2 Focus Areas and Strategic Implementation Areas 53
4.1.3.3 National Water Quality Initiative and State Resource Assessment Process 54
4.1.4. The NPS Program Measures, Timelines, and Milestones 54
4.1.5 ODA's Tracking 56
4.1.5.1. Water Quality Program Compliance Summary 56
4.1.5.2. Outreach and Education Summary 56
4.2 State and Private Forest Lands 57
4.2.1 RipStream (Riparian Function and Stream Temperature) Study 58
4.2.2 Forest Practices Act Sufficiency Analysis 59
4.3 Federal BLM and USFS Lands 60
4.3.1 Coordination with USFS and BLM to Meet State and Federal Water Quality Rules and Regulations 60
4.3.2 Revision of BLM Resource Management Plan and EIS for Western Oregon 63
4.3.3 USFS and BLM BMPs for Land Management Activities 63
4.3.3.1. USFS BMPs for All Land Management Activities 63
4.3.3.2. BLM Best Management Practices to Reduce Sediment Delivery from BLM Roads in Oregon 65
4.4 Urban and Rural Residential 65
4.4.1. TMDL Implementation for Urban and Rural Residential DMAs 66
4.4.2 NPDES MS4 Stormwater Permit 67
4.4.3 State Land Use Planning Goals 68
5. Oregon 319 Grant Program 69
5.1 Federal CWA Section 319(h) NPS Grant Funding 69
5.3 Incremental (Pass) Grants 71
5.4 Project Funding 72
5.5 EPA Grants Reporting and Tracking System – GRTS 73
6. Other State Operated NPS Funding Sources 74
6.1 Clean Water State Revolving Fund 74
6.2 Drinking Water Revolving Loan Fund (DWRLF) 76
6.3 OWEB 76
6.3.1 Oregon Watershed Restoration Inventory (OWRI) 79
6.3.2. Oregon Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) 80
7. Water Quality Data and Assessments 80

2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan

Tables

Table 1: NPS MP Actions, Priorities, and Milestones 2014 to 2018 22
Table 2: EPA Watershed Plans Nine Key Elements 47
Table 3: Analysis Of TMDL Implementation Plans And Watershed Basin Approach Reports' Inclusion of EPA's Watershed Plans Nine Key Elements 48
Table 4: Example SWCDs Outreach and Education Summary 56
Table 5: Other SWCD Activities 57
Table 6: Oregon Total Section 319 Funding 2007 to 2013 69
Table 7: OWEB Grant Funding Example 79

2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan

1. Executive Summary

The Oregon NPS Management Program Plan (Oregon NPS Plan) describes the goals, priorities, objectives, and strategies of the Oregon NPS Management Program (NPS Management Program) used to achieve the mission to prevent, control, and eliminate water pollution from nonpoint sources in waters of the state to meet water quality standards and Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) allocations.

The state's long-term goals in the Oregon NPS Plan~~Management Program~~ are strategically focused and designed to achieve and maintain water quality standards and to maximize water quality benefits Oregon's NPS Management Program. The shorter-term objectives consist of activities, with annual milestones, designed to demonstrate reasonable progress toward accomplishing long-term goals as expeditiously as possible.

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA) requires states to develop a program to protect the quality of water resources from the adverse effects of NPS water pollution. Nonpoint source (NPS) pollution is water pollution that does not originate from regulated point sources and occurs when rainfall and snow melt flows off the land, roads, buildings, and other features of the landscape. This diffuse runoff carries pollutants into drainage ditches, lakes, rivers, streams, wetlands, bays, and aquifers.

Common NPS pollutants include, but are not limited to:

- Temperature
- Fertilizers, herbicides, and insecticides
- Oil, grease, and toxic chemicals
- Sediment; and
- Bacteria and nutrients

Since the Oregon NPS Plan ~~NPS Management Program~~ is a long-term planning document, the ~~annual~~ milestones may be more general than are expected in an Oregon Nonpoint Source Pollution Program Annual Report, but are specific enough for the state to track progress and for EPA to determine satisfactory progress in accordance with section 319(h)(8). Annual milestones in ~~a~~ state agencie's' NPS workplans ~~management program update~~ describe~~s~~ ~~and~~ key actions expected each year, e.g., delivering a certain number of WQ-10 success stories or implementing projects in a certain number of high priority impaired watersheds.

The state program includes objectives that address nonpoint sources of surface water and ground water pollution as appropriate (including sources of drinking water) in alignment with the goals of the CWA. The objectives include both implementation steps and how results will be tracked (e.g., water quality improvements or load reductions).

Responsibility for managing water resources in Oregon is shared among several partners that work together in an active and effective partnership to protect state waters. One of Oregon's primary goals is to ~~The NPS Program and Grants Guidelines for States issued on April 12, 2013, http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/nps/upload/319-guidelines-fy14.pdf, states that one of the key components of an effective NPS Management Program is that it "…~~ strengthen~~s~~ its working partnerships and linkages to appropriate state, interstate, tribal, regional, and local entities (including conservation districts), private sector groups, citizens groups, and federal agencies."

They following are DEQ's NPS partners:

Local Partners

- Cities (League of Oregon Cities) http://www.orcities.org/
- Counties (Association of Oregon Counties) http://www.aocweb.org/aoc/default.aspx
- Watershed Councils (Network of Oregon Watershed Councils) http://oregonwatersheds.org/
- Soil and Water Conservation Districts (Oregon Association of Conservation Districts) http://oacd.org/

Commented [CJ2]: Need to explain why you are revising the current Plan. May want to include the need to update the Plan every 5 years and submit for EPA's approval and discuss any major changes from the original plan or where the original plan could be located.

Commented [CJ3]: The report does not develop milestones but rather reports on the progress on meeting milestones agreed upon in the annual workplans. I suggest using a more general term "agencies' annual workplans" since the Plan covers more than just ODEQ. Alternatively since this plan covers five years with annual milestones, you could delete this paragraph and rely on the commitments/milestones made in this Plan.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Commented [CJ4]: Are these groups listed or mentioned anywhere else in the document? Should you include them on the list that follows?

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

State Agencies

- Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) www.oda.state.or.us
- Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF) www.odf.state.or.us
- Oregon Health Authority (OHA) http://www.oregon.gov/oha/Pages/index.aspx
- Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD) http://egov.oregon.gov/OPRD/index.shtml
- Oregon Department of State Lands (DSL) http://www.oregon.gov/DSL/index.shtml
- Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) http://egov.oregon.gov/DOGAMI/index.shtml
- Oregon State Marine Board (OSMB) (Boat Ramps and Other Access Points) (Marine Board) http://www.boatoregon.com/
- Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board (OWEB) www.oweb.state.or.us
- Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) www.dfw.state.or.us
- Department of Land, Conservation and Development (DLCD) www.lcd.state.or.us
- Department of Oregon Business Development (OBD) http://www.oregon4biz.com/
- Department of Transportation (ODOT) http://egov.oregon.gov/ODOT/index.shtml
- Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD) http://www.oregon.gov/owrd/Pages/index.aspx

Federal Agencies

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) http://www2.epa.gov/aboutepa/epa-oregon or http://www.epa.gov/
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS) http://www.fs.fed.us/r6/water/
- U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) http://www.blm.gov/or/st/en.html
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) http://www.fws.gov/oregonfwo/
- U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/index.html
- US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) http://www.nwp.usace.army.mil/
- U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) http://www.usbr.gov/pn/
- U.S. National Resource Conservation Services (NRCS) http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/site/or/home/
- U.S. Farm Service Agency (FSA) http://www.fsa.usda.gov/FSA/stateoffapp?mystate=or&area=home&subject=landing&topic=landing

Federally Recognized Tribes of Oregon

1. Burns Paiute Tribe http://www.burnspaiute-nsn.gov/
2. Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw http://ctclusi.org/
3. Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon http://www.grandronde.org/ /
4. Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians of Oregon http://ctsi.nsn.us/
5. Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation http://ctuir.org/
6. Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon http://www.warmsprings.com/
7. Coquille Indian Tribe http://www.coquilletribe.org/
8. Cow Creek Band of the Umpqua Tribe http://www.cowcreek.com/
9. Klamath Tribes http://www.klamathtribes.org/

The following EPA Section 319 Grant reporting guidelines and the Oregon NPS Management Program Plan contains the following required elements:

Description of NPS Management Program

- Partnerships: Federal Agencies, State Agencies, and Local Partners
- DEQ Memorandum of Understandings and Memorandum of Agreements

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

Commented [CJ5]: May want to include page numbers under each topic so the reader can easily locate more information about that topic.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

- Baseline Regulatory Statutes
  - Water Quality Standards
  - Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) and Water Quality Management Plans (WQMP)
  - General Permits for Pesticides
- Other Management Programs that Address NPS
  - Watershed Approach Basin Reports
  - Water Quality Basin Status/Action Plans
  - Cross Program Efforts to Address Toxic Chemicals
  - Drinking Water Protection
  - Groundwater Protection and Groundwater Management Areas (GWMAs)
  - Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments (CZARA), Coastal Zone NPS Management Program
  - Incorporate EPA Watershed Plans Elements into TMDLs and Watershed Approach Basin Reports
- Management of NPS by Land Use
  - Agricultural Lands
  - State and Private Forest Lands
  - Federal Forest Lands
  - Federal Grazing Lands
  - Urban and Rural Residential
- Oregon 319 Grant Program
- Other NPS Funding Sources
  - Clean Water State Revolving Fund
  - Drinking Water State Revolving Loan Fund (DWSRLF)
  - OWEB
  - Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery Fund (PCSRF)
- Assessment of water quality and landscape condition
- Success Stories/Environmental Improvement (WQ-10) and (SP-12) Projects and Other

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 2 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 2 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 2 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 2 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Oregon's NPS Management Program includes all "*Water or Waters of the State*" as defined by ORS 468B.005 (10) **Definitions for water pollution control laws.** *As used in the laws relating to water pollution, unless the context requires otherwise: (10) "Water" or "the waters of the state" include lakes, bays, ponds, impounding reservoirs, springs, wells, rivers, streams, creeks, estuaries, marshes, inlets, canals, the Pacific Ocean within the territorial limits of the State of Oregon and all other bodies of surface or underground waters, natural or artificial, inland or coastal, fresh or salt, public or private (except those private waters which do not combine or effect a junction with natural surface or underground waters), which are wholly or partially within or bordering the state or within its jurisdiction. [Formerly 449.075 and then 468.700; 2003 c.469 §1]*

The Oregon NPS Management Program strategy involves baseline water quality management programs and regulatory, voluntary, financial, and technical assistance approaches to achieve a balanced program. NPS pollution is managed through assessment, planning, implementation, and education. The DEQ has established goals and objectives for guiding and tracking the progress of NPS management in Oregon. Success in achieving the goals and objectives are reported annually in the Oregon NPS Pollution Program Annual Report, which is submitted to the EPA in accordance with the federal CWA.

Commented [CJ6]: Where are these goals and objectives located? In this plan? PPG workplans? MOAs with various agencies? Other?

Implementation of the Oregon NPS Management Program involves partnerships among many organizations. With the extent and variety of NPS issues across the state, cooperation across political boundaries is essential. Many local, regional, state, and federal agencies and entities play an integral part in managing NPS pollution, especially at the watershed level. They provide information about local concerns and infrastructure and build support for the kind of pollution controls that are necessary to prevent and reduce NPS pollution.

Commented [CJ7]: Adding "entities" allows the inclusion of watershed groups, industrial organizations, citizen groups, etc. and aligns with the 319 guidance.

In addition, the many local, regional, state, and federal agencies and entities are vital partners in working with landowners to implement best management practices (BMPs) that prevent and abate urban and rural residential, agricultural, and forestry NPS water pollution. By establishing coordinated frameworks to share information and resources, the state can more effectively focus its water quality protection efforts.

2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan

The Oregon Nonpoint Source (NPS) Plan meets the requirements of the federal Clean Water Act (federal CWA) (33 USC 1329) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) *Section 319 Program Guidance: Key Components of an Effective State Nonpoint Source Management Program November 2012* http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/nps/upload/key_components_2012.pdf.
Section 319(b) of the federal CWA requires states to prepare and submit for approval a Nonpoint Source (NPS) Management Plan. The EPA approved the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality's (DEQ's) current NPS Plan in 2000 following EPA's 1996 guidance for updating NPS program plans.

Below is a cross-reference between EPA's NPS Management Plan eight (8) key components and how and where they are addressed in the NPS MP:

EPA KEY COMPONENT #1

Oregon's program contains explicit short- and long-term goals, objectives, and activities (including financial and technical assistance) to restore and protect Oregon's surface water and ground water.

Sections 3.1, 3 Table 1, 3.3.4, and 4

NPS Management Plan Sections 3 through 7, particularly Sections 3.1 General Description of NPS Management Program, Section 3, Table 1 Oregon NPS Plan Outcomes And Key Actions, Section 3.3.4 DEQ Memorandum of Understandings and Memorandum of Agreements, and Section 4 Oregon's Management of NPS by Land Use all contain descriptions of the plan's short and long-term goals, objectives, and activities to restore and protect Oregon's waters of the state, both surface and ground water.

EPA KEY COMPONENT #2

The state strengthens its working partnerships and linkages to appropriate state, interstate, tribal, regional, and local entities (including conservation districts), private sector groups, citizens groups, and federal agencies.

Sections 3.2, 3.4, and 5

NPS Management Plan Sections 3 through 6, particularly Section 3.2 Partnerships which includes descriptions of the partners that are included in order for the Oregon NPS Management Plan to be effective in meeting the Oregon NPS Plan objective of meeting state and federal water quality standards and TMDL load allocations. Sections 3.4 Other Management Programs and Section 5 Oregon 319 Grant Program are important sections that describe the other management programs available by local, state, and federal, watershed councils and other funding partners necessary to ensure the plan includes all programmatic and project-funding sources needed to complete and implement the State of Oregon NPS Management Plan.

Other NPS Grant Opportunities

1. The Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs of Reservation of Oregon http://www.warmsprings.com/ has a program to work with private landowners to pursue State and Federal funds to implement NPS pollution reduction projects that improve water quality, fish passage and fish habitat.
2. There are many instances in which the State of Oregon provides grant funding and private foundations provide matching funds to implement restoration actions that address NPS pollution issues. This includes the state's efforts to strengthen its working partnerships and linkages with the private sector and citizen groups.
3. OWEB www.oregon.gov/OWEB/ funds grants that have private timberland (both industrial and non-industrial) matching funds to address NPS pollution. One example of working with the private sector includes the State of Oregon agreement with PacifiCorp to remove the J.C. Boyle Dam in southwestern Oregon on the Klamath River. DEQ, ODFW, and OWRD are active in participating in the Interim Measures Implementation Committee to work on projects that are designed to improve water quality in the Klamath Basin http://www.pacificorp.com/content/dam/pacificorp/doc/Energy_Sources/Hydro/Hydro_Licensing/Klamath_River/2013%20KHSA_Implementation_Report-P8.pdf.

Commented [CJ8]: Need to explain why you are revising the current Plan. May want to include the need to update the Plan every 5 years and submit for EPA's approval and discuss any major changes from the original plan or where the original plan could be located.

Commented [CJ9]: Consider including a crosswalk between EPA's new vision/goals and this plan.

1. **Explicit short-and long-term goals, objectives, and strategies (Prioritization & assessment goals).**
2. **Strengthened partnerships (Engagement & integration goals).**
3. **Integration of programs (Prioritization and alternatives goals)**
4. **Resource allocation to protection & restoration (Protection goal)**
5. **Identification & Prioritization of waters (Prioritization, assessment, integration goals)**
6. **Adaptive management to achieve & maintain WQ standards**
7. **Efficient & Effective Implementation (Integration goal)**
8. **Review, Evaluation & Revision using measures of success (Assessment goal)**

CWA 303(d) New Program Vision
The Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Program provides for effective integration of implementation efforts to restore and protect the nation's aquatic resources, where the nation's waters are assessed, restoration and protection objectives are systematically prioritized, and Total Maximum Daily Loads and alternative approaches are adaptively implemented to achieve water quality goals with the collaboration of States, federal agencies, tribes, stakeholders, and the public.

Engagement Goal: States actively engage the public and other stakeholders to improve and protect water quality, as demonstrated by documented, inclusive, transparent, and consistent communication; requesting and sharing feedback on proposed approaches; and enhanced understanding of program objectives.
Integration Goal: States identify and coordinate implementation of key point source and nonpoint source control actions that foster effective integration across CWA programs, other statutory programs (e.g., CERCLA, RCRA, SDWA, CAA), and the water quality efforts of other Federal departments and agencies (e.g., Agriculture, Interior, Commerce) to achieve the water quality goals of each state.
Alternatives Goal: States use alternative approaches, in addition to TMDLs, that incorporate adaptive management and are tailored to specific circumstances where such approaches are better suited to implement priority watershed or water actions that achieve the water quality goals of each state, including identifying and reducing nonpoint sources of pollution.
Protection Goal: In addition to the traditional TMDL development priorities and schedules for waters in need of restoration, States identify protection planning priorities and approaches along with schedules to help prevent impairments in healthy waters, in a manner consistent with each State's systematic prioritization.
Assessment Goal: States identify the extent of healthy and CWA Section 303(d) impaired waters in each State's priority watersheds or waters through site-specific assessment.
Prioritization Goal: States review, systematically prioritize, and report priority watersheds or waters for restoration and protection to facilitate State strategic planning for achieving water quality goals.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

4. In 2008, OWEB and Meyer Memorial Trust (http://www.mmt.org/) joined together in a unique public-private funding initiative to encourage and co-fund projects on the mainstem Willamette River and in the model watersheds that address each program's goals including both NPS and point source water quality improvements.
5. There are also instances where the State of Oregon is working with Oregon Cattleman's Association https://www.orcattle.com/ to develop a water quality-monitoring program.

Commented [CJ10]: Does not fit here which is essentially a crosswalk between this plan and EPA's list of key components for a NPS Plan. Shouldn't this information be included in the Section 6 that covers other funding sources? Right now that section focuses on State operated NPS funding sources but could easily be expanded.

EPA KEY COMPONENT #3

Oregon NPS Management Program uses a combination of statewide programs and on-the-ground projects to achieve water quality standards and/or TMDL load allocations. Oregon's NPS Management Program uses many state and federal regulatory and non-regulatory programs and existing baseline requirements that are well integrated to prevent, control, and eliminate NPS pollution.

Sections 3.1, 3.3, and 4

NPS Management Plan Sections 3 through 6, particularly 3.1 General Description of NPS Management Program, 3.3 Baseline Regulatory Statutes, Table 2 Oregon NPS Plan Outcomes And Key Actions, 3.3 Baseline Regulatory Statutes, and Section 4 Oregon's Management of NPS by Land Use describe the legal authorities and requirements, both regulatory and non-regulatory programs, that are well integrated to prevent, control, and eliminate NPS pollution.

EPA KEY COMPONENT #4

Oregon's program describes how resources will be allocated between (a) abating known water quality impairments from NPS pollution and (b) protecting threatened and high quality waters from significant threats caused by present and future NPS impacts.

Sections 3.4, 4.1.1.2, and 5

NPS Management Plan Sections 3 through 6, particularly Sections 3.4 Other Management Programs, Section 4.1.1.2 Water Quality Management Program Objectives and Strategies DEQ's describe ongoing efforts to provide protection of high quality waters that are prioritized locally through the Basin Planning process. In addition, protection is considered during triennial review, and the Section 5 Oregon 319 Grant Program that describes how resources, both programmatic and project actions, are allocated between (a) abating known water quality impairments from NPS pollution and (b) protecting threatened and high quality waters from significant threats caused by present and future NPS impacts that are needed to complete and implement the State of Oregon NPS Plan.

Commented [CJ11]: Where is the list of prioritized high quality waters for protection and list of high priority waters for restoration found on Oregon's website? How can the reader of this plan find that list?

EPA KEY COMPONENT #5

Oregon's program identifies and prioritizes waters and watersheds impaired by NPS pollution to prevent, control, and eliminate NPS pollution. The state establishes a process to assign priority and to progressively address identified watersheds by conducting more detailed watershed assessments, developing watershed-based plans and implementing the plans.

Sections 3.3.1, 3.3.3, 3.4, 3.4.1, 5.1, and 6

NPS Management Plan Sections 3 through 6, particularly Section 3.3.1, Integrated Report [303(d) and 305(b)] requires DEQ to assess water quality and report to EPA on the condition of Oregon's waters and identifying waters that do not meet water quality standards every two years. DEQ uses the list of impaired waters to set priorities for TMDL development. Sections 3.3.3 Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) and Water Quality Management Plans and 3.4 Other Management Programs that aAddress NPS identify the pollution management programs, strategies, and resources that are currently in place or that are needed to minimize or prevent current or future NPS pollution effects.

Commented [CJ12]: What about setting priorities for restoration or prevention actions?

Section 3.4.1 Watershed Approach Basin Reports are developed by DEQ so that the action plans are used to determine basin priorities and to allocate resources. Sections 5.1 Federal CWA Section 319(h) NPS Grant Funding

Commented [CJ13]: Where can these reports be found on Oregon's website so the reader of this plan can locate this information?

and Section 6 Other NPS Funding Sources. The NPS Grant Program is administered by DEQ to provide funding as grants to cooperating entities for activities that address the goals, objectives, and overall strategy to further develop its own and other agencies' or individual's capabilities, emphasizing watershed protection and enhancement, voluntary stewardship, and partnerships between all watershed stakeholders. DEQ also reaches out to other federal, state, tribal, local and private partners to assist in program development and implementation beyond DEQ's regulatory jurisdiction and financial abilities.

Commented [CJ14]: In section 5.1 where this program is discussed, need to include prioritization/selection criteria. Not evident that protection is a priority or how awards are selected among the many proposals or even if the state has criteria on type of proposals the state is seeking.

EPA KEY COMPONENT #6

The state implements all program components required by section 319(b) of the Clean Water Act, and establishes strategic approaches and adaptive management to achieve and maintain water quality standards as expeditiously as practicable. The state reviews and upgrades program components as appropriate. The state program includes a mix of regulatory, non-regulatory, financial and technical assistance, as needed. In addition, the state incorporates existing baseline requirements established by other applicable federal or state laws to the extent that they are relevant.

Sections 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 4, 3.4, and 5

NPS Management Plan Sections 1 through 6, particularly 1. Executive Summary, 3.1 General Description of NPS Management Program, Section 3.2 Partnerships which includes descriptions of the partners that are included in the process in order to carry out the Oregon NPS Plan objective of meeting state and federal water quality standards and TMDL load allocations. Sections 3.4 Other Management Programs, 3.3 Baseline Regulatory Statutes, Table 2 Oregon NPS Plan Outcomes and Key Actions, and Section 4 Oregon's Management of NPS by Land Use describe the legal authorities and requirements, both regulatory and non-regulatory programs, which are well integrated to prevent, control, and eliminate NPS pollution. Section 4 and Section 5 Oregon 319 Grant Program are important sections that describe the other management programs available by local, state, and federal, watershed councils and other funding partners necessary to ensure the plan includes all the programmatic and project funding sources that are needed to complete and implement the NPS Plan.

EPA KEY COMPONENT #7

The state manages and implements its NPS management program efficiently and effectively, including necessary financial management.

Sections 3.1, 5, 5.4

Section 3.1 General Description of NPS Management Program describes the state process for managing and implementing its NPS management program efficiently and effectively, including necessary financial management. Section 5 Oregon 319 Grant Program manages the Section 319 funds so that they are primarily used for organizational capacity development and implementation activities, including monitoring used to support TMDL development, implementation and measuring progress towards achieving TMDL allocations.

It is critical for the 319 Grant Program to be implemented strategically and efficiently. Oregon's priorities are to streamline grant administration and reporting, and to allocate funds strategically. Section 5.4 EPA Grants Reporting and Tracking System – GRTS is the primary tool for management and oversight of the EPA's NPS pollution control program. DEQ reports annually to EPA on the progress in meeting milestones, including estimates of NPS pollutant load reductions and improvements to water quality achieved by implementing NPS pollution control practices.

Commented [CJ15]: Is there a milestone on Table 1 for this action?

Commented [CJ16]: Does GRTS include or should GRTS include products/workplans/progress reports from the grants?

EPA KEY COMPONENT #8

The state reviews and evaluates its NPS management program using environmental and functional measures of success, and revises its NPS management program at least every five years.

Section 3.1 and 5.1

Section 3.1 General Description of NPS Management Program describes how Oregon prepares annual reports that document the activities and accomplishments of the State of Oregon in general and the Oregon DEQ in particular regarding the administration of Oregon's NPS Management Program and reviews and evaluates its program using environmental and functional measures of success, and updates its NPS Management Program Plan every five years. 5.1 Federal CWA Section 319(h) NPS Grant Funding describes the use of the Annual NPS Report to track yearly progress of implementation of the approved NPS Management Program and prepare annual nitrogen, phosphorus, and sedimentation-siltation NPS pollutant load reduction estimates for NPS projects and include in Oregon's Annual NPS Program Update Report**.**

Commented [CJ17]: Where are these measures located? Are you just using administrative type of measures as shown in Table 1 or are there environmental/water quality outcome measures too? If so, where are they located (aside from the pollutant reductions included in GRTS)? What about EPA National Measures SP12 and WQ10?

2. Introduction

The Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Plan describes the goals, priorities, objectives, and strategies of the Oregon Nonpoint Source Program (NPS Management Program) used to achieve the mission to prevent, control, and eliminate water pollution from nonpoint sources in waters of the state to meet water quality standards.

The short-term goal of the NPS Management Program is to reduce NPS pollutants in waterbodies not meeting water quality standards and assure continued attainment for waterbodies meeting water quality standards.

Commented [CJ18]: What about protection or prevention goals noted earlier in the document?

The long-term goal is for Oregon water bodies to meet water quality standards.

EPA recently issued guidance, *Section 319 Program Guidance: Key Components of an Effective State Nonpoint Source Management Program November 2012* http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/nps/upload/key_components_2012.pdf directing all states to update their NPS programs. This 2012 guidance is an update of previous EPA guidance and contains a description of the eight key components that characterize an effective state NPS management program.

EPA expects all states to review and, as appropriate, revise and update their NPS Management Program Plan every five years. An updated, comprehensive program is critical to the states and EPA. It allows EPA and Oregon to ensure that section 319 funding, technical support and other resources are directed in an effective and efficient manner to support state efforts to address water quality issues on a watershed basis.

This plan updates Oregon's *October 2000 Water Quality Nonpoint Source Control Management Program Plan* http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/nonpoint/docs/plan/plan.pdf. EPA is requiring an update of Oregon's 2000 Plan since many EPA and state rules, regulations, and programs have changed over the past fourteen years. An update of Oregon NPS Plan reflects current and planned goals, priorities, actions and milestones for the next five years. This five-year plan then provides the basis for tracking annual progress under the program.

The DEQ's NPS Management Program supports and promotes collaborative efforts of state, federal, and local agencies as well as other entities ~~private organizations~~ to achieve NPS goals. The State of Oregon is committed to implementing a program that focuses on the attainment of water quality goals by using a balanced approach of education, research, technical assistance, financial incentives, and regulation. These programs include the management or regulation of forestry, agriculture, grazing, transportation, recreation, hydromodification, marinas, urban development, land use planning, fish and wildlife habitat, riparian and wetlands protection/restoration, public education, water resources, and other activities that affect the quality of the state's waters.

The DEQ NPS Management Program integrates with other relevant programs to restore and protect water quality, aligning priority setting processes and resources to increase efficiency and environmental results.

The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has the responsibility of overseeing and implementing the State's NPS Management Program. The NPS Management Program is implemented by coordinating with many local, states and federal agencies and organizations throughout the State of Oregon. The NPS Management Program uses a combination of federal and state authority for implementing statewide, programmatic, and geographic priorities, objectives, and strategies to achieve the short- and long-term goals of the NPS Management Program.

The NPS Management Program tracks and reports on administrative outputs and water quality outcomes from these activities in Oregon's NPS Annual Report submitted to EPA annually as a requirement of section 319.

The Oregon NPS Management Program Plan:

- Meets the requirements of section 319(h) (8) & (11) of the federal Clean Water Act (33 USC 1329) and the EPA Section 319 Program Guidance: Key Components of an Effective State Nonpoint Source Management Program (November 2012).
- Establishes strategic approaches and adaptive management to achieve and maintain water quality standards or TMDL load allocations by reviewing and upgrading program components as appropriate.
- Contains explicit short- and long-term goals, objectives, and activities (including financial and technical assistance) to restore and protect Oregon's surface water and ground water.
- Identifies how the NPS Management Program will be implemented and funding will be directed into watersheds impaired by NPS pollution.
- Strengthens its working partnerships and linkages to appropriate state, interstate, tribal, regional, and local entities (including conservation districts), private sector groups, citizens groups, and federal agencies.
- Uses many state and federal regulatory and non-regulatory programs and existing baseline requirements that are well integrated to prevent, control, and eliminate NPS pollution.
- Uses a combination of statewide programs and on-the-ground projects to achieve water quality standards or TMDL load allocations.
- Describes a balanced approach of education, research, technical assistance, financial incentives, and regulation.
- Identifies and prioritizes waters and watersheds impaired by NPS pollution to prevent, control, and eliminate NPS pollution.
- Continues to place a strong emphasis on taking a watershed-based approach to restore NPS-impaired waters with the development and implementation of Watershed Based Plans and Implementation Ready TMDLs.
- Uses a strategy for improving state waters on a geographic basis with the state's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting, assessment, Ground Water Management Area (GWMA), and TMDL work aligned and prioritized according to watershed conditions.
- Includes the criteria used for identifying priority problems and watersheds, and deploys resources in a timely fashion to address priorities, including any critical areas requiring treatment and protection within watersheds.
- Identifies the pollution management programs, strategies, and resources that are currently in place or needed to minimize or prevent nonpoint source pollution in the priority watersheds.
- Promotes and supports programs and activities that are guided by best available science and implemented through an adaptive management approach.
- Establishes a process to assign priority and progressively address identified watersheds by conducting more detailed watershed assessments and integrating Watershed Based Plans and TMDLs.
- Describes the state process for managing and implementing its NPS management program efficiently, effectively, and financially.
- Describes the annual reports that document the activities and accomplishments of the State of Oregon in general, and the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) in particular, regarding the administration of Oregon's NPS Management Program and reviews and evaluates its program using environmental and functional measures of success.

Commented [CJ19]: Where is this process located in this Plan? Where are the high priority waters for protection or restoration that are targeted for completed during the next 5 years located?

DEQ uses the following guiding principles to achieve the short- and long-term goals of the NPS Management Program:

- Education and outreach;
- Planning for the implementation of restoration and protection projects;
- Technical assistance to local groups for the use of sound science for water quality protection, restoration, and management;
- Financial incentives to encourage actions on statewide, program, or geographic priorities;

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

- Use of various types of data to develop knowledge and understanding of the effects of nonpoint sources on the landscape and water quality;
- Work within our existing federal and state authorities; and
- Collaborate, coordinate, and communicate with our local, state, and federal partners such as the creation of partnerships between the BLM and USFS with watershed councils and/or soil-water conservation districts.

Priorities for the NPS Management Program are:

- **Develop and Implement Watershed Approach Basin Reports:** These reports are in-depth assessments of the state's basins conducted by DEQ. These assessments take the form of local water quality status and action plans, which describe water quality conditions and include recommendations for actions that DEQ and others who are interested in these basins can take to improve water quality. Where reports have been developed, DEQ has been able to use the action plans and basin priorities to determine how resources will be allocated.
    - Combining the expertise of DEQ's 17 water quality subprograms to ensure that DEQ's resources and scientific information are put to use effectively.
    - Consulting with local, state and federal agencies, as well as local interest groups and watershed councils, to help DEQ identify problems and solutions. The watershed approach allows opportunities for direct, interactive feedback between DEQ and its many stakeholders.

- **TMDLs:** DEQ focuses on development and implementation of TMDLs.
    - Development: Draft and implement a guidance document that identifies the TMDL process.
    - Development: TMDLs will be developed to address nonpoint source(s) and point sources as appropriate, where land uses and land management are a source or potential source of pollutants.
    - Development: Areas where land uses and land management are a source or potential source of the pollutant, TMDLs will be developed to address the nonpoint source(s) and point sources as appropriate.
    - Development: Provide better reasonable assurance during the TMDL development and implementation process.
    - Implementation: Working with DMAs to assure they are meeting TMDL priorities that address their responsibilities identified in the TMDL or WQMP.
    - Implementation: If the BLM, USFS, or other federal agency is a DMA, the TMDL WQMP should encourage the creation of partnerships between the federal agencies and watershed councils and/or soil-water conservation districts.
    - Implementation: Identify lead staff to work with sister agency DMAs to achieve consistency and efficiency.
    - Implementation: Conduct additional analysis to provide better reasonable assurance and guide implementation for existing TMDLs that are identified as priorities.
    - Implementation: Continue to build relationships with funding agencies and entities to direct funding toward high priority projects.

- **Agriculture:** The Agricultural Water Quality Management (AgWQM) Program has been implemented for more than a decade. During that time, implementation of conservation practices and restoration has occurred. However, implementation activities have been opportunistic and Oregon has had a difficult time to showing that progress has been made toward meeting water quality standards and TMDL load allocations. Implementation on agricultural lands should be strategic and future actions should be documented in order to demonstrate accountability and to leverage various funding sources.
    - Support ODA's effort to improve water quality in Focus Areas. Since 2012, the AWQM Program has initiated 45 Focus Areas around the state where local Soil and Water Conservation Districts prioritize outreach, technical assistance, and financial assistance to protect and improve water quality.
    - Support ODA's Strategic Implementation Areas (SIAs) as pilot projects. The AWQM Program has also initiated two SIAs in 2013 where areas needing additional compliance help are assessed,

Commented [CJ20]: Are these DEQ priorities or Oregon statewide priorities. Written to imply DEQ priorities….I assume that these priorities have been translated into milestones where appropriate.

Commented [CJ21]: Is there a milestone that identifies the number or basins targeted for the next 5 years? Is it possible to include a protection element for high priority waters into these plans?

Commented [CJ22]: Great goal!

Commented [CJ23]: Make sure the 9 elements of a watershed plan are incorporated into the combined TMDL and associated implementation plan (WQMP).

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Commented [CJ24]: Are there milestones associated with this goal for the next 5 years?

compliance actions are taken where needed, and a post-assessment is completed to measure change.
- Participate in biennial review process to assist ODA to prioritize, identify and document implementation actions.
- Provide water quality data analysis during the biennial review process.
- Support ODA to establish measures to quantify implementation and evaluate program accomplishments.
- Participate in local grant funding process to direct resources to high priority agricultural issues.

Commented [CJ25]: Is there a place on Oregon's website where the high priority ag issues are identified?

- **Forestry:** Participate as appropriate in private Forest Practices Act (FPA) rule analysis and concept development for water quality issues; revisions to management plans for state forests; and federal forest management planning to ensure that forestland management is consistent with water quality standards and TMDL load allocations.
  - Prevent, reduce, eliminate, or remediate nonpoint source water pollution and, where necessary, improve water quality to support beneficial uses on forestlands.
  - Provide assistance and comments on FPA rules in cooperation with Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF) Private Forest Division staff to ensure that water quality standards are being attained, TMDL load allocations are being met, and beneficial uses are being supported on private forestlands.
  - DEQ is also involved in ODF's compliance audits through reviewing the methods and protocols and is using the results to confirm whether current forest practices rules are being implemented correctly and enforced.
  - Evaluate voluntary implementation of Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds effectiveness in reducing water quality risks and impacts, identify information gaps, and collect additional information as needed in cooperation with ODF and landowners.
  - Review any changes to state forest management plans and work with ODF State Forest Division staff so changes to plans continue to protect water quality and beneficial uses on state-owned forestlands.
    Develop and implement MOAs or MOUs, and do annual and 5-year analysis of MOU or MOA effectiveness and need for MOU or MOA revision. Also, cooperate on priorities, strategies, and funding using a watershed approach to protect and restore water quality on BLM and USFS forest and range lands.
- **Urban and rural residential:** Establishment of TMDLs provides opportunities for DEQ to work with DMAs that have authority to regulate urban and rural residential areas.
  - Improve and establish consistent coordination between TMDL and Stormwater programs.
  - Finalize and implement post construction stormwater guidance.

- **319 Grant Program:** The 319 Grant Program continues to be implemented strategically and efficiently. Oregon's priorities are emphasizing grant administration and reporting, and allocating funds strategically. Specifically the program will:
  - Continue process improvement of Request for Proposals for timely and efficient issuance;
  - Provide guidance to DEQ staff and grant recipients about grant administration including contracting and invoicing;
  - Continue to report 319 Grant data into GRTS and meet reporting deadlines;
  - Coordinate with NRCS and OWEB for reporting on implementation activities; and
  - Report on measures, timelines, and milestones in NPS Annual Report.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

- **Source Water Protection:** Identify where nonpoint sources of pollution are significant threats to drinking water sources and incorporate into Nonpoint Source Program priorities (including forestry and agriculture).

- **Groundwater:** Identify where nonpoint sources of pollution are impacting groundwater quality; incorporate into Nonpoint Source Program priorities (including forestry and agriculture); and utilize state authorities for groundwater protection as needed.

- **Assessments and Monitoring:** DEQ conducts various types of assessments as required by the federal CWA and uses monitoring data for these assessments as appropriate.

To p~~P~~romote w~~W~~atershed r~~R~~estoration a~~A~~nd p~~P~~rotection, DEQ:

- Collects information necessary to assess the state's waterbodies to determine if designated uses are being met;
- Is~~-~~ involved on the state-wide and regional OWEB grant review teams that makes ~~helps in guiding~~ funding recommendations to the OWEB Board. The Board provides ~~supporting~~ funding for the highest priority water quality related projects;
- Uses Oregon's Integrated Report to evaluate progress made in restoring designated use support of all waters;
- Produces TMDLs for impaired waters where meeting water quality standards in the near future ~~-term delisting~~ is unlikely to occur~~not apparent~~;
- Uses TMDLs to establish NPS pollutant reduction goals;
- Uses watershed coordinators to assist local stakeholders and resource agencies to implement TMDLs at the local level;
- Collaborates with DMAs, federal, state and local agencies, and watershed groups, to develop and/or implement TMDL Implementation Plans;
- Promotes TMDL Implementation Plans as the basis for allocating resources to reduce NPS pollution entering the water body;
- Administers CWA Section 319 Grant Program and other applicable grants to enable actions that achieve water quality goals;
- Reviews existing monitoring data for priority watersheds and recommend supplemental data to measure water quality trends associated with watershed activities;
- Reports data to local stakeholders and general public;
- Reports progress made in water quality improvement to USEPA and the public through the NPS Annual Reports and the NPS website; and
- Produces Success Stories for waterbodies that meet water quality standards because NPS activities have been implemented.

Commented [CJ26]: I assume this addresses WQ10 (of which Oregon has completed 1) and possibly SP12. Would like to see a milestone to on addressing barriers or obstacles so DEQ can increase the number of WQ10 stories.

The DEQ efforts identified in the NPS Management Plan have been funded by a combination of federal 319 funds, Oregon general fund, Oregon lottery funds, and other sources of revenue. However, reduction in Oregon's 319 funds from disapproval of the Coastal Nonpoint Control Plan (CNPCP) would significantly impact ~~affect~~ DEQ's ability to implement ~~most, if not all; of~~ the NPS Management Program Plan (see Section 3.4.5 Coastal Zone NPS Management Program for additional information).

Commented [CJ27]: If the reduction is 30%, why would Oregon be unable to implement most or any of the Oregon NPS plan?

3. Oregon's NPS Management Program

3.1 General Description of NPS Management Program

The primary purpose of Oregon's NPS program and plan is to develop and implement strategies to prevent, control, and eliminate water pollution from nonpoint sources in waters of the state to meet water quality standards and TMDL load allocations. The plan represents a unified approach reflecting the fact that Oregon intends to continue to plan, implement and prioritize actions to address NPS problems on a statewide basis.

The NPS Management Program uses a combination of federal and state authority and funding for implementing statewide, programmatic, and geographic priorities, objectives, and strategies to achieve the short- and long-term goals of the NPS Management Program. The state program includes objectives that address nonpoint sources of surface water and ground water pollution as appropriate (including sources of drinking water) in alignment with the goals of the federal CWA.

Oregon's NPS program conducts water quality monitoring and analysis, develops and uses technical water quality/GIS data, with watershed partners using a balanced approach of education, research, technical assistance, financial incentives, and regulation. DEQ also develops and implements pollution control and reduction strategies for the management or regulation of forestry, agriculture, grazing, transportation, recreation, hydromodification, marinas, urban development, land use planning, fish and wildlife habitat, riparian and wetlands protection and restoration, public education, water resources, and other activities that affect the quality of the state's waters.

Another key component of Oregon's NPS Program is the coordination of EPA Section 319 funds that fund DEQ's program staff and the NPS Grant Program. The 319-grant program provides funding to cooperating entities for activities emphasizing watershed protection and enhancement, voluntary stewardship, and partnerships between all watershed stakeholders. The DEQ NPS Program integrates with other relevant programs to restore and protect water quality, aligning priority setting processes and resources to increase efficiency and environmental results. This includes alignment with significant OWEB match funding provided through its parallel granting programs.

Commented [CJ28]: Your funding to cooperating entities does not cover watershed restoration too?

Oregon's NPS Management Program Plan describes outcomes and key actions expected over the 5-Year plan period. Some actions occur every year, others have fixed end target dates, and some occur every 5 y ~~Y~~ears such as updates to Oregon's NPS Program Management Plan and a 5-Year Bureau of Land Management (BLM)/United States Forest Service (USFS)/DEQ MOU progress report and recommendations for revisions/updates to the MOUs. Some example annual milestones include ~~are~~ developing annual section 319 grant work plans, implementing projects in a certain number of high priority impaired watersheds, and delivering a certain number of WQ-10 success stories. Progress on all of these milestones can be found in Oregon's NPS Annual Report submitted to EPA annually as a requirement of Section 319(h) (8) & (11) of the federal Clean Water Act (33 USC 1329).

The NPS Management Program is based on a combination of the following state and federal laws, local ordinances and collaboration efforts as shown in the following figure:

OREGON DEQ WATER QUALITY

Decisions and Actions Related to Planning and Activities



Left Blank on Purpose

Section 319 of the federal Clean Water Act requires states to have NPS pollution management programs based on assessments of the amounts and origins of NPS pollution in the state. The State of Oregon's NPS Management Program relies on a combination of state and federal laws, local ordinances, and coordinates with several state agencies for its implementation. Key agencies for NPS sectors are Oregon's Departments of Forestry and Agriculture. ODA implements the Agriculture Water Quality Management Act and oversees agriculture and rural residential land uses.

Commented [CJ29]: Would be great to include tribes and elaborate on the tribal roles and contributions.

ODF implements the State Forests Management Plan and Forest Practices Act and oversees forestry activity on nonfederal forest and rangelands. DEQ also works with counties and municipalities to promote integration of local NPS efforts. These agencies work in cooperation with DEQ to protect and restore waters of the state affected by NPS pollution.

Other agencies that also have rules and regulations that help in controlling, reducing, and treating NPS pollution are the Oregon Department of Land and Conservation Development (DLCD) and the Department of State Lands (DSL). The DLCD implements the State of Oregon land use planning laws that require each city and county to adopt comprehensive plans and land use regulations that are consistent with statewide goals.

Environmentally sensitive areas such as wetlands, riparian areas, and hazard areas such as steep slopes and floodplains are addressed by the statewide land use planning goals. Local communities are expected and in some cases required to adopt development ordinances such as riparian and wetland protection, and manage development in hazard prone areas to prevent loss of life and property (e.g., floodplains, steep slopes, earthquake prone areas ordinances, etc.). DLCD also administers the state's Coastal Zone Management Program and coordinates with DEQ and other state agencies to implement the state's Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Control Program.

The DSL implements the Oregon Removal-Fill Law (ORS 196.795-990). This law requires projects that would involve the removal or fill of material in waters of the state to obtain a permit from DSL. The purpose of the law is to protect public navigation, fishery, and recreational uses of the waters. "Waters of the State" are defined as "natural waterways including all tidal and non tidal bays, intermittent streams, constantly flowing streams, lakes, wetlands and other bodies of water in this state, navigable and non-navigable, including that portion of the Pacific Ocean that is in the boundaries of this state". The law applies to all landowners, whether private individuals or public agencies.

DEQ has also been working with staff from the Oregon Water Enhancement Board (OWEB), Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), and other funding entities to prioritize and coordinate the state's efforts to address nonpoint sources of pollution. DEQ coordinates the 319 NPS g~~G~~rant proposals with OWEB and Watershed Oregon Councils.

OWEB has the Oregon Watershed Restoration Inventory (OWRI) http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/monitor/Pages/owri.aspx includes completed watershed restoration projects funded by OWEB grants, USFS and BLM, private landowners, and 319 Grant dollars at a subbasin scale. Some NRCS program funds are sometimes used as match for OWEB grants and are included in this database. NRCS data, available at the subbasin scale through Cooperative Agreements includes NRCS funded projects that have been implemented within a given year at a subbasin scale. NRCS and OWEB categorize practices differently, so there is a need to complete a practice crosswalk between these agencies. DEQ is beginning to use data in OWRI for tracking and reporting on restoration activities that are expected to reduce NPS pollution. This information will be reported in the Oregon NPS Pollution Program Annual Reports.

Commented [CJ30]: Does this inventory include watershed restoration projects conducted by tribes or tribal resources?

Commented [CJ31]: Are these milestones in this plan?

BLM and the USFS coordinate restoration and monitoring efforts with state, federal, and local groups. This includes fish and wildlife agencies, Oregon Watershed Councils, environmental groups, timber companies, Tribes, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, DEQ, EPA, and OWEB. Specifically, the agencies provide staff for technical review of Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board (OWEB) grant proposals that include the Oregon Watershed Councils and the Soil and Water Conservation District submissions. In addition, BLM and USFS are represented on the OWEB Board. The agencies support the **Watershed Council Consortium** that brings Oregon Watershed Council coordinators together on an annual basis. The agencies also contribute through water quality

Commented [CJ32]: Can you elaborate on the kinds or types of monitoring (progress towards meeting WQS/ TMDL allocations or BMP effectiveness)?

Commented [CJ33]: For what purpose? What are the goals of this organization?

planning, projects, and implementation of the Governor's **Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds, 1997, Coastal Salmon Restoration Initiative** (http://egov.oregon.gov/OPSW/archives/archived.shtml#Anchor-Plan).

DEQ is committed to continual improvement in coordination between the various DEQ Water Quality Programs and projects including NPS, TMDLs, Integrated Report, Source Water Protection, Groundwater, Clean Water State Revolving Fund, and 319 Project Grants. Coordination among agencies is evidenced by the successful implementation of on-the-ground restoration projects with funding through many opportunities including agency base funds, partnerships through OWEB, watershed councils, and 319 Grant funded projects.

In 2013, DEQ completed another Watershed Basin Status and Action Plan Powder Basin Water Quality Status/Action Plan Summary and Powder Basin Water Quality Status/Action Plan - Full Report
Oregon's total 2013 319-Grant allocation of **$2,058,000** was distributed as follows: **$756,508** or approximately 37% was directed to the twenty-six (26) 319 projects grant and the remainder, **$1,301,492** or approximately 63 %, was directed to the PPA grant to fund 9.45 DEQ staff positions for the NPS program.

The **$756,980** total funds for 2013 were divided in five areas of emphasis, as follows: BMP Implementation (14%), Riparian Restoration; (44%) Pesticide Stewardship Program, (4%); Public Outreach (12%); and Water Quality Assessment (26%). Note that "BMP Implementation" did not include implementation of BMPs identified in a TMDL Implementation Plan and "TMDL Implementation" primarily focused on effectiveness monitoring.

Also in 2013, DEQ provided Clean Water State Revolving Fund loans totaling more than **$6,190,411** to two nonpoint source projects. Central Oregon Irrigation District (received $3.3 million) is piping a portion of their open canals to conserve water and improve water quality. The City of Ashland's CWSRF funds (received $2.9 million) are being used to restore riparian areas within the Bear Creek watershed.

DEQ completed pollutant load reductions estimates for the total 2013 load reduction estimates by pollutant for two (2) 319 funded projects are as follows: 517,291 Pounds/Year Nitrogen Reduction; 112,438 Pounds/Year Phosphorous Reduction; and 18,005 Tons/Year Sedimentation-Siltation Reduction. Load reduction estimates were included in the EPA database GRTS (Grants Reporting and Tracking System).

Commented [CJ34]: Not sure the purpose of including this information in the Plan. Seems more appropriate for the annual NPS progress report.

The following table is Oregon's Key NPS Plan Goals, Action/Requirements, Milestones and Timeframes in implementing Oregon's NPS Plan elements. These key elements are used to track and report on administrative outputs, overall program goals, and planned actions over the next five years. The table is organized by the program plan contents.

DEQ will report on progress made on each of these actions through the Oregon DEQ NPS Annual Report submitted to EPA Region 10. This is one of the key documents used by EPA to determine whether Oregon has make satisfactory progress in its NPS program. EPA's determination of satisfactory progress is required ~~for approval each year. The annual report is required by Section 319 of the federal Clean Water Act~~ in order for Oregon to receive annual 319 grant funding from EPA. The actions and priorities to achieve the goals and objectives described in the NPS MP are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: NPS MP Actions/Requirements, Priorities, and Milestones 2014 to 2018

GOALS	ACTION/REQUIREMENTS	MILESTONES	TIMEFRAME
~~MAJOR~~ NPS PLANS			
Update NPS MP every 5 years	Update Oregon's NPS Plan that describes how the state's NPS management program achieves water quality standards and TMDL load allocations through restoration and protection.	DEQ issues and submits to EPA for approval	2014, 2019
Implement NPS MP	Implement the NPS MP to achieve the NPS Program goals and priorities.	Various milestones as listed in this Table	2014 to 2018
Issue NPS Annual Report	The NPS Annual Report describes the progress in implementing the NPS MP and achieving the NPS Program goals and objectives. DEQ is beginning to use data in OWRI for tracking and reporting on restoration activities that are expected to reduce NPS pollution. This information will be reported in the Oregon NPS Pollution Program Annual Reports.	DEQ issues and submits annually to EPA. ~~for EPA Approval~~	2014 to 2018
Complete the Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Control Program	Submit to EPA and NOAA a plan for achieving: • Management Measures for Urban Areas, Urban Runoff: Operating Onsite Disposal Systems Management. • Management Measures for Urban Areas, Urban Runoff: New Development. • Additional Management Measures for Forestry, as needed, in accordance with state law. Respond to federal comments on the state's strategy for meeting the additional mm for forestry."	DEQ/DLCD issues and submits to EPA and NOAA a Plan for These Management Measures to Obtain Complete Final Approval of the State's CNPCP	2014
BLM Annual Report	The 2011 MOU between the BLM and DEQ requires BLM to submit an Annual Report to DEQ.	BLM submits to DEQ for ~~A~~approval	2014-2018
USFS Annual Report	The 2013 MOU between the USFS and DEQ requires USFS to submit an Annual Report to DEQ.	USFS submits to DEQ for approval	2014-2018

Commented [CJ35]: Great table. I notice that the actions/milestones do not include specific numbers such as the number of watershed basin action plans to be developed. Is that because the specific number or targets are negotiated annually via the annual workplan/PPA/PPG process? If so, may want to explain this.

Commented [CJ36]: Be consistent on how this category is defined or used. Sometimes this category lists the output, other times it provides location for deliverable or output (Information included in the DEQ NPS Annual Report), and still other times it is describing a desired outcome (DMAs Meet TMDL/WQMP responsibilities) or describes how an activity will be accomplished (Coordination between, and effective implementation of, the TMDL/NPS Programs and Agricultural Water Quality Management Program).

Formatted Table

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0" + Indent at: 0.25"

GOALS	ACTION/REQUIREMENTS	MILESTONES	TIMEFRAME
BLM 5-Year Progress Report	The 2011 BLM/DEQ MOU requires the preparation of a BLM/ DEQ 5-Year MOU Progress Report.	Document Progress In Implementing MOU Actions and Update MOUs	2016
319 GRANT PROGRAM			
USFS/DEQ 5-Year Progress Report	The 2013 USFS/DEQ MOU requires the preparation of a USFS/ DEQ 5-Year MOU Progress Report.	Document Progress In Implementing MOU Actions and Update MOUs	2018
319 Grant Funding DEQ NPS Program	DEQ utilizes the 319 Grant funding to implement DEQ activities that achieve the NPS Program goals and priorities.	DEQ NPS Program Funding	2014-2018
319 Grant Funding for pass through Grants	319 Grant funding of projects that address Oregon's ~~Region and HQ~~ NPS Program priorities.	Continue funding NPS Program high priority projects with 319 Grants	2014-2018
Priority projects to receive 319 Grant Funding for pass through Grants	Region and HQ staff identifies and rank projects to receive pass through 319 grant funds for addressing NPS Program priorities.	List of priority projects in the 319 Grant request for proposals	2014-2018
319 Grant RFPs	Continue process improvement of 319 Grant RFPs for timely and efficient issuance. Provide training to DEQ NPS and TMDL staff to increase efficiency and timeliness.	DEQ Provides Timely And Efficient Issuance of 319 Grant RFPs.	2014-2018
319 Grant Administration	Provide guidance to DEQ staff and grant recipients for grant administration. Guidance includes, planning, contracting, invoicing and reporting.	DEQ Develops, Receives EPA Approval and Issues 319 Grant Administration Guidance	2015
GRTS	Continue to report 319 Grant Data into GRTS; Meet annual reporting deadlines.	Provide GRTS Reporting On Time to EPA ~~for Approval~~	2014-2018
NPS Implementation	Collect information from NRCS, USFS, BLM and OWEB on annual NPS project implementation activities including 319 Grant projects.	Include information in the DEQ NPS Annual Report	2014-2018

Commented [CJ36]: Be consistent on how this category is defined or used. Sometimes this category lists the output, other times it provides location for deliverable or output (Information included in the DEQ NPS Annual Report), and still other times it is describing a desired outcome (DMAs Meet TMDL/WQMP responsibilities) or describes how an activity will be accomplished (Coordination between, and effective implementation of, the TMDL/NPS Programs and Agricultural Water Quality Management Program).

Formatted Table

Commented [CJ37]: Do you need EPA approval to issue Oregon guidance. Maybe you are just seeking EPA review.

GOALS	ACTION/REQUIREMENTS	MILESTONES	TIMEFRAME
DEQ's NPS Program Website	DEQ's NPS Program Website updated as needed	DEQ NPS Program website updates at least annually to reflect current RFP and NPS Annual Report and other documents as needed	2014-2018
Watershed Basin Status and Action Plans	Develop a template for Watershed Basin Status and Action Plans. DEQ provides training to DEQ NPS and TMDL staff on its use.	Make Watershed Basin Status and Action Plans Template available to DEQ staff	2015
WATERSHED APPROACH BASIN REPORTS			
Watershed Basin Status and Action Plans	Develop Watershed Basin Status and Action Plans within identified priority watersheds that identify priority problems and waters.	DEQ issues Watershed Basin Status and Action Plans	2014-2018
EPA's Nine Key Elements	Report on how TMDL Implementation Plans and Watershed Basin Status and Action Plans meet EPA's Nine Key Elements.	Include information in the DEQ NPS Annual Report	2014-2018
Volunteer Monitoring	Volunteer Monitoring Watersheds Sample Plans Are Developed.	QAPPs and SAPs reviewed by DEQ	2014-2018
BASIN SPECIFIC PROJECTS			
Basin Specific Activities	Basin specific activities and projects will be prioritized through the various TMDL/NPS Program processes.	Basin specific activities reported in DEQ's NPS Annual Report	2014-2018
TMDLS AND OTHER WQ PROGRAMS			
TMDL Guidance or IMD	Develop TMDL Guidance or IMD on how to produce work plans that identify data needs and how to design~~ing~~ a monitoring study.	TMDL Data Needs and Monitoring Study Produces Implementation Ready TMDLs and WQMPs	2015
Technical Assistance	DEQ headquarters staff will provide technical assistance on TMDL development and TMDL implementation efforts.	DEQ Staff Provide TMDL Technical Assistance to Ensure TMDL Load Allocations and Water Quality Standards Are Met	2014-2018
TMDL IMPLEMENTATION			

Commented [CJ36]: Be consistent on how this category is defined or used. Sometimes this category lists the output, other times it provides location for deliverable or output (Information included in the DEQ NPS Annual Report), and still other times it is describing a desired outcome (DMAs Meet TMDL/WQMP responsibilities) or describes how an activity will be accomplished (Coordination between, and effective implementation of, the TMDL/NPS Programs and Agricultural Water Quality Management Program).

Formatted Table

Commented [CJ38]: Shouldn't this milestone be located until the category "Watershed Approach Basin Reports"?

Commented [CJ39]: To whom? Other DEQ staff? Other agencies?

GOALS	ACTION/REQUIREMENTS	MILESTONES	TIMEFRAME
TMDL Implementation Plans	Work with DMAs to develop and implement TMDL Implementation Plans (including annual reports) as described in the TMDL/WQMP.	DMAs Meet TMDL/WQMP responsibilities	2014-2018
TMDL Implementation Plans	DEQ reviews TMDL Implementation Plan annual reports.	DMAs Meet TMDL/WQMP responsibilities	2014-2018

Commented [CJ36]: Be consistent on how this category is defined or used. Sometimes this category lists the output, other times it provides location for deliverable or output (Information included in the DEQ NPS Annual Report), and still other times it is describing a desired outcome (DMAs Meet TMDL/WQMP responsibilities) or describes how an activity will be accomplished (Coordination between, and effective implementation of, the TMDL/NPS Programs and Agricultural Water Quality Management Program).

Formatted Table

GOALS	ACTION/REQUIREMENTS	MILESTONES	TIMEFRAME
TMDL IMPLEMENTATION (Cont.)			
TMDL Implementation Plan	Develop a process for DEQ staff to review TMDLs and TMDL Implementation Plans every 5 Years.	DMAs Meet TMDL/WQMP responsibilities	2015
TMDL & NPS Implementation	Develop a spreadsheet and process for DEQ to track and report on landscape condition for achieving TMDL implementation timelines and milestones including water quality status and trends.	Information included in the DEQ NPS Annual Report	2014
Reasonable Assurance	Conduct analysis during TMDL/WQMP development to provide reasonable assurance and guide implementation for TMDLs.	Information included in the DEQ NPS Annual Report	2014-2018
TOXICS			
Water Quality Pesticide Management Team and Pesticide Stewardship Partnerships (PSPs)	Continue to work with the WQ-PMT and implement programs to address water quality pesticide issues including the PSP projects as identified in the Toxics Reduction Strategy.	Reduce, where needed, instream pesticide concentrations	2014-2018
Public Water System (PWS)	Continue developing contaminant-specific reduction strategies for public water system use, such as for nitrates and pesticides from urban and rural residential lands.	Reduce or protect PWSs from NPSs of pollution	2014-2018
AGRICULTURE			
Landscape Condition for TMDLs and WQS	Document definition of system potential and site capable vegetation.	Coordination between, and effective implementation of, the TMDL/NPS Programs and Agricultural Water Quality Management Program	2014
Landscape Condition for TMDLs and WQS	Conduct effective shade assessments for evaluating implementation to achieve TMDL/WQS goals under area rules and plan.	Coordination between, and effective implementation of, the TMDL/NPS Programs and Agricultural Water Quality Management Program	2014

Formatted Table

Commented [CJ40]: Isn't this an outcome? Wouldn't the milestone be an output such as a document describing the review process?

GOALS	ACTION/REQUIREMENTS	MILESTONES	TIMEFRAME
AGRICULTURE (Cont.)			
Biennial Review of Area Rule and Plan	Participate in ODA's biennial review process by providing water quality status and trends and landscape condition in priority areas.	DEQ provides substantive input during the Area Rule and Plan revision	2014-2018
Update DEQ Guidance for Biennial Reviews	Collaborate with ODA for updating DEQ guidance for providing comment during ODA's Biennial review Process.	Complete updating DEQ guidance by end of 2015.	2015
Grant Funding	Participate in local grant funding process to direct resources to high priority agricultural issues.	Coordination between, and effective implementation of, the TMDL/NPS Programs and Agricultural Management Water Quality Program	2014-2018
ODA Area Rule Compliance	Work with ODA to prioritize and help develop assessment methodologies for addressing temperature, sediment and sedimentation, bacteria, nutrients, and pesticides.	Coordination between, and effective implementation of, the TMDL/NPS Programs and Agricultural Management Water Quality Program	2014-2018
FORESTRY			
FPA Evaluation	Participate with ODF to jointly develop evaluation methods and study designs (with funding sources) to address unanswered monitoring questions from the Private Forests Monitoring Program Strategic Plan http://www.oregon.gov/odf/privateforests/docs/monitoringstrategicplan.pdf	Private and State Forestlands Meet TMDL Load Allocations and Water Quality Standards	2015
Forest Practices Act Rules	Participate in Forest Practices Act rule analysis and concept development for water quality issues and revisions to management plans for state forests.	Private and State Forestlands Meet TMDL Load Allocations and Water Quality Standards	2014
ODF/DEQ MOA	Participate with ODF on revising the current MOA between ODF and DEQ.	Revision to the 1998 DEQ/ODF MOA	2015
URBAN/ RURAL RESIDENTIAL LANDS			

TMDL and Stormwater	Development of DEQ guidance to improve and establish consistent coordination between TMDL and stormwater programs.	Finalize guidance and provide training to DEQ staff and urban DMAs	2014 - 2018

2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan

GOALS	ACTION/REQUIREMENTS	MILESTONES	TIMEFRAME
FEDERAL LANDS			
USFS Annual Status Report	The USFS will submit to DEQ a Statewide Annual Status Report to meet the MOU and any DEQ TMDL reporting requirements.	USFS submittal of the document to DEQ	2014 - 2018
BLM Annual Status Report	The BLM will submit to DEQ a Statewide Annual Status Report to meet the MOU and any DEQ TMDL reporting requirements.	BLM submittal of the document to DEQ	2014 - 2018
Coordination of USFS and BLM with DEQ	The USFS and BLM will coordinate with DEQ for establishing priorities, strategies, and funding using a watershed approach to protect and restore water quality on BLM and USFS administered lands, this will include WQRPs.	Annual check in on BLM and USFS progress towards meeting TMDL Load Allocations and Water Quality Standards	2014 - 2018
USFS BMPs	As needed, USFS will develop Oregon specific land use activities BMPs and monitor implementation and effectiveness of BMPs following the USDA National Best Management Practices for Water Quality national protocols. http://www.fs.fed.us/biology/resources/pubs/watershed/index.html.	Annual check in on USFS progress towards meeting TMDL Load Allocations and Water Quality Standards	2014 - 2018
BLM BMPs	BLM develops Oregon specific land use activities BMPs, monitor implementation and effectiveness of BMPs, and submits to DEQ for review and comment.	Annual check in on BLM progress towards meeting TMDL Load Allocations and Water Quality Standards	2014 - 2018
Pre-TMDLs and Post-TMDL	The USFS and BLM will use the Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Protocol for Addressing Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Listed Waters, May 1999, Version 2.0.	Annual check in on USFS and BLM progress towards meeting TMDL Load Allocations and Water Quality Standards	2014 - 2018
Agricultural Activities	The USFS and BLM will develop and implement a programmatic strategy to address agricultural activities on federal lands, such as grazing.	Annual check in on USFS and BLM progress towards meeting TMDL Load Allocations and Water Quality Standards	2014 - 2018

3.2 Partnerships

The cornerstone of the Oregon NPS Program is~~,~~, to the maximum extent practicable, ~~, to identify~~ the identification of solutions at the local community level. Watershed Councils, Soil and Water Conservation and Irrigation Districts, cities and counties all play an important part in the state's strategy. DEQ's involvement on OWEB review teams and other program areas is one example of linking NPS planning to implementation of the State's natural resources programs and of its investments with particular focus on water quality and watershed protection and restoration, followed by high quality monitoring.

Oregon has relied on longstanding partnerships to address various activities and sources of NPS pollution. Many of the state's departments, boards, and commissions are now actively involved in addressing NPS pollution and other watershed concerns. In addition, federal agencies are also partners.

This infrastructure sets Oregon apart from other states through a direct linkage between plan and need development, funding mechanisms and subsequent monitoring.

Responsibility for managing water resources in Oregon is shared among several partners that work together in an active and effective partnership to protect state waters. The NPS Program and Grants Guidelines for States issued on April 12, 2013, http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/nps/upload/319-guidelines-fy14.pdf, states that one of the key components of an effective NPS Management Program is that it "… *strengthens its working partnerships and linkages to appropriate state, interstate, tribal, regional, and local entities (including conservation districts), private sector groups, citizens groups, and federal agencies.*"

The following are DEQ's NPS partners:

Local Partners

- Cities (League of Oregon Cities) http://www.orcities.org/
- Counties (Association of Oregon Counties) http://www.aocweb.org/aoc/default.aspx
- Watershed Councils (Network of Oregon Watershed Councils) http://oregonwatersheds.org/
- Soil and Water Conservation Districts (Oregon Association of Conservation Districts) http://oacd.org/

State Agencies

- Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) www.oda.state.or.us
- Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF) www.odf.state.or.us
- Oregon Health Authority (OHA) http://www.oregon.gov/oha/Pages/index.aspx
- Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD) http://egov.oregon.gov/OPRD/index.shtml
- Oregon Department of State Lands (DSL) http://www.oregon.gov/DSL/index.shtml
- Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) http://egov.oregon.gov/DOGAMI/index.shtml
- Oregon State Marine Board (OSMB) (Boat Ramps and Other Access Points) (Marine Board) http://www.boatoregon.com/
- Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board (OWEB) www.oweb.state.or.us
- Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) www.dfw.state.or.us
- Department of Land, Conservation and Development (DLCD) www.lcd.state.or.us
- Department of Oregon Business Development (OBD) http://www.oregon.gov/OBDD/index.shtml
- Department of Transportation (ODOT) http://egov.oregon.gov/ODOT/index.shtml
- Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD) http://www.oregon.gov/owrd/Pages/index.aspx

Commented [CJ41]: Explicitly describe how DEQ and other state agencies partner with Tribes and addresses tribal concerns.

Commented [CJ42]: What about tribes?

Commented [CJ43]: Include the goal of the monitoring or type of monitoring.

Formatted: No underline

Federal Agencies

- Soil and Water Conservation Districts (Oregon Association of Conservation Districts) http://oacd.org/
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) http://www2.epa.gov/aboutepa/epa-oregon
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS) http://www.fs.fed.us/r6/water/
- U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) http://www.blm.gov/or/st/en.html
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) http://www.fws.gov/oregonfwo/
- U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/index.html
- US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) http://www.nwp.usace.army.mil/
- U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) http://www.usbr.gov/pn/
- U.S. National Resource Conservation Services (NRCS) http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/site/or/home/
- U.S. Farm Service Agency (FSA) http://www.fsa.usda.gov/FSA/stateoffapp?mystate=or&area=home&subject=landing&topic=landing

Federally Recognized Tribes of Oregon

1. Burns Paiute Tribe http://www.burnspaiute-nsn.gov/
2. Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw http://ctclusi.org/
3. Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon http://www.grandronde.org/
4. Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians of Oregon http://ctsi.nsn.us/
5. Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation http://ctuir.org/
6. Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon http://www.warmsprings.com/
7. Coquille Indian Tribe http://www.coquilletribe.org/
8. Cow Creek Band of the Umpqua Tribe http://www.cowcreek.com/
9. Klamath Tribes http://www.klamathtribes.org/

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

3.2.4 DEQ Memorandum of Understandings and Memorandum of Agreements

DEQ has memorandum of understandings or memorandum of agreements with many partners that identify the specific roles and responsibilities to either develop and/or implement water quality programs to jointly meet water quality standards or TMDL load allocations. These include but are not limited to the following:

State Agencies

DEQ/ODA – *2012 Memorandum of Understanding Between Oregon Department of Agriculture and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Relating to Agricultural Nonpoint Source Pollution.* http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/nonpoint/docs/ODADEQMOA2012.pdf. The MOA is intended to assist DEQ and ODA in collaborative efforts to meet their legal responsibilities related to agricultural NPS pollution, and to help ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, that agricultural activities in compliance with Area Rules do not cause or contribute to exceedances of water quality standards and that implementation of Area Plans TMDL allocations are achieved in agricultural areas.

DEQ/ODOT – *2011 Memorandum of Understanding between Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)* http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/pubs/igas/ODOTMOU2011.pdf**.** The MOU is entered into to protect water quality while efficently implementing ODOT and DEQ missions.

DEQ/EPA – *2010 Clean Water State Revolving Loan Fund Operating Agreement between the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10.* http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/pubs/igas/CWSRFopAgrmt20100909.pdf . The purpose of the Clean Water State Revolving Loan Fund (CWSRF) is to provide financial assistance for the construction, replacement or improvement

of wastewater treatment works that are publically owned, for the implementation of a management program for nonpoint sources of water pollution, and for the development and implementation of a comprehensive conservation and management plan for estuaries designated under the national estuary program.

DEQ/ODF/ODA/DLCD/ODFW/OPRD – *2006 Memorandum Of Understanding Among Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF),Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA), Oregon Division of State Lands (DSL), Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD), Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW), Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD), and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ).* *E:\WINWORD\Forestry and Forestland Conversion\Conversions MOA Final 2006.doc* The agencies have common interests and responsibilities in protecting waters of the state and other natural resources during the conversion of forestland to non-forest uses.

DEQ/ODF – *1998 Memorandum of Understanding between Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and the Oregon State Department of Forestry* http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/nonpoint/docs/MOUdeqODF.pdf
The MOA is intended to assist DEQ and ODF in collaborative efforts to meet their legal responsibilities related to NPS pollution from non-federal forestlands, and to help ensure to the maximum extent practicable, that forestry activities in compliance with the Forest Practices Act do not cause or contribute to exceedances of water quality standards and that with implementation of the Forest Practices Act TMDL allocations are achieved on non-federal forestlands.

Federal Agencies

DEQ/NRCS/OWEB/ODA – *2010 Memorandum Of Understanding Among U.S. Department Of Agriculture-Natural Resource Conservation Service And Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board And Oregon Department Of Environmental Quality* http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/docs/board/2010-09/itemk_att_a.pdf USDA-NRCS, OWEB and DEQ will work together to share information and technical expertise to monitor, evaluate and report the effectiveness of cumulative conservation and restoration actions in achieving natural resource outcomes focused on water quality and water quantity.
.

DEQ/USFS – *20132 Memorandum of Understanding between U.S. Department of Agriculture-Forest Service's Pacific Northwest Region and State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality to meet state and federal water quality rules and regulations was completed.*
http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/nonpoint/docs/USFSDEQWQMU02.pdf. This MOU documents the USFS and DEQ strategy for managing and controlling point and NPS water pollution from USFS-managed lands in the State of Oregon. This MOU sets out the procedures for the USFS and DEQ to cooperatively implement State and Federal water quality rules and regulations. The physical, chemical, and biological conditions of "Waters of the State" that support beneficial uses (defined in Oregon Revised Statute (ORS), Chapter 468B — Water Quality and Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR), Division 41) will be protected, restored, and maintained by working in a proactive, collaborative, and adaptive manner through this MOU.

DEQ/BLM – *2011 Memorandum of Understanding between United States Department of The Interior Bureau of Land Management and State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality To Meet State and Federal Water Quality Rules and Regulations was completed.*
http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/nonpoint/docs/DEQBLMMOU20110401.pdf.
This MOU documents the BLM and DEQ strategy for managing and controlling point and NPS water pollution from USFS-managed lands in the State of Oregon. This MOU sets out the procedures for the BLM and DEQ to cooperatively implement State and Federal water quality rules and regulations. The physical, chemical, and biological conditions of "Waters of the State" that support beneficial uses (defined in Oregon Revised Statute (ORS), Chapter 468B — Water Quality and Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR), Division 41) will be protected, restored, and maintained by working in a proactive, collaborative, and adaptive manner through this MOU.

Idaho DEQ, Washington DOE, Oregon DEQ, EPA Region X, and the Columbia Basin Tribes – *2000 Memorandum of Agreement Columbia/Snake Rivers Total Maximum Daily Load for Total Dissolved Gas and Temperature.* http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/tmdls/docs/columbiariver/tdg/tmdlmoa.pdf. The purpose of this MOA

is to document a mutual understanding on the approach and roles among Idaho DEQ, Washington DOE, Oregon DEQ, EPA Region X, and the Columbia Basin Tribes to complete a total dissolved gas and temperature TMDL for the mainstem Columbia and Snake Rivers to River Mile 188. Expected roles of non-signatory agencies are also included. The environmental purpose of this effort is to understand the sources of total dissolved gas and temperature loadings and to allocate those loadings based on numeric water quality criteria in order to meet water quality standards. The Total Dissolved Gas TMDL was completed and issued by the states of Oregon and Washington and approved by EPA in 2002. EPA has not yet completed the Columbia River temperature TMDL.

OWEB/USDA
The a~~A~~greement b~~B~~etween the U.S. Department of Agriculture Commodity Credit Corporation and t~~T~~he State of Oregon c~~C~~oncerning the i~~I~~mplementation of a Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP)~~. The MOA~~ describes how the roles and responsibilities of each of these agencies to provide~~s~~ additional protection and restoration of riparian areas.

3.3 Baseline Regulatory Statutes

The NPS Management Program relies on the following State of Oregon and federal rules and regulations:

- Federal Clean Water Act http://www.epw.senate.gov/water.pdf
- Federal Safe Drinking Water Act https://webinsight.arielresearch.com/ArielFT/NAdoc/law/L00072.htm
- EPA National Estuary Program http://water.epa.gov/type/oceb/nep/index.cfm#tabs-2
- ~~NOAA~~ CZARA Section 6217 Coastal NPS Control Program http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/about/czma.html#section6217
- Oregon Revised Statute 468B http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/sb737/docs/LegRpAtt120100601.pdf
- Oregon Water Quality Standards http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/standards/standards.htm
- Oregon TMDL Rule http://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/pages/rules/oars_300/oar_340/340_042.html
- Oregon Forest Practices Act http://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/pages/rules/oars_600/oar_629/629_670.html
- Oregon Agricultural Water Quality Management Act http://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/pages/rules/oars_600/oar_603/603_095.html
- Oregon State Land Use Planning Program, specifically Goal 5 (protection of riparian and wetlands) and Goal 6 (protection of air, water and land resources), Goal 16 (protection of estuaries classified as “natural” or “conservation”, Goal 17 (protection and management of coastal shore lands), (Goal 19, Ocean Resources). http://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/pages/rules/oars_600/oar_660/660_023.html
- Oregon Groundwater Quality Protection rules http://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/pages/rules/oars_300/oar_340/340_040.html

3.3.1 Water Quality Standards

Establishing water quality standards for the state of Oregon is at the core of DEQ’s water quality activities. Standards include beneficial uses of water, such as drinking water, aquatic life, recreation, etc., http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/standards/uses.htm and the water quality criteria designed to protect those uses. The Water Quality Program then acts to protect and restore water quality by implementing those standards, including evaluating whether Oregon’s water quality standards http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/standards/standards.htm are being met through the development of the biennial Integrated Report http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/assessment/2010Report.htm , which includes the section 303(d) list of impaired waters and the section 305(b) report describing the status of Oregon’s surface water quality.

The staff who work on these program areas perform the following activities:

- Conduct triennial standards reviews to establish and update scientifically based water quality standards and related policies.
- Develop and maintain internal directives for and provide guidance to regional and headquarters staff on implementation of water quality standards in various water programs.

- Identify waterbodies not meeting water quality standards and develop Integrated Reports that are linked to the Watershed Approach Basin Reports.

Create a process to develop Integrated Report that complements and supports basin planning efforts:

Commented [CJ44]: Is this the group who would determine high priority waters to be protected?

- Develop guidance for antidegradation for nonpoint sources. (EQC asked for this as part of toxics standards development).
- Revise turbidity standard to clarify implementation of the standard and better protection of beneficial uses
- Explore options for protecting waterbodies from impairment due to nutrients. If needed, develop nutrient standard.
- Ensure that water quality assessment and basin planning efforts provide a comprehensive evaluation of water quality and other environmental information resulting in basin-based water quality status and action plans. DEQ is committed to continue taking this approach.
- Work with our stakeholders to promote development of integrated plans based upon EPA's integrated planning framework. Guided by DEQ's basin assessments and local community needs and priorities, implementation will allow communities to address Clean Water and Safe Drinking Water Act program requirements that yield the highest environmental and public health benefits with a commitment to meet all regulatory obligations.

Commented [CJ45]: What approach?

At least once every three years, Oregon is required to review its water quality standards and submit any new or revised standard to EPA for review and approval. The Oregon water quality standards, including the narrative and numeric criteria, are contained in Chapter 340, Division 41 of the Oregon Administrative Rules, http://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/pages/rules/oars_300/oar_340/340_041.html. The associated tables and figures and additional information may be found on DEQ's water quality standards web page at: http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/standards/standards.htm.

3.3.2 Integrated Report [303(d) and 305(b)]

Every two years, DEQ is required to assess water quality and report to EPA on the condition of Oregon's waters. DEQ prepares an Integrated Report http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/assessment/assessment.htm that meets the requirements of the federal CWA for Section 305(b) and Section 303(d).

- Federal CWA Section 305(b) requires a report on the overall condition of Oregon's waters.
- Federal CWA Section 303(d) requires identifying waters that do not meet water quality standards and where a TMDL pollutant load limit needs to be developed.

The Integrated Report includes an assessment of each water body where data are available, a comparison of water quality information to Oregon's water quality standards, and identification of the Section 303(d) list of water quality limited waters needing a TMDL. DEQ uses the list of impaired waters to set priorities for TMDL development. DEQ's monitoring provides data that is collected to support decisions and for implementing the NPS Management Program.

The Integrated Report provides a comprehensive evaluation of water quality throughout the state. The NPS Management Program uses information from the Integrated Report and the 303(d) list of impaired waters to identify the waters and watersheds where pollutants are likely related to nonpoint sources in the watersheds. DEQ then can focus and prioritize 319 program activities to prevent, control, and eliminate NPS pollution. The Integrated Report information can also complement and support basin-planning efforts, development of basin-based water quality status and action plans, and assist in allocating resources between impaired waters and waters with good water quality.

Commented [CJ46]: Will prioritized lists of waters to be protected or restored be included in the future Integrated Reports?

3.3.3 Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) and Water Quality Management Plans

The federal Clean Water Act requires that water pollutant reduction plans, called TMDLs, be developed for waterbodies that are listed in Category 5 of the Integrated Report (303(d) List). TMDLs describe the maximum amount of pollutants ~~from anthropogenic sources including natural sources~~, that ~~which~~ can enter the river or stream and still meet water quality standards.

TMDLs take into account the pollution from all sources, including discharges from industry and sewage treatment facilities; runoff from farms, forests and urban areas; and natural sources. TMDLs include a margin of safety to account for uncertainty. TMDLs ~~They~~ may include a reserve capacity that allows for future discharges to a river or stream. DEQ typically develops TMDLs on a watershed, subbasin, or basin level and occasionally at the reach level depending on the type and extent of impairments.

The Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) is the framework for TMDL implementation that is issued by Oregon along with the TMDL (OAR 340-042-0040(l)). The WQMP lays out the strategies for TMDL implementation and serves as a multi-sector plan and provides the reasonable assurance that the TMDL will be implemented and allocations achieved.

Process for TMDL and WQMP Development:

- Review existing data and monitor to determine the type and amount of pollutants that are causing water quality impairments. The review and monitoring program attempts to determine how much of the pollutant~~ion~~ comes from point sources and nonpoint sources, and include natural sources such as wildlife.
- Use~~s~~ techniques such as water quality or watershed modeling to determine what effect the pollution is having on the stream or river and how much of the pollutant can be discharged and still meet water quality standards.
- Use~~s~~ this information to establish waste load allocations for point sources (the amount of pollutant the permitted source is allowed to discharge which is incorporated into NPDES permits) and load allocations for nonpoint sources, which are, implemented through the WQMP and TMDL Implementation Plans, Agricultural Area Rules and Plans, Forest Practices Act, Water Quality Restoration Plans, and other planning documents.
- Typically, DEQ develops TMDLs on a basin, subbasin, or watershed scale (generally on a third ~~(3rd)~~ field US Geological Survey Hydrologic Unit Code or smaller).
- Typically, program staff conducts all facets of work in collecting, analyzing, and presenting results. Staff will also perform public and stakeholder outreach to ensure input when decisions are being made. The combination of outreach and development provides for the transition from development of loading capacity and allocations to implementation in permits and planning documents, such as TMDL Implementation Plans.

TMDL Wasteload Allocations are implemented through effluent limits in permits for point source discharges, and NPS Load Allocations are implemented by DMAs and other designated sources.

DEQ staff actively implement TMDLs by:

- Revising industrial and municipal wastewater permits to incorporate revised permit limits.
- Working with ODA staff to implement the Agricultural Water Quality Management Act to implement the TMDLs effectively on agricultural lands.
- Working with the ODF staff for implementation on state and private forestlands, through the Oregon Forest Practices Act and long-range management plans.
- Working with ODA and ODF to implement their programs to meet TMDL allocations.
- Assisting local governments identified as DMAs in developing TMDL Implementation Plans for urban and rural residential areas.
- Working with the USFS, BLM and other federal agencies on developing their implementation planning documents and implementing their programs for lands under their jurisdiction.

Commented [CJ47]: Need to address the following under this section and possibly as a milestone.
"In order to ensure § 319-funded TMDLs have maximum utility for informing and facilitating the implementation of NPS projects, as a condition of using § 319 funds to develop TMDLs, the state will include the following supplemental information to support the load allocations specified in the TMDL: (1) an identification of total NPS existing loads and total NPS load reductions necessary to meet water quality standards, by source type; (2) a detailed identification of the causes and sources of NPS pollution by source type to be addressed in order to achieve the load reductions specified in the TMDL (e.g., acres of various row crops, number and size of animal feedlots, acres and density of residential areas); and (3) an analysis of the NPS management measures by source type expected to be implemented to achieve the necessary load reductions, with the recognition that adaptive management may be necessary during implementation. EPA encourages state NPS staff to work with state TMDL staff during TMDL development. NPS staff can bring knowledge of BMP effectiveness and feasibility to ensure that NPS load reduction goals in the TMDL are achievable. Additionally, coordination between the two programs will provide a smoother transition from development of the TMDL to its implementation.

Because the submission of this additional information is a § 319 NPS program requirement, the information provided may be reviewed for adequacy by EPA regional NPS program staff as part of the grant oversight process. Such review is separate from the review by EPA regional staff pursuant to Clean Water Act § 303(d) and EPA's TMDL regulations at 40 CFR Part 130.7, of the proposed TMDLs submitted by states.

Commented [CJ48]: Seems like this phase contradicts itself and best to be deleted.

Commented [CJ49]: Pollution is not covered by TMDLs—only pollutants.

Under most circumstances, TMDL Implementation Plans for improved water quality rely on cooperation among landowners and land managers within a river basin. Local watershed councils, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, or other organizations will serve as community-based coordination points for these united efforts. Agencies and municipalities with jurisdiction over sources of NPS pollution and sources not covered by permit are required to submit TMDL Implementation Plans to DEQ. These plans describe actions that will be taken to reduce their contribution of the TMDL pollutant load.

TMDL Program p~~P~~riorities for DEQ ~~to use~~ to better develop and implement TMDLs/WQMPs for nonpoint and point sources:

- Development: Draft a guidance document for TMDL and WQMP development.
- Development: ~~Areas where land uses and land management are a source or potential source of the pollutant~~ TMDLs will be developed to address the nonpoint source(s) in areas where land uses and land management are a source or potential source of the pollutant.
- Development: Provide better reasonable assurance during TMDL development process.
- Implementation: Work with DMAs to assure they are meeting TMDL priorities that address their responsibilities identified in the TMDL or WQMP.
- Implementation: Identify lead staff to work with sister agency DMAs to achieve consistency and efficiency.
- Implementation: Conduct additional analysis to provide better reasonable assurance and guide implementation for existing TMDLs that are identified as priorities.
- Implementation: Continue to build relationships with funding agencies and entities to direct funding toward high priority projects.
- Implementation: Align TMDL development source assessment, linkage analysis, and allocation methods with WQMP development and TMDL implementation methods and priorities so that administrative outputs and landscape and water quality outcomes can be measured and tracked for reporting of program effectiveness.
- Outreach and training: Conduct outreach and training on ~~By using~~ the "Urban and Rural Residential DMAs Guidance for Including Post-Construction Elements in TMDL Implementation Plans."

3.3.4 General Permits for Pesticides

Pesticide applications that result in the discharge to waters of the state from the use of biological pesticides or chemical pesticides that leave a residue require an NPDES permit. The need for the permit resulted from a federal court decision requiring permits for pesticide applications in, over, or near water.

Pesticide general permit 2300-A provides permit coverage for Mosquito and Other Flying Insect Pest Control, Weed and Algae Control, Nuisance Animal Control, Forest Canopy Pest Control, and Area-wide Pest Control. An estimated 1,500 entities that decide to apply pesticides or have day-to-day control over pesticide application may require permit coverage. These entities include weed control districts, vector control districts, golf courses, lake and marina managers, public utilities, property owners and federal, state and municipal agencies who apply pesticides in, over, or near water.

The Pesticide general permit 2300-A is not for pesticide applications to dry land. This general permit does not cover the discharge to a water body that has been identified as water quality limited on the 303(d) list for a pesticide, its chemical residual or degrades when a waste load allocation for the relevant pollutant parameter does not exist. A discharge to a water quality limited water body may require an individual permit with more detailed site-specific evaluation that may result~~s~~ in additional technology-based and/or water quality-based effluent limitations.

DEQ is developing a new permit (the 2000-J) for pesticide use in irrigation systems. DEQ conducted a public comment period on the proposed permit that ended in fall 2012. Until this permit is made available, pesticide use in irrigation systems can continue to use pesticide general permit 2300-A. NPDES permits do not apply to agricultural stormwater discharges and irrigation return flow from irrigated agriculture because these are excluded from permitting under the Clean Water Act.

Commented [CJ50]: Update? Still under development?

More information on these permits is available at this DEQ website: http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/wqpermit/pesticides.htm.

3.4 Other Management Programs that Address NPS

Oregon's NPS Control Program Plan identifies the pollution management programs, strategies, and resources that are currently in place or that are needed to minimize or prevent NPS pollution effects. DEQ has the responsibility of overseeing and implementing the ~~s~~State's NPS Management Program by coordinating with many local, state, and federal agencies, tribes and other organizations throughout the State of Oregon. The NPS Management Plan describes the unified effort of many agencies and individuals and their various pollution control strategies that are currently taking place or are proposed for future implementation.

Commented [CJ51]: Is that this plan or another one? Need to be consistent in titles of documents.

3.4.1 Watershed Approach Basin Reports

DEQ coordinates its work to protect and improve Oregon's water by following the watershed approach. DEQ uses the term "watershed" to describe an area of land that contains related waterways. These watersheds may be traditional basins, areas that drain into a single waterway or an area that contains similar waterways, such as a group of coastal rivers.

Watershed Approach Basin Reports are in-depth assessments conducted by DEQ of the state's basins. These assessments take the form of local Water Quality Status and Action Plans, which describe water quality conditions and include recommendations for actions that DEQ and others who are interested in these basins can take to improve water quality. Where reports have been developed, DEQ has been able to use the action plans and basin priorities to determine how resources will be allocated.

The DEQ water quality program has increased its emphasis on the "watershed approach" as a way to better identify and address water quality issues in a basin or region. The watershed approach combines the expertise of DEQ's 17 water quality sub-programs to produce basin-based assessments that are data-driven and contain quantitative elements that describe all water quality conditions. This means that in some basins the pollutants identified as causing water quality issues includes additional (different) pollutants than that included on DEQ's 303(d) list or in a TMDL Water Quality Management Plan. This is one of the values of conducting a watershed approach.

DEQ develops the Watershed Approach Basin Reports that includes Water Quality Status and Action Plans with the help of local stakeholders, such as communities, watershed councils and conservation districts, as well as local, state and federal agencies, to provide data and smart solutions to local water quality issues. The watershed approach allows opportunities for direct, interactive feedback between DEQ and its many stakeholders. An important need for producing better basin reports is to obtain additional funding, particularly for LIDAR work. DEQ staff have identified the need to work with natural resource agencies on a legislative package to fund additional LIDAR.

Commented [CJ52]: Including tribes?

Commented [CJ53]: May want to explain why LiDAR work is so important and how it furthers the goals of the watershed approach for specific basins.

The watershed approach framework is being used by DEQ to improve water quality throughout Oregon, protect drinking water, fish habitat, and water quality in general, which can also boost Oregon's economy. A clean and more dependable water supply is good for industry, promotes healthier commercial and recreational fisheries, and encourages tourism. Clean waterways also help ensure that Oregonians of all ages have safe places to swim and play.

Watershed Plans identify strategies ~~-~~for improving state waters on a geographic basis with the state's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting, assessment, Groundwater Management Area , and TMDL work aligned and prioritized according to the watersheds.

Commented [CJ54]: Is this the same as the Watershed Approach Basin Report?

The watershed approach uses available information to identify water quality priorities and actions to protect or restore water quality. This Watershed Approach Basin Reports are used by DEQ to:

- Identify and address all water quality issues in a basin or region.

- Share its findings with affected stakeholders and residents, so all parties learn how to better manage our watersheds.
- Prioritize immediate and long-term actions that can be taken in a particular basin or watershed that have been identified through DEQ's Watershed Approach Basin Reports and Water Quality Status and Acton Plans.
- Encourage all involved to be flexible and open to new ways of solving problems (including voluntary collaboration where possible) to avoid duplication of efforts.
- Regularly assess the situation in each basin, to determine in an outcome-based approach what is working and what is not.

DEQ plans to cover the state's major basins in the next few years and then re-visit each to mark progress and reassess how to deal with lingering water quality problems.

The DEQ Watershed Approach Basin Reports Water Quality Status and Acton Plans can be found at http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/watershed/watershed.htm

3.4.2 Cross Program Efforts to Address Toxic Chemicals

DEQ developed a comprehensive, integrated approach to address toxic pollutants in the environment. An integrated approach is essential because these pollutants readily transfer from one environmental media to another (e.g., mercury can be released to the air, deposit on the land, and run off to the water). DEQ's cross-media toxics reduction strategy is meant to ensure that DEQ is addressing the problem of toxics in the environment in the most effective and efficient way.

A short summary of the Draft Toxics Reduction and Assessment Actions, and a document providing more detailed (1-2 page) descriptions of each of the draft actions can be found on DEQ's Toxics Reduction web page. The summary of Strategy actions, some of which directly involve NPS staff, can be found at: http://www.deq.state.or.us/toxics/docs/ToxicsStrategyNov28.pdf.

The oObjectives of the DEQ cCross pProgram eEfforts to aAddress tToxic cChemicals:

- Optimize agency resources by focusing on the highest priority pollutants in a coordinated way.
- Implement actions that reduce toxic pollutants at the source.
- Establish partnerships with other agencies and organizations to increase the effective use of public and private resources.
- Use environmental outcome metrics to measure the effectiveness of strategy implementation where feasible.

DEQ is currently focused on implementing five short-term priority actions identified in the Toxics Reduction Strategy: (a) expanding and enhancing the Pesticide Stewardship Partnership Program (see below), (b) developing and implementing a pesticide waste collection strategy, (c) working with consumer product retailers to reduce toxics in products, (d) integrating business technical assistance across programs to advance green chemistry, and (e) developing and implementing low toxicity state purchasing guidelines.

The technical assistance and state purchasing initiatives are also directly linked to an executive order (#12-05) signed by Oregon's Governor in April 2012. Most recently, DEQ supported the Oregonstate Department of Administrative Services (DAS) in developing a new janitorial supplies contract with comprehensive and detailed guidelines and specifications that ensure the janitorial and cleaning products purchased by the state contain low toxicity ingredients. The State of Washington is also is participating in this contract, which is estimated to represent approximately $20 million in total purchasing power.

3.4.2.1 Pesticides Stewardship Partnerships (PSPs)

The Pesticide Stewardship Partnership (PSP) approach uses local expertise in combination with water quality sampling to obtain monitoring data to encourage and support voluntary management measures that lead to measurable reduction of pesticides in Oregon waters. Since 1999, DEQ has been using a voluntary, collaborative approach called PSPs to identify problems and improve water quality associated with pesticide use. This program has been supported by grants and other small sources of funding for over a decade.

In 2013, DEQ and the Oregon Department of Agriculture obtained funding from the state legislature to implement and expand PSPs. This funding allows DEQ, ODA, and other WQPMT member agencies to add new PSP projects in more watersheds around the state, conduct several pesticide waste collection events, and enlist Oregon State University (OSU) and local expertise in providing pesticide risk reduction technical assistance.

The following PSP objectives are ~~used~~:

- Identify additional watersheds for PSP projects,
- Provide timely water quality information to local partners,
- Use stream monitoring to identify local, pesticide-related water quality concerns,
- Share results early and often with partners in the watershed,
- Explain data in terms of the effects of pesticides on the health of streams,
- Engage the agricultural community and other pesticide user groups in identifying and implementing solutions, and
- Use ongoing effectiveness monitoring to measure success and provide feedback to support water quality management.

The PSP approach of using water-monitoring data to inform voluntary actions continues to show success in selected watersheds. Since 2010, significant decreases (up to 90%) in average and median stream concentrations of pesticides of concern (Malathion and Diuron) have been observed in the Mill Creek (The Dalles) and Walla Walla (Milton-Freewater) watersheds. DEQ, ODA and other partners are currently working on refining PSP efforts in Western Oregon watersheds to produce similar demonstrable water quality improvements as have been observed in Eastern Oregon watersheds.

PSP work continues in Eastern Oregon with partners in Hood River and Walla Walla River Watersheds, as well as watersheds in Wasco County. Outreach efforts continued to be focused on communicating PSP monitoring results and providing technical assistance to orchards. The monitoring data shows continued significant reductions in concentrations of diuron (herbicide) in the Walla Walla w~~W~~atershed and Malathion (insecticide) in Wasco County watersheds. In addition, levels of almost all pesticides in the Hood River w~~W~~atershed remain well below relevant criteria or benchmarks.

DEQ continues PSP work with partners in four watersheds in the Willamette Valley: Clackamas, Pudding, and Yamhill River, and Amazon watersheds. The monitoring locations in these watersheds are located in a range of agricultural, urban and forested areas. DEQ and ODA worked with other partners to identify sub-watersheds and streams in these Willamette Valley watersheds where pesticide water quality concerns are the greatest, and focus outreach and technical assistance efforts more intensively in those areas.

More information on the PSP program can be found here: http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/pesticide/pesticide.htm

3.4.2.2 Water Quality Pesticide Management Team (WQPMT)

The Water Quality Pesticide Management T~~t~~eam (WQPMT) is an inter-agency team composed of representatives from DEQ, ODA, OHA, ODF, OWEB and OSU. The WQPMT was formed to coordinate, communicate, support, and facilitate water quality protection programs, within the four agencies, related to pesticides in the State of Oregon. The WQPMT operates under a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) established in 2009. ODA is the lead coordinating agency under the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) - ODA Consolidated Pesticide Cooperative Agreement.

The priorities for the WQPMT are:

- Expansion of and coordination of PSP-type monitoring programs. Expansion should include urban pesticide use along with groundwater and sediment monitoring efforts.
- Integration into each WQPMT member agency activities
- Determine ways of prioritizing allocation of limited pesticide monitoring and outreach resources at a smaller scale in watersheds.
- Possibly expand scope of WQPMT to include fertilizers.
- Conduct watershed vulnerability assessments and prioritization.
- Coordination of state agencies in prioritizing and implementing management tasks described in the PSP based on the assessment of monitoring data using the established Response Matrix.
- Standardize reporting of monitoring data and WQPMT assessments and recommendations.
- Develop consensus on how to assess the presence of mixtures in monitoring samples.
- Actively engage in policy discussions/decisions regarding the coordination and overlap of federal CWA-FIFRA issues.
- Minimize duplicate work by coordinating with TMDL, PSP and other management and monitoring efforts.
- Continue coordination with various DEQ toxics programs through the DEQ Toxics Reduction Strategy.
- Maintain and build communication between each agency's water quality programs and key stakeholders.
- Continue outreach, communication, and maintenance of interest/resources on pesticide impact on water quality.
- Pursue additional partnership opportunities with other state agencies, universities, and colleges.

3.4.3 Drinking Water Protection

The State of Oregon Drinking Water Protection Program works to implement strategies ensuring the highest quality water is provided to public intakes and wells. Mandated by the 1996 Federal Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), Source Water Assessments have been completed for all public water systems that have at least 15 hookups, or serve more than 25 people year-round. These assessments include identification of risk associated with the land management activities in the source water areas. Refer to DEQ's drinking water website for more information on the assessments: http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/dwp/dwp.htm.

The data generated from the Source Water Assessments (SWA) that were performed from 2000 through 2005 continues to be of use to the NPS Management Program and is readily accessible by others. It is utilized to assist other DEQ programs to identify priority areas for permit modifications, inspections, technical assistance and cleanup. It has been provided to several other state and federal agencies including Oregon Emergency Response System, Oregon Department of Transportation, ODF, ODA, DLCD, Oregon State Marine Board (OSMB), Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD), United States Forest Service (USFS), USDA, and the BLM to facilitate incorporation of protection strategies into their respective programs.

Commented [CJ55]: How is this data used in the NPS program?

Maps and downloadable statewide GIS shape files ~~are~~ also are available on the DEQ Drinking Water Protection website at http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/dwp/dwp.htm ~~and~~ and can be accessed on DEQ's Facility Profiler, DEQ's Laboratory Analytical Storage and Recovery database, DEQ's Incident Response Information System, Oregon State University's Institute for Natural Resources Oregon Explorer, and the Oregon Geospatial Data Clearinghouse. DEQ receives an average of 3-4 requests for data every month from local governments, federal contractors, and consultants. GIS shape files and coverages of locations and drinking water source areas are provided when effective security of the data is provided.

Field Code Changed

The inventories of point and nonpoint contaminant sources within the drinking water source areas provide useful information as the community or agencies evaluate the risks and prioritize protection strategies. DEQ developed a BMPs database for the 88 most common potential contaminant sources for drinking water in Oregon (available under "technical assistance" in DEQ's Drinking Water Protection (DWP) website). The database provides tasks that range from educational outreach to regulatory approaches that public water systems or communities can take to reduce their risk. The database can be used to pull the BMPs for a public water system or geographic area from our

GIS layers into a format that communities can use to choose their drinking water protection strategies for groundwater or surface water. Many of these BMPs address nonpoint sources of pollution.

DEQ's NPS analyst for drinking water protection regularly assists the DEQ NPS Management Program with forestry and agriculture issues, provides reviews of NPS Management Program activities, and participates in efforts to evaluate and improve if necessary the Oregon Forest Practices Act (FPA) rules for stream protection benefiting fish and drinking water, especially in Western Oregon.

An analysis of nitrate risks at public water systems showed the factors (e.g. well construction) that~~, which~~ create vulnerability and identified soil/aquifer characteristics that relate to nitrate contamination. GIS layers were created that show this vulnerability. That information is being made available for producers and to the staff of Soil and Water Conservation Districts. Further dissemination and use of this information is a program priority.

Analysis of turbidity trends at several Coast Range Public Water Systems was completed in 2010. Future work should examine turbidity relationships with flow, storm events, geology, land use, and other relevant factors. Staff also reviewed the technical basis for turbidity standard revisions, participated as part of the Internal Review Team, and wrote a draft document detailing drinking water protection options for private forestlands. Staff is also engaged in scientific review and analysis of sediment regimes and the adequacy of related forest practice rules in cooperation with ODF staff and managers. Please refer to the "State and Private Forest Land" section of this report for further information on priorities with regard to forest practices.

3.4.4 Groundwater Protection and Groundwater Management Areas (GWMAs)

Groundwater makes up approximately 95 percent of available freshwater resources in Oregon. Approximately 70 percent of all Oregon residents rely solely or in part on groundwater for drinking water. Over 90 percent of rural Oregonians rely on groundwater for drinking water. The goals of the Oregon Groundwater Quality Protection Act of 1989 (ORS 468B.150 – 468B.190) are to prevent contamination of groundwater resources, conserve and restore groundwater, and maintain the high quality of Oregon's groundwater resource for present and future uses.

Groundwater is present beneath almost every land surface and is sometimes at very shallow depths. It is vulnerable to contamination from NPS and activities that take place on the land as well as from discharges of wastes and pollutants at or below the ground surface. DEQ uses a combination of water quality and land quality programs to help prevent groundwater contamination from point and nonpoint sources of pollution, clean up pollution sources, and monitor and assess groundwater and drinking water quality. Once groundwater becomes contaminated, it is very difficult to clean up. This contamination may impair groundwater for use as drinking water and may affect the quality of the surface waters where it comes to the surface.

Groundwater protection authority under Oregon state law is primarily vested in DEQ, although other agencies and counties have important roles, particularly with regard to controlling NPS that could pollute groundwater. This can include DEQ designating Groundwater Management Areas (GWMAs) when groundwater in an area has elevated contaminant concentrations resulting, at least in part, from nonpoint sources. A contaminant is considered elevated when its concentration in an area is greater than or equal to 70% if the Maximum Contaminant Level set by EPA under the Safe Drinking Water Act.

Once the GWMA is declared, a local Groundwater Management Committee comprised of affected and interested parties is formed. The Committee then works with and advises the state agencies that are required to develop a GWMA Action Plan that will reduce groundwater contamination in the area. This plan contains a description of the voluntary actions that, when implemented by the various agencies and organizations involved, could reduce the amount of NPS and/or point source pollution leaching into the groundwater. The action plan ~~will~~ identifies~~y~~ sources such as irrigated agriculture, land application of food processing water, septic systems (rural residential areas), and confined animal feeding operations.

Priorities for groundwater protection are:

- Identify areas outside of GWMAs that may need additional groundwater protection actions.
- Coordinate DEQ programs with roles in groundwater protection to reach GWMA program objectives more efficiently.
- Continue DEQ and ODA funding of groundwater projects through various grants and loans including a groundwater research grant, federal Clean Water Act 319 grants, and Clean Water State Revolving Fund loans.

Objectives for groundwater protection are:

- Prevent pollution of groundwater by implementing water quality programs related to agriculture, underground storage tanks, underground injection control, on-site septic systems, development, and other activities that have the potential to pollute groundwater.
- Continue to implement GWMA Action Plans in Oregon's three GWMAs.
- Monitor groundwater quality and trends throughout the state.

Strategies in non-GWMAs include:

- Continue to work cooperatively with Deschutes County to implement groundwater protection programs in the La Pine area.
- Disseminate information about soil and aquifer characteristics that increase vulnerability of groundwater.
- Continue funding and support of research, education, and implementation of BMPs for groundwater protection, as funding allows.

Oregon has designated three GWMAs because of elevated nitrate concentrations in groundwater. These include the Lower Umatilla Basin GWMA, the Northern Malheur County GWMA, and the Southern Willamette Valley GWMA. Each one has developed a voluntary action plan to reduce nitrate concentrations in groundwater.

Northern Malheur County GWMA:

The Northern Malheur County (NMC) GWMA was declared in 1989. An Action Plan was adopted in 1991 that identifies the source of contamination and measures to be taken to reduce the contamination. The nitrate trend in the Northern Malheur County GWMA is slightly declining. Some of the activities in the NMC GWMA are:

- Continue to implement the North Malheur County GWMA Action Plan and evaluate the performance or success of the management plan in reducing groundwater contamination.
- Continued sampling of Northern Malheur County GWMA well network consisting of 36 wells sampled quarterly. The next regional trend analysis should be completed in Spring 2014.

Commented [CJ56]: Status?

Lower Umatilla Basin GWMA:

The Lower Umatilla Basin (LUB) GWMA was declared in 1990. An Action Plan was adopted in 1997 that details the sources of nitrate and measures to be taken to reduce the nitrate contamination. The nitrate trend in the LUB GWMA continues to increase, although at a slower and slower rate. Some of the activities in the LUB GWMA are:

- Continue to implement the Lower Umatilla Basin Action Plan and evaluate the performance or success of the management plan in reducing groundwater contamination.
- Continued sampling of Lower Umatilla Basin GWMA well network consisting of 31 wells sampled quarterly.
- Revis~~ion of~~ the LUB GWMA action plan by the LUB GWMA Committee after the *Third Four-Year Evaluation of Action Plan Success in the Lower Umatilla Basin GWMA is finalized.* Completed in January 2013, the document *Third Four-Year Evaluation of Action Plan Success in the Lower Umatilla Basin GWMA* is currently being prepared for publication.
- Complete~~ion of~~ the Communications and Outreach Plan by the Lower Umatilla Basin GWMA Committee in the first half of 2014.

Commented [CJ57]: Still being prepared for publication?

Commented [CJ58]: Status?

- Work with the City of Irrigon to develop their voluntary Source Water Protection Plan.

Southern Willamette Valley GWMA:

The Southern Willamette Valley (SWV) GWMA has been the focus of studies for 20 years because of concerns about elevated levels of nitrate in the shallow groundwater. The nitrate contamination originates from many everyday sources, such as fertilizer application, septic systems, and animal waste. In 2004, DEQ designated the Southern Willamette Valley as a GWMA to help ensure that Willamette Valley groundwater could continue to provide a high quality resource for present and future use. Since then, local stakeholders have been engaged in planning to protect and improve the groundwater resource in the Southern Willamette Valley. To view the website for this project, go to http://gwma.oregonstate.edu/.

DEQ continues to monitor the 24 monitoring wells DEQ installed in the Southern Willamette Valley, as well as the 17 domestic wells that make up the long-term monitoring program. The 2009 "Synoptic Event' included one-time sampling of a little over 100 additional wells that brought new understanding to the depth of nitrate impacts in some areas of the SWV GWMA. DEQ has~~We have~~ added several additional monitoring wells and six surface water locations to the long-term monitoring program in order to better assess this concern. In addition, EPA has volunteered to run stable isotopic analyses on surface and groundwater samples collected by the DEQ Lab.

Some of the other activities in the SWV GWMA are:

- Coordinate the Southern Willamette Valley GWMA Committee and implementation activities to reduce area-wide groundwater contamination.
- Continue monitoring 41 wells in the Southern Willamette Valley GWMA to determine groundwater trends. Provide EPA samples for stable isotopes analyses.
- Collaborate with EPA and Benton Soil and Water Conservation District on two grants that will focus on evaluating the effectiveness of conservation enhancement practices in reducing nitrate pollution to the groundwater in the Southern Willamette Valley GWMA.
- Conduct a focus group with randomly selected neighbors of two small schools in the GWMA, which have Public Water Systems with nitrate at or near 10 mg/L nitrate-N, to determine how to best incorporate groundwater protection into the daily life of those GWMA residents.
- Plan for a similar focus group targeting those growers managing large acreages.
- Use a social marketing approach to facilitate behavior change regarding groundwater protection.
- Update the Southern Willamette Valley Action Plan, to reflect activities that have been completed, and include additional voluntary strategies that were not part of the original Action Plan.
- Use the analyses to direct future work and GWMA Committee meeting topics.
- Evaluate funding sources for the Southern Willamette Valley GWMA, which may become a non-profit entity.
- Evaluate the potential nitrate impact to a 'deeper' aquifer in the Linn County area of the Southern Willamette Valley GWMA.

3.4.5 Coastal Zone NPS Management Program

Section 6217 of the Coastal NPS Control Program, CZARA
http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/about/czma.html#section6217
requires all applicable states and territories to develop Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Control Programs (CNPCP) to reduce impact from polluted runoff on coastal waters. CZARA is jointly administered by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the EPA. EPA and NOAA must approve a state's nonpoint pollution control program. If the federal agencies do not approve a state's CNPCP program, federal funding for DLCD's coastal management program and DEQ's NPS pollution control programs are reduced. Oregon's CNPCP program has not yet received full approval by NOAA and EPA. If EPA 319 funding reductions occur, it will make it difficult to implement Oregon's NPS Management Plan measures.

Formatted: Line spacing: single

CZARA requires states with approved coastal management programs to implement a set of 56 management measures that reduce NPS pollution. The measures are designed to control runoff from six main sources: forestry, agriculture, urban areas, marinas, hydromodification (such as dams or shoreline and stream channel modification), and wetlands and vegetated shorelines, or riparian areas. Where there is information to indicate that these 56 management measures are not sufficient to attain water quality standards, or protect critical coastal waters, ~~states are required to develop and implement~~ additional management measures should be included to the state's CNPCP.

According to NOAA and EPA, a state's program is expected to build on existing coastal zone management and water quality programs by applying a consistent set of economically achievable management measures to prevent and mitigate polluted runoff. To obtain approval, a state must describe how it will implement 56 NPS pollution controls management measures that conform to those described in Guidance Specifying Management Measures for Sources of Nonpoint Pollution in Coastal Waters ((g) Guidance) http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/nps/czara/index.cfm.

Oregon's CNPCP was developed by DEQ and the Department of Land and Conservation (DLCD) in partnership with several other state agencies. Oregon's CNPCP boundary includes roughly all lands west of the crest of the Coast Range and the entire Rogue and Umpqua River watersheds. At the north end, the area extends up the Columbia River to Puget Island, near the Clatsop-Columbia County line.

CZARA requires Oregon's program to describe the programs and enforceable policies and mechanisms the state will use to implement management measures. Oregon DEQ, in conjunction with the ODF and ODA, has broad authority to prevent and control water pollution from nonpoint sources within the state. Together, these agencies have the statutory authority to~~:~~ prevent NPS pollution,~~;~~ to adopt additional rules to require implementation of measures as necessary to control discharges from nonpoint sources,~~;~~ to enforce prohibitions on NPS discharges,~~;~~ and to require restoration, as necessary.

Oregon submitted elements of its plan for approval to NOAA and EPA in 1995. On January 13, 1998, the federal agencies approved the Oregon Coastal Nonpoint Program subject to specific conditions that the state still needed to address (see "Oregon Conditional Approval Findings") at
http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/nonpoint/docs/findor.txt

Since 1998, Oregon has received interim approval on all but two of the (g) Guidance management measures and its strategies for meeting other required elements of the program. The state is also being required by EPA and NOAA to adopt and implement additional management measures for forestry due to the number of 303(d) listed stream segments and the presence of endangered salmon and steelhead species within the CNPCP management area.

Commented [CJ59]: I am not sure whether this description correctly identifies the reasons for requiring additional forestry MMs. Will need to check and get back to you on this.

On December 20, 2013, NOAA and EPA issued a notice of public comment in the Federal Register. *Federal Registrar Docket: Proposed Disapproval Findings of Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Program*
http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/nonpoint/oregonDocket/OR%20CZARA%20Decision%20Doc%2012-20-13.pdf
NOAA and EPA state that *"the document contains the bases for the proposed determination by the NOAA and the EPA that the State of Oregon (State) has failed to submit an approvable Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Control Program (Coastal Nonpoint Program) as required by Section 6217(a) of the Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments of 1990 (CZARA), 16 U.S.C. 1455b. NOAA and EPA arrive at this proposed decision because the federal agencies find that the State has not fully satisfied all conditions placed on the State's Coastal Nonpoint Program."*

EPA and NOAA identified the following outstanding issues in need of resolution prior to full program approval. :

- Management Measures for Urban Areas, Urban Runoff: Operating Onsite Disposal Systems Management
- Management Measures for Urban Areas, Urban Runoff: New Development
- Additional Management Measure, Forestry
  - Protect medium, small, and non-fish bearing streams;
  - Protect high-risk landslide areas;
  - Effectively address the impacts of road operation and maintenance, particularly legacy roads; and
  - Ensure the adequacy of stream buffers for the application of certain chemicals.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.5" + Indent at: 0.75"

Oregon is addressing the three remaining management measures in the following ways in order to gain program approval:

- Management Measures for Urban Areas, Urban Runoff: Operating Onsite Disposal Systems Management.
  - Oregon will address onsite septic system issues through an at-time-of- transfer inspection for septic systems to ensure systems are inspected when a property in the CNPCP management area changes hands.
- Management Measures for Urban Areas, Urban Runoff: New Development.
  - DEQ will issue a "Guidance to Urban and Rural Residential DMAS for Including Post-Construction Elements in TMDL Implementation Plans".
  - DEQ and DLCD will train local governments and other stakeholders about the guidance and help them develop effective stormwater management plans.

- Additional Forestry Measures Addressing Medium, Small And Non-Fish Bearing Streams, High-Risk Landslide Areas, The Impacts Of Road Operation And Maintenance, Particularly Legacy Roads.
  - On July 1, 2013, Oregon submitted its plan to address the additional forestry measures. The state's submittal included a description of Oregon's regulatory and policy framework for managing private forestlands to ensure protection of water quality and associated beneficial uses.
  - This framework involves a comprehensive, science-based program of regulatory and voluntary measures that includes periodic evaluation and course correction to ensure environmental outcomes can be achieved.
  - Ongoing investment in monitoring to update the *Sufficiency Analysis: A Statewide Evaluation of Forest Practices Act Effectiveness in Protecting Water Quality by: Oregon Department of Forestry and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, October 2002* http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/nonpoint/docs/suffanalysis.pdf to determine the effectiveness of rules, with a commitment to making adjustments as necessary to meet standards.
  - Oregon and other partners have invested in long-term evaluations of water quality in several paired watershed studies including streams where fish are not present.
  - Enhancement of landslide protections, with rules that require leave trees along slide-prone streams, to slow downstream movement and add large wood to streams.
  - Forestland owners must also avoid locating roads, must not build skid roads, and must prevent deep or extensive ground disturbance during log felling and yarding in high-risk landslide areas.
  - Oregon's Environmental Quality Commission and Board of Forestry work closely together to achieve compliance with water quality standards on forestlands.
  - Current Board of Forestry consideration of additional riparian protections for small- and medium-sized streams where fish are present based on recent scientific findings. New rules adopted in 2002-03 addressing forest roads, including avoiding road construction in critical locations, limiting road use in wet weather, and requiring drainage systems that direct runoff away from streams.
  - New rules adopted in 2002-03 addressing forest roads, including avoiding road construction in critical locations, limiting road use in wet weather, and requiring drainage systems that direct runoff away from streams.
  - Older roads are addressed through voluntary measures (more than $93 million in landowner investment), and Forest Practices Act restrictions on delivering sediment to streams still apply.
  - In addition, key to Oregon's framework is a strong land-use system that seeks to conserve working forestlands.

3.4.6. Incorporate EPA Watershed Plans Elements into TMDLs and Watershed Approach Basin Reports

EPA recommends that the EPA Watershed Plans Nine Key Elements be used by the States for water quality planning purposes when addressing nonpoint sources in a watershed. In Oregon, TMDLs, WQMPs, and TMDL implementation plans in combination with watershed council plans could be used to address the EPA Watershed Nine Key Elements (Table 2).

Commented [CJ60]: May want to include the citation or website where this document can be found.

State and local groups provide most, if not all, of the nine key elements in watershed plans through the development of ~~,~~ TMDLs, WQMPs, TMDL implementation plans, Watershed Council watershed plans, and other local planning documents. If the existing plans/strategies do not formally address the nine elements, they can still provide a valuable framework for producing updated plans. For example, some TMDL Water Quality Management Plans and TMDL Implementation Plans developed by DMAs contain information on hydrology, topography, soils, climate, land uses, water quality problems, and management practices needed to address water quality problems but have no quantitative analysis of current pollutant loads or load reductions that could be achieved by implementing targeted management practices.

Commented [CJ61]: About 5 or 6 years ago, EPA R10 contracted with Tetra Tech to evaluate how well these documents align with the 9 key elements and provided Oregon with the findings. I would be curious as to whether Oregon made any of the recommended changes to address the areas that were missing or weak.

The Nine Key Elements describe broad expectations for nonpoint source management, in particular:

Commented [CJ62]: If possible, would be great to do a crosswalk on which planning documents addresses each element.

1. Explicit short- and long-term goals, objectives and strategies to protect surface waters and groundwater.
2. Have strong working partnerships and collaboration with appropriate State, interstate, Tribal, regional, and local entities (including conservation districts), private sector groups, citizens groups, and Federal agencies.
3. A balanced approach that emphasizes both Statewide nonpoint source programs and on-the-ground management of individual watersheds where waters are impaired or threatened.
4. The State program (a) abates known water quality impairments resulting from nonpoint source pollution and (b) prevents significant threats to water quality from present and future activities.
5. An identification of waters and watersheds impaired or threatened by nonpoint source pollution and a process to progressively address these waters.
6. The State reviews, upgrades and implements all program components required by section 319 of the Clean Water Act, and establishes flexible, targeted, iterative approaches to achieve and maintain beneficial uses of water as expeditiously as practicable.
7. Ensure that all activities and uses on Federal lands are managed consistently with State program objectives.
8. Efficient and effective management and implementation of the State's nonpoint source program, including necessary financial management.
9. A feedback loop whereby the State reviews, evaluates, and revises its nonpoint source assessment and its management program at least every five years.

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Table 2: EPA Watershed Plans Nine Key Elements

EPA WATERSHED PLANS NINE KEY ELEMENTS [1]
ELEMENT 1
Identification of causes of impairment and pollutant sources or groups of similar sources that need to be controlled to achieve needed load reductions, and any other goals identified in the watershed plan.
a. Include the geographic extent of the watershed covered by the plan.
b. Identify the measurable water quality goals, including the appropriate water quality standards and designated uses.
c. Identify the causes & sources or groups of similar sources that need to be controlled to achieve the water quality standards.
d. Break down the sources to the subcategory level.
e. Estimate the pollutant loads entering the waterbody.
ELEMENT 2
An estimate of the load reductions expected from management measures needed to meet the water quality goals. **(DEQ does not do this in the Watershed Approach Basin Reports. However, DEQ estimates the load reduction by pollutant for 319 funded projects and reports the load reductions in the NPS Annual Reports.)**
ELEMENT 3
A description of the nonpoint source management measures that need to be implemented to achieve load reductions, and a description of the critical areas in which those measures will be needed to implement this plan.
a. Identify the management measures that need to be implemented to achieve the load reductions.
b. Identify critical areas in which management measures are needed.
ELEMENT 4
Estimate of the amounts of technical and financial assistance needed, associated costs, and/or the sources and authorities that will be relied upon to implement this plan.
a. Estimate the costs to implement the plan, including management measures, administration, information/education activities, and monitoring.
b. Identify the sources and amounts of financial and technical assistance and associated authorities available to implement the management measures.
ELEMENT 5
Prepare an information and education component used to enhance public understanding of the project and encourage their early and continued participation in selecting, designing, and implementing the nonpoint source management measures that will be implemented.
ELEMENT 6

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

[1] From: EPA's Handbook for Developing Watershed Plans to Restore and Protect Our Waters, March 2008, EPA 841-B-08-002. http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/nps/upload/2008_04_18_NPS_watershed_handbook_app_c.pdf

EPA WATERSHED PLANS NINE KEY ELEMENTS [1]
Develop a schedule for implementing the nonpoint source management measures identified in this plan that is reasonably expeditious.
ELEMENT 7
Prepare a description of interim measurable milestones for determining whether nonpoint source management measures or other control actions are being implemented.
ELEMENT 8
Develop a set of criteria that can be used to determine whether loading reductions are being achieved over time and substantial progress is being made toward attaining (or maintaining) water quality standards, and specify what measures will be taken if progress has not been demonstrated.
ELEMENT 9
Develop a monitoring component to evaluate the effectiveness of the implementation efforts over time, measured against the criteria established under Element 8 immediately above.
a. Develop a monitoring component to determine whether the plan is being implemented appropriately and whether progress toward attainment or maintenance of water quality goals is being achieved.
b. Develop an evaluation framework.

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

The developed guidance for these elements will include example TMDL Implementation Plans and Watershed Approach Basin Reports that meet the nine key elements. The following chart in Table 3 will be included in the guidance for each example plan and report. This chart will indicate how the nine key elements are being met (noted as Yes or No) on a watershed basis. The filled –out chart will also indicate how the Oregon NPS Program Plan's goals, actions, milestones and planned actions with associated timelines (i.e. the nine key elements) are or are not included in the TMDL Implementation Plans and Watershed Approach Basin Reports.

Commented [CJ63]: Great! I assume this is one of the milestones in your five year plan.

Table 3: Analysis of TMDL Implementation Plans and Watershed Basin Approach Reports' Inclusion of EPA's Watershed Plans Nine Key Elements

ANALYSIS OF TMDL IMPLEMENTATION PLANS AND WATERSHED BASIN APPROACH REPORTS' INCLUSION OF EPA'S WATERSHED PLANS NINE KEY ELEMENTS		NAME AND DATE OF TMDL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN OR WATERSHED APPROACH BASIN REPORT (INCLUDE WATERSHED NAME)
Watershed Plans Nine Key Element	**Included Y/N**	**Where To Be Found/Comments**
1. Identification of causes of impairment and pollutant sources or groups of similar sources that need to be controlled to achieve needed load reductions, present in the watershed		
2. An estimate of the load reductions expected from management measures.		
3. A description of the NPS management measures that will need to be implemented to achieve load reductions, and a description of the critical areas in		

ANALYSIS OF TMDL IMPLEMENTATION PLANS AND WATERSHED BASIN APPROACH REPORTS' INCLUSION OF EPA'S WATERSHED PLANS NINE KEY ELEMENTS		NAME AND DATE OF TMDL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN OR WATERSHED APPROACH BASIN REPORT (INCLUDE WATERSHED NAME)
Watershed Plans Nine Key Element	**Included Y/N**	**Where To Be Found/Comments**
which those measures will be needed to implement this plan.		
4. Estimation of the amounts of technical and financial assistance needed associated costs, and/or the sources and authorities that will be relied upon to implement this plan.		
5. An information and education component is used to enhance public understanding of the project and encourage their early and continued participation in selecting, designing, and implementing the NPS management measures that will be implemented.		
6. Schedule for implementing the NPS management measures identified in this plan that is reasonably expeditious.		
7. A description of interim measurable milestones for determining whether NPS management measures or other control actions are being implemented.		
8. A set of criteria that can be used to determine whether loading reductions are being achieved overtime and substantial progress is being made toward attaining water quality standards.		
9. A monitoring component to evaluate the effectiveness of the implementation efforts over time, measured against the criteria established.		

4. Management of NPS by Land Use

Land management activities on agricultural, forested, and urban lands can affect water quality. The types and extent of water quality impairments, as well as available resources and impediments, vary geographically. It ~~is~~ therefore is critical to consider GWMA/basin specific conditions and develop local priorities and solutions for the prevention, control, and reduction of pollution sources to achieve water quality improvements. Oregon programs have been developed and adapted to address NPSs. These programs include the management or regulation of forestry, agriculture, grazing, transportation, recreation, hydromodification, marinas, urban development, land use planning, fish and wildlife habitat, riparian and wetlands protection/restoration, public education, water resources, and other activities that affect the quality of the state's waters.

In Oregon, the legislature has adopted statutes directing the roles and responsibilities of the state agencies for managing water quality affected by agriculture activities, forest activities, and urban landscapes. Oregon's NPS

Management Program is intended to control or prevent nonpoint source pollution from causing impairments and allow waterbodies to attain or maintain water quality standards and thereby protect the beneficial uses of all state waters. Oregon will promote and support programs and activities that are guided by best available science and implemented through an adaptive management approach. In addition, Oregon will realize these goals by striving for broad community acceptance and involvement.

4.1. Agricultural Lands

One of the goals of the NPS Management Program is to assure agricultural land management does not cause water quality impairments and meet TMDL load allocations where applicable through implementation of the Agricultural Water Quality Management Act, the federal CWA, state water quality standards, and TMDL load allocations. This ~~Some of th~~goal ~~is working relationship~~ has been memorialized in the MOA between DEQ and ODA and accomplishing this goal ~~some of this work~~ requires coordination with other state, federal, and local partners including tribes where appropriate.

DEQ's NPS Management Program works with ODA's Natural Resource Program Area to prevent pollution and improve water quality on agricultural lands as required under the Agricultural Water Quality Management Act. DEQ and ODA's program staff and management work collaboratively on various water quality related projects to address agricultural nonpoint sources. DEQ's NPS Management Program also coordinates with DEQ programs as well as agency partners such as USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, USGS, Oregon State University, and watershed councils.

Commented [CJ64]: Tribes too?

4.1.1. Agricultural Water Quality Management Program

The Agricultural Water Quality Management Act (ORS 568.900 to 568.933) authorizes ODA to develop Agricultural Water Quality Management (AGWQMP) Area Plans (area plans) and rules throughout the state. If the EQC has determined that a TMDL is necessary for a water body, DEQ establishes a groundwater management area, or an agricultural water quality management plan is otherwise required by state or federal law, ORS 568.909.

Commented [CJ65]: Don't understand this sentence. If a TMDL is necessary then DEQ does what?

The statute also authorizes the development of Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Rules (area rules) to serve as a regulatory backstop to the voluntary efforts described in the area plans. ORS 561.191 states that ODA shall develop and implement any program or rules that directly regulate farming practices to protect water quality.

The Agricultural Water Quality Management Program is the main regulatory tool to prevent and control nonpoint source pollution from agricultural lands. Water quality standards and TMDL load allocations for agricultural lands should be met through implementation of area plans and enforcement of area rules. The program is also ~~is~~ involved with the development of Ground Water Management Act action plans and leads implementation for agricultural nonpoint sources to improve groundwater quality.

Commented [CJ66]: ????

As required by state and federal law, ODA began developing AGWQMP area plans in 1993 with passage of the Agricultural Water Quality Management Act in watersheds where water quality issues were identified ~~as required by state and federal law~~. The reasons for initiating this planning process were a listing under section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act and declaration of Ground Water Management Areas.

ODA has adopted area plans and rules for all 38 regions of Oregon. Each of these area plans were developed with a local advisory committee (LAC) consisting of stakeholders residing in the watershed. The LACs were responsible for working with ODA in the development of a draft area plan to address water quality issues from agricultural activities in its area. Each plan is reviewed and revised about every two years, and the LACs play an important role. All of the area plans have undergone at least several biennial reviews.

ODA is a Designated Management Agency (DMA) for TMDL implementation. ODA has been a partner for TMDL development. DEQ's basin coordinators and ODA staff have ongoing working relationships with the review and implementation of area plans, as well as local water quality issues related to drinking water. Soil and Water

Conservation Districts (SWCDs) have contractual relationships with ODA to act as a local management agencies (LMAs) to meet water quality goals on agricultural lands.

Area plans must describe a program to achieve the water quality goals and standards necessary to protect designated beneficial uses related to water quality, as required by state law (OAR 603-090-0030(1) and the federal CWA.

At a minimum, an area plan must:

- Describe the geographical area and physical setting of the Management Area
- List water quality issues of concern
- List impaired beneficial uses
- State that the goal of the area plan is to prevent and control water pollution from agricultural activities and soil erosion in order to achieve applicable water quality standards
- Include water quality objectives
- Describe pollution prevention and control measures deemed necessary by the Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) to achieve the goal
- Include an implementation schedule for measures needed to meet applicable dates established by law
- Include guidelines for public participation
- Describe a strategy for ensuring that the necessary measures are implemented

The area plans as well as the reports can be found at the following link: http://egov.oregon.gov/ODA/NRD/water_agplans.shtml.

4.1.1.1 Memorandum of Agreement

DEQ and ODA negotiated and signed a Memorandum of Agreement in May 2012. The MOA is intended to guide the agencies to fulfill respective legal responsibilities and obligations in an efficient and effective manner.

The following objectives are applicable to DEQ staff and management:

- Leverage and strategically invest funds and resources by engaging in local and statewide watershed protection and restoration efforts.
- Support ODA to develop and implement AGWQMP area plans that would, when implemented, achieve TMDL load allocations and water quality standards including groundwater.
- Support ODA to develop and ensure compliance of AGWQMP area rules that would, when implemented, help achieve TMDL load allocations and water quality standards.
- Evaluate program effectiveness by designing, coordinating, and conducting water quality monitoring projects and compare with implementation activities.
- Capitalize on Water Quality Pesticide Management Team (WQPMT) partnerships to develop and implement a Pesticide Management Plan that would, when implemented, achieve water quality standards and other benchmarks including groundwater protection.

4.1.1.2. Other programs and partners

- DEQ works with other partners and ODA programs to meet water quality goals for agricultural lands. The following programs and partnerships are active in Oregon:
  - Conservation Effectiveness Partnership (CEP) NRCS, OWEB, ODA, and DEQ). USDA-NRCS, OWEB, ODA, and DEQ recognized a benefit to the public and agencies if the programs could more readily share information, and began exploring opportunities for collaboration on the shared grant program goals of improving water quality, watershed functions and processes. The agencies signed a memorandum of understanding in 2010 to formalize this collaboration and allow the sharing of certain types of data.

  The goals of the partnership are to:
  - Build an understanding of the extent of the investment in watershed improvement actions through the agencies' collective grant programs;
  - Develop a better understanding of how local organizations are utilizing the agencies' respective grant programs, in concert;
  - Evaluate the impacts of grant investments on water quality and watershed health;
  - Describe gaps in the treatment of watersheds; and
  - Design tools and methods to report accomplishments to the public.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

- The partner agencies selected two "pilot watersheds", the Wilson River in Tillamook Bay, and Wychus Creek along the Upper Deschutes River. The pilots were selected due to the length of time and investment of grant program dollars, the magnitude of projects undertaken, the availability of current data sets for these watersheds, and the potential to detect trends of change.(3.2.4 MOA between NRCS, OWEB, ODA, and DEQ).
- Water Quality Pesticide Management Program (ODA, DEQ, ODF, OHA, OWEB, OSU).
- Local and Statewide groups for strategic implementation.
  There are a number of committee meetings held at the state and regional level in order to develop and implement strategies for implementation:
  - Oregon Technical Advisory Committee (OTAC): The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) State Conservationist and Farm Service Agency (FSA) State Director co-chair the OTAC under section 1446 of the 1990 Farm Bill. The Oregon USDA established the committee to provide advice for technical considerations and guidance for implementing programs in the Farm Bill such as Environmental Quality Incentive Program and Conservation Innovation Grants.
  - Local and Basin Work Groups: NRCS holds meetings in each basin and county to allocate available funding in strategic manner.
  - OWEB grants review group: OWEB convenes regional and statewide teams used to prioritize and recommend projects for OWEB funding.

Commented [CJ67]: Will any other watersheds be selected during the timeframe of this Plan (2014-2018)?

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

4.1.3. Nonpoint Source Program Priorities

Due to limited resources and fluctuating state revenues, it is necessary for DEQ's nonpoint source program to be selective when allocating funds and resources. DEQ has been working with partners in the agriculture sector to coordinate and focus efforts.

4.1.3.1. TMDL Implementation, Biennial Reviews and Basin Plans

The priority work for DEQ for the next five years is to improve water quality on agricultural lands. DEQ considers it important to build Oregon's capacity to be able to measure and report on nonpoint source activities and water quality trends on agricultural lands at various scales.

This is accomplished by the following actions:

- The Oregon Nonpoint Source Pollution Program Annual Report summarizes implementation of activities to reduce nonpoint sources of pollution and water quality responses.
- TMDL implementation for TMDLs developed to address nonpoint sources could include DMA reporting that would be used by DEQ for reporting on NPS activities and water quality responses.
- DEQ will participate in the biennial review process to assist ODA to identify and document implementation actions. Implementation on agricultural lands should be strategic and future actions should be documented in order to demonstrate accountability and to leverage various funding sources.
- Decisions should be made while considering unique water quality issues. Basin priorities will be identified through the basin plan development process. Where basin plans have been developed, DEQ will use the action plans and basin priorities to determine how resources for agriculture will be allocated. DEQ is committed to developing and revising basin plans for each basin every five years.
- Evaluation and reporting capacity is completed by DEQ, which prioritizes program activities in order to build capacity to report on the effectiveness of agricultural programs and water quality trends.

4.1.3.2 Focus Areas and Strategic Implementation Areas

ODA went through a strategic planning process in 2012. This was followed in May 2012 with an Oregon Board of Agriculture action item recommending that ODA develop additional alternatives to a complaint-based water quality program. The Board further recommended that the AGWQMP Program devote more resources to building relationships, plan implementation, and compliance. To reinforce this goal, in March 2013 the Board passed Resolution 331. The resolution supports ODA to establish a strategic program implementation process that identifies

key geographic areas (strategic implementation areas) and targets resources to achieve compliance with local water quality regulations. The Board of Agriculture resolution noted that the effort should be founded on the basic conservation principles of erosion control, nutrient management, stream bank stabilization, and moderation of solar heating of streams, promoted by aligning resources with local, state and federal natural resource partners.

Commented [CJ68]: Great! When will the key geographic areas be selected?

Within strategic implementation areas, ODA will do a pre-assessment to identify locations likely not meeting water quality regulations. ODA will then work with local, state, and federal partners to outreach to agricultural landowners in the area, with a focus on those properties that are likely not in compliance. Following the outreach period, ODA will identify locations likely not meeting water quality regulations and schedule site visits to seek compliance. ODA will then do a post-assessment to measure change and communicate progress.

Commented [CJ69]: Any projected dates for these milestones?

ODA has asked SWCDs to select "Focus Areas" for implementation in each management area. Focus Areas concentrate limited outreach, technical assistance, and financial assistance resources in smaller geographic areas where change may be measured faster. These efforts are focused on impaired areas since they are seen as the best, most effective way to prioritize staff and funding to improve water quality.

Commented [CJ70]: When does ODA expect the focus areas to be selected and how will the public know the areas selected and progress made? Will ODA be putting this information on their website?

4.1.3.3 National Water Quality Initiative and State Resource Assessment Process

The Natural Resources Conservation Service identifies and works in priority watersheds throughout the Nation to improve water quality through the National Water Quality Initiative. NRCS provides financial assistance to help producers and ranchers implement conservation practices and systems to reduce water quality pollution from agricultural lands. In Oregon, NRCS works with local as well as federal partners including DEQ, ODA, USFWS and others to identify NWQI watersheds based on needs as well as opportunities. In addition, EPA has directed the states to conduct effectiveness monitoring using 319 funds in NWQI watersheds.

As of January 2014, EPA has awarded technical assistance grants for Oregon to develop monitoring plans for Fifteen Mile and Willow NWQI effectiveness monitoring projects. DEQ and its partners will be developing and implementing the effectiveness monitoring projects in those watersheds during 2014-2019.

4.1.4. The NPS Program Measures, Timelines, and Milestones

The following strategies are applicable to DEQ staff and management between 2014 and 2019. Schedule may be revised based on annual prioritization process and implemented accordingly. DEQ currently works on many of the tasks identified here:

Statewide/Programmatic Projects:

Commented [CJ71]: I assume these items are on the table 1 as appropriate.

- DEQ's projects often involve partners. DEQ will continue to seek opportunities to collaborate with others. (Ongoing)
- Protection of high quality waters are prioritized locally through Basin Planning process. In addition, protection is considered during triennial review. (Ongoing)
- Basin priorities for agriculture are identified through basin plan development process to ensure decisions are made while considering unique water quality issues. (Ongoing)
- DEQ works with local, state, and federal partners that provide technical assistance to producers to promote conservation practices and restoration. DEQ will continue those partnerships. (Ongoing)
- DEQ considers AGWQMP to be a key program for implementation. Review and update AWQM Program biennial review guidance document. (Annually)
- DEQ considers various programs that provide funding for implementing conservation practices and protection to be key programs for implementation. DEQ will continue to participate in existing statewide efforts to direct funds, and continue to seek other opportunities. (Ongoing)
- DEQ considers TMDL to be a key program for implementation. Revise and finalize TMDL Guidance document. (4/2014 to 4/2015, revise as necessary)
- Develop and incorporate source water protection guidance into AGWQMA Program biennial review guidance document. (Annually)

Commented [CJ72]: Where can the reader or public find these basin priorities for ag?

- Develop and provide training related to agricultural land use, policy, and regulations to staff and partners. (As resources allow)
- Participate in Oregon Technical Advisory Committee meetings and subcommittees to direct funds to high priority projects. (Ongoing)
- Work with Clean Water State Revolving Fund program and Source Water programs to identify opportunities to streamline and leverage each other's resources. (Ongoing)
- Develop and implement a programmatic strategy to address agricultural activities on federal lands, such as grazing. (1/2016 to 12/2016)
- Support ODA to develop vegetation assessment methodology for SIA and FA. (evaluate and revise in 2015)
- Work with ODA to prioritize and help develop assessment methodologies for other area rule compliance. (6/2013 to 1/2019)
    - Erosion and sedimentation
    - Manure and nutrients
    - Pesticides
    - Waste management
- Develop capacity and provide GIS and water quality information to ODA during biennial reviews to facilitate prioritization and development of measurable milestones and timelines for implementation. (12/2013 to 1212/2014, then ongoing) - evaluate and revise as needed
- Participate in CEP. Develop success stories by analyzing existing data or collecting additional data. (Ongoing)
- Collaborate with NRCS and OWEB to align reporting categories so that implementation information reported to both sources could be aggregated and reported by subbasin and basin scale. (66/15 to 3/16)

Commented [CJ73]: Did you spell out this acronym earlier? This is why it would be great to include a list of acronyms somewhere in this document.

Basin/ Local Level Projects:

- DEQ's projects often involve partners. DEQ will continue to seek opportunities to collaborate with others. (Ongoing)
- DEQ will consider protection of high quality waters are prioritized locally through Basin Planning process. (Ongoing)
- Participate in biennial review process. Provide written comments on the contents including the plan objectives, focus area selection, measurable milestones, and timelines for implementation by using internal guidance document. (Ongoing)
- As mentioned above, DEQ works with local, state, and federal partners that provide technical assistance to producers to promote conservation practices and restoration. DEQ will continue those partnerships. (Ongoing)
- DEQ considers AGWQMA to be a key program for implementation. Participate in Agricultural Water Quality Management Area (AGWQMA) Plan biennial review and provide comments consistent with the guidance document. (Biennially)
- DEQ considers various programs that provide funding for implementing conservation practices and protection to be key programs for implementation. Participate in existing statewide efforts to direct funds, and continue to seek other opportunities. See other applicable strategies. (Ongoing)
- DEQ considers TMDL to be a key program for implementation. Engage and work with agricultural partners. Once TMDL Guidance document is drafted, use it to ensure consistency. (Ongoing)
- As resources allow, work with other WQ programs as well as local partners to leverage their resources. (Ongoing)
- Participate in Local Working Groups and OWEB Grant meetings. (Ongoing)
- Work with federal land management agencies to address agricultural activities on federal lands, such as grazing where they have been identified as priorities in basin plans. (Ongoing)
- Conduct additional vegetation assessment for SIAs and FAs where applicable. (1/2014 to 1/2019)
- Evaluate vegetation assessment data with ODA and estimate percent of SIA and FA meeting TMDL/WQS goals. (6/2015 to 1/2019)
- Implement monitoring plan and measure water quality trend on agricultural lands over time as indicated in monitoring plan (4/2014 to 1/2019)

4.1.5 ODA's Tracking

ODA keeps records of compliance related information, as well as summarizes and reports annually to interested entities including Oregon DEQ. ODA and the SWCDs also produce reports associated with AWQMA Plan biennial reviews. The reports include updates on compliance and monitoring efforts as well as a summary of progress toward plan objectives and targets on outreach and on the ground projects.

Commented [CJ74]: Are the reports on ODA's website? If so, provide the web address.

DEQ's regional staff provides technical assistance and coordinates with ODA's water quality specialists to review the area plans and provide information for the reports as resources allow. ODA followed up on complaints by conducting site visits or driving by the sites. More compliance investigations were initiated due to issues related to manure management than other water quality issues. The area plans as well as the reports can be found at the following link: http://egov.oregon.gov/ODA/NRD/water_agplans.shtml.

Commented [CJ75]: Broken link or can't be accessed outside Oregon state employees.

4.1.5.1. Water Quality Program Compliance Summary

ODA provides the following information to DEQ annually. The following figures are included in NPS annual report to EPA.

- Total number of site visits by ODA's regions
- Compliance ~~I~~investigations by ~~P~~pollutant
- Source of ~~C~~compliance ~~I~~investigation
- ODA compliance action taken

4.1.5.2. Outreach and Education Summary

ODA provides funding to 45 SWCDs for implementation of water quality programs. One of the core components of the water quality program at ODA is its relationships with the SWCDs. ODA and the SWCDs negotiate scope of work agreements to clarify conservation projects to be completed. In Fiscal year 2011, the SWCDs used various venues to reach agricultural producers and rural land residents to promote conservation practices. Additional information on conservation practices is captured under funding partner section. Table 4 provides example of the different types of SWCDs outreach and education activities. Table 5 identifies other SWCD activities in the number of site visits and water quality monitoring sites.

Commented [CJ76]: Why include a date of 2011 unless SWCDs plan to do something similar between 2014-2018.

Table 4: Example SWCDs Outreach and Education Summary

SWCDS OUTREACH AND EDUCATION	# EVENTS	ATTENDANCE OR DISTRIBUTION
Presentations	**213**	**7002**
Demonstrations	**24**	**598**
Tours	**73**	**1507**
Displays	**127**	**38457**
Student Events	**201**	**16171**
Fact Sheets	**62**	**20265**
Newsletter articles	**579**	**54641**

Table 5: Other SWCD Activities

OTHER SWCD ACTIVITIES	
Number of Site Visits	**2689**
Water Quality Monitoring Sites	**470**

4.2 State and Private Forest Lands

Oregon's NPS program for forestry uses cooperation between Oregon's DEQ and ODF, respectively to reduce and prevent NPS pollution from non-federal forestlands. Under the Oregon Forest Practices Act (FPA), ODF has exclusive jurisdiction over water quality regulation on non-federal forestlands unless additional protections are required by the federal Clean Water Act.

Under ORS 468B.110(2), ORS 527.765, and ORS 527.770, the Board of Forestry establishes best management practices or other control measures by rule that, to the maximum extent practicable, will ensure attainment and maintenance of water quality standards. If the Environmental Quality Commission (EQC) does not believe that the FPA rules will accomplish this result, the EQC is authorized to petition the Board for rules that are more protective. If the EQC petitions the Board for review of BMPs, the Board has two options: terminate review with the EQC concurrence, or begin rulemaking. If the Board determines that BMPs should be reviewed, rules specifying the revised BMPs must be adopted not later than two years from the filing date of the petition for review, unless the Board, with concurrence of the EQC, finds that special circumstances require additional time.

Upon the EQC's request, the Board is required to take interim action "to prevent significant damage to beneficial uses" while the BMPs are being reviewed. The "BMP shield" under ORS 527.770 is lost if the Board fails to complete BMP revisions, or makes a finding that revisions are not required, within the statutory deadline. In addition, under 468B.110(2), the EQC cannot adopt rules regulating nonpoint source discharges from forest operations and the DEQ cannot issue TMDL implementation plans or similar orders governing forest operations unless "required to do so by the CWA." This authority would also be triggered by the failure of the Board to adopt adequate BMPs to implement TMDL allocations for forestry or to avoid impairment of water quality such that standards are not met.

The FPA Rules and Best Management Practices (BMPs) protect natural resources including water quality. The FPA rules are periodically evaluated to insure that forest practices do not contribute to violations of water quality standards and those changes to rules be evaluated if the state Board of Forestry finds evidence of resource degradation and the public policy process under ORS 527.714 is completed. ODF has existing processes in place that help guide the work of staff by establishing work priorities.

A few examples of these processes follow:

The Forestry Program for Oregon, which describes the mission, values, vision, goals, objectives, and indicators of sustainable forest management. The Oregon Board of Forestry has developed a Board work plan designed to describe major topics that the Board will discuss based on information from staff. The Private Forests Division has also developed an Annual Operations Plan (AOP) that is the framework for staff priorities for the current year. These processes will be used by DEQ to identify common priorities and tasks, and priorities are developed with opportunities for DEQ's input.

ODF has completed a monitoring strategy to establish priorities for monitoring. Oregon DEQ works cooperatively with ODF to evaluate rules and BMPs, design, implement, and analyze studies of forest practice effectiveness, and alter rules and BMPs when necessary This sequence of actions allows ODF to work in a "plan-do-check-act" cycle that affords continuous improvement of the FPA over time. An example of this process is the changes to the road rules over time to prevent sediment movement from forest roads into waters of the state.

Commented [CJ77]: Elaborate—what changes had been made?

ODF and DEQ have the following State and Private Forest Lands Priorities:

- In cooperation with ODF Private Forest Division staff, ensure that water quality standards are being attained, TMDL load allocations are being met, and beneficial uses are being supported on private forestlands in Oregon.
- Evaluate voluntary implementation of Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds in reducing water quality risks and impacts, identify information gaps, and collect additional information as needed in cooperation with ODF and landowners.
- Evaluate effectiveness of Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds in reducing water quality risks and impacts.
- Review any changes to state forest management plans and work with ODF State Forest Division staff so changes to plans continue to protect water quality and beneficial uses on state-owned forestlands.

ODF and DEQ have the following State and Private Forest Lands Objectives:

- Continue evaluation of small and medium fish-bearing stream protection rules with respect to the Protecting Cold Water criterion of Oregon's temperature standard and temperature TMDL load allocations under the Human Use Allowance.
- Continue contributing to evaluation of RipStream data on riparian stand characteristics to determine if riparian stand function under the FPA and state forest management plans will provide adequate large woody debris recruitment for maintenance and creation of aquatic habitat, sediment regulation, and cold-water refugia.
- Discuss sufficiency of FPA for protection of water quality and beneficial uses with regard to small non-fish-bearing streams, landslide-prone areas, sediment-related processes, pesticide use (see PSPs), and drinking water sources by assisting ODF with their monitoring strategy and through data analysis and funding, as needed.
- Provide review on any proposed changes to state forest management plans that may impact water quality.
- Collect information on voluntary measures implemented under the Oregon Plan.

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: Bullet + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

4.2.1 RipStream (Riparian Function and Stream Temperature) Study

The products of the RipStream Study relate to Objectives 1 and 2 above.

ODF's RipStream project has been developed to provide a coordinated monitoring effort with which to evaluate effectiveness of Oregon Forest Practices Act (FPA) rules and strategies in protecting stream temperature, and promoting riparian structure that provides necessary functions for the protection of fish and wildlife habitat. DEQ is participating in the RipStream project by providing 319 funds and assisting in analyses of data and study results in cooperation with ODF staff. DEQ is also providing assistance through scientific, geographic, and policy analysis.

In order to meet this objective, the following questions were addressed:

- Are the FPA riparian rules and strategies effective in meeting DEQ water quality standards regarding protection of stream temperature and attaining the water quality standard?
- Are the FPA riparian rules and strategies effective in maintaining large wood recruitment to streams, downed wood in riparian areas, and shade?
- What are the trends in riparian area regeneration?
- What are the trends in overstory and understory riparian characteristics? How do they, along with channel and valley characteristics, correlate to stream temperature and shade?

ODF has completed their initial analysis to test whether current riparian protections on small and medium fish-bearing streams are adequate to meet water quality standards for temperature. Streams in State Forests are meeting both numeric and Protecting Cold Water (PCW) criteria of the temperature standard. Streams on private forests are typically meeting the numeric criterion, although 3 of 18 experimental stream reaches showed an exceedance after harvest. (Four additional streams exceeded numeric criteria pre-harvest or in the control reach, a mix of state and

private sites.) However, streams are not meeting the PCW criterion in 40% of post-harvest cases compared to a natural background rate of 5% on state and private forests. The higher than background PCW non-compliance rate also indicates an inability to consistently meet TMDL load allocations for forestry on fish-bearing streams. It should be noted that the starting temperatures in these streams are usually far below the numeric criteria.

Streams managed by FPA riparian rules showed a post-harvest average increase of 0.7 degrees C in the daily maximum temperature. State forest rules resulted in no change in the average daily maximum. Subsequent analysis has shown that reductions in shade are the primary factor driving these temperature changes, and shade decreases are primarily connected to lower basal areas.

The Oregon Board of Forestry issued a finding of degradation of resources (water quality) and initiated rulemaking. Rule alternatives are currently being designed and analyzed. Staff from ODF have done further analysis of RipStream data and conducted a Systematic Review of the scientific literature on harvest effects on shade and/or stream temperature. The results of the Systematic Review and analysis will be used to identify alternative rules that can meet the PCW criterion. The rule changes for temperature protection on small and medium fish-bearing streams should be completed over the next year and will have continued involvement and assistance from DEQ. Future analysis will evaluate if riparian management prescriptions are sufficient for riparian large woody debris recruitment needs.

The NPS program is working with ODF and will utilize existing ODF processes such as their monitoring strategy to evaluate FPA sufficiency for small non-fish-bearing streams, landslide-prone areas, sediment processes, pesticides, and drinking water protection. This would incorporate past and ongoing agency work (e.g. Turbidity Report on Coast Range Public Water Systems, FPA compliance monitoring, Regional Solutions projects, PSPs, MidCoast TMDL work) and research (e.g. peer-reviewed studies; Trask, Alsea, Hinkle Creek watershed studies). It might also require new monitoring projects, so scoping and perhaps initiation of those studies would take place during the next 2 years.

4.2.2 Forest Practices Act Sufficiency Analysis

Analysis of Oregon FPA sufficiency relates to Objective 3 above.

Oregon's DEQ and ODF completed "Sufficiency Analysis: A Statewide Evaluation of Forest Practices Act Effectiveness in Protecting Water Quality" in 2002. The Sufficiency Analysis described forest practice rules and their degree of certainty in terms of meeting water quality standards. It identified, among other things:

- Uncertainties in the ability of riparian rules for small and medium fish-bearing and non-fish-bearing streams to meet the temperature standard;
- Uncertainties in the ability of riparian rules for small and medium fish-bearing and non-fish-bearing streams to provide enough large woody debris over time for habitat creation and maintenance;
- Road rules being insufficient to meet turbidity and sedimentation standards due to inadequate cross-drain spacing and wet-weather hauling problems;
    - Corrected in 2003 rule changes;
- Adequacy in current fish passage rules when implemented.

While the Sufficiency Analysis did contain discussion of forest practice (specifically clear cutting) effects on shallow landslide processes, it did not reach any conclusions or evaluate whether current rules for harvest on landslide-prone areas are protective of water quality. There are landslide rules in effect for public safety considerations. There is also a lack of information on upgrades to roads built before the current rules were in effect. Some locations (e.g. steep side slopes and riparian/floodplain areas), types of construction (e.g. cut-and-fill), and stream crossings represent a higher risk for catastrophic failures.

Commented [CJ78]: But not for water quality considerations?

Voluntary upgrades and storm proofing have been extensive, but there is little information about remaining risk on the landscape. In addition, the science around sediment regimes has advanced over the last decade and recent

monitoring shows low-levels of herbicides applied in forestry are reaching surface waters, and there are water quality problems (turbidity) for Public Water Systems in the Coastal Zone that may be related to forest practices.

The NPS program plans an evaluation of FPA sufficiency for small non-fish-bearing streams, landslide-prone areas, sediment processes, pesticides, and drinking water protection. This would incorporate past and ongoing agency work (e.g. Turbidity Report on Coast Range Public Water Systems, FPA compliance monitoring, Regional Solutions projects, PSPs, MidCoast TMDL work) and research (e.g. peer-reviewed studies; Trask, Alsea, Hinkle Creek watershed studies). It might also require new monitoring projects, so scoping and perhaps initiation of those studies would take place during the next 2 years.

~~The NPS Program Measures, Timelines, and Milestones:~~

The NPS Program Measures, Timelines, and Milestones:

Commented [CJ79]: Assume these are reflected in Table 1.

- Continue to participate in ODF/BOF rule work for evaluation of changes to stream protection rules for small and medium fish-bearing streams [Complete during 2014].
- Participate in analysis of riparian stand information to determine if large wood recruitment and other riparian functions are being maintained [Cooperate with ODF in creating a timeline during 2014; Continue assisting ongoing analysis]
- Continue working with ODF to ensure that water quality standards are being met with regard to small non-fish-bearing streams, landslide-prone areas, sediment processes, pesticide use, and drinking water sources on nonfederal forestlands. [In cooperation with ODF during 2014-15]
    - If necessary, create plan to remedy risks and impacts not covered by current rules [In cooperation with ODF by December 2016]
- Update the 1998 MOU between ODF and DEQ [In cooperation with ODF by December 2015]
- Review proposed changes to state forest management plans and comment as needed to ensure state forest plans will meet water quality standards and TMDL load allocations. [As necessary]
- Collect information on work done under the Oregon Plan and remaining water quality risks and impacts not covered by combination of forest practice rules and Oregon Plan implementation. [In cooperation with ODF by December 2015]
    - If necessary, create plan to remedy risks and impacts not covered by rules and Oregon Plan [In cooperation with ODF by December 2016]

4.3 Federal BLM and USFS Lands

4.3.1 Coordination with USFS and BLM to Meet State and Federal Water Quality Rules and Regulations

Oregon DEQ has Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) with both the BLM (BLM) and U.S. Forest Service (USFS). The purpose of the MOUs is to document the cooperation between the parties to ensure that the agencies cooperatively meet State and Federal water quality rules and regulations related to point and NPS water pollution from USFS and BLM managed lands.

The federal CWA and associated Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) and Administrative Rules (OARs) were created to assure that waters of the state (e.g., lakes, ponds, rivers, streams, and groundwater, etc.) in Oregon meet water quality standards. In addition, the implementing programs and regulations require that all feasible steps be taken toward achieving the highest quality water attainable. Federal agencies located within the state are held to the same standards as all other entities to manage waters under their jurisdiction to meet these standards.

The specific tasks identified in the MOU are:

- The USFS will conduct BMP implementation and effectiveness monitoring following the USDA National Best Management Practices for Water Quality on National Forest System Lands National Core BMP Technical Guide BMPs monitoring protocols that will also be required in Forest Plans and projects.
- The BLM and USFS will review and revise BMPs for all land uses and activities including harvest as necessary to improve their effectiveness.
- DEQ will review the BLM and USFS BMPs for the full range of land use activities addressed in Forest Plans, Forest Plan amendments, and Water Quality Restoration Plans (WQRPs).
- The DEQ will review and comment on Forest Plans and Forest Plan amendments, and provide comments and approval of WQRPs.
- The USFS will evaluate whether Regional programmatic and structural BMPs are needed to supplement the national BMPs and develop any deemed necessary. (All developed BMPs will be provided to DEQ for review and comment.)
- Work with the USFS and BLM to develop a water quality-monitoring program that identifies the number, type, and location of WQRP management measures (BMPs) including restoration projects being implemented and the instream water quality effects of implementing the BMPs over time in meeting TMDL Load Allocations and water quality standards. This would include evaluating shade zones and buffer widths, the effectiveness of the BLM roads BMP and other BMPs for all land uses and activities including harvest. The BLM and USFS will provide regulatory compliance data, listing and delisting data and TMDL support data that meets DEQ QA/QC requirements. The BLM and USFS will provide technical assistance in analyzing and interpreting data. Data will be submitted in a format that is compatible with the DEQ databases to the extent possible.
- Work with the USFS and BLM to ensure all TMDLs issued by DEQ have WQRPs completed and submitted to DEQ for approval.
- The BLM and USFS rely on the BMP process (as specified in the USFS NPS Plan) for protection, restoration, and maintenance of water quality through NEPA planning documents, aquatic conservation strategies, WQRPs, and most importantly project implementation. Implementation and effectiveness of BMPs are the legal and policy mechanism for control and management of NPS pollution. This important process was not effectively documented and communicated in the past, and should receive high priority for development, reporting, tracking, and approval by DEQ.
- The BLM and USFS will include as a term and condition of authorizations that the third party will obtain and abide by all required federal, state, or local permits and certifications. The BLM and USFS will not issue any third party authorization that is subject to state certification under CWA section 401 until the agency has received documentation that the state has issued the 401 certification or waived the requirement.
- Establish a process for joint review of ongoing watershed protection, restoration, and compliance activities; including a plan of short and long-term work.
- Participate in Forest Plan and Resource Management Plan revision processes to attain agreement on water quality goals to reduce the need for project level EA and EIS reviews.
- Work with the USFS and BLM to establish a process for joint review (both office and field) of ongoing watershed work/priorities.
- To develop a process of joint review of planning and upcoming activities that will assist with identifying and adjusting where feasible agency priorities, resources and funding, and facilitate implementation and monitoring of WQRP BMPs and restoration activities.

The Legal Authorities identified in the MOU are:

- Authority for controlling point and NPS pollution is provided in the Federal Water Pollution Control Act [As Amended through P.L. 107–303, November 27, 2002, (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq. SEC. 101 (a) (7))]. The federal CWA establishes a national framework for protecting and improving water quality. The federal CWA was amended in 1987 to require States to develop plans for controlling nonpoint sources of water pollution. Oregon's NPS Control Program was established in 1978 before the passage of the Section 319 amendments in 1987.
- Section 313(a) (33 U.S.C. 1323) of the federal CWA directs the Federal Government to comply with all Federal, State, and local requirements with respect to the control and abatement of both point and NPS

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Commented [CJ80]: Great.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

water pollution. Executive Order 12088 reinforced federal CWA requirements. Section 319(k) of the federal CWA (33 U.S.C. 1329) specifically addresses NPS pollution by directing Federal agencies to accommodate the concerns of the State regarding the consistency of agency projects with the State's NPS pollution management program.

- The National Forest Management Act (NFMA) of 1976 (P.L. 94-588; an amendment to the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974) is the primary statute governing the administration of the USFS which called for the management of renewable resources on national forest lands.
- The U.S. Forest Service will follow the Forest Service/Bureau of Land Management Protocol for addressing Clean Water Act 303(d) listed waters[2] in subbasins with 303(d) listed stream(s), and in watersheds where there is no TMDL scheduled.

The MOU identified priorities:

- The DEQ and the U.S. Forest Service will continue to collaborate on identification and prioritization of water quality restoration projects. Priorities include the closing and restoration of roads so that soil and other road pollutants do not enter waters of the state and restoring riparian and wetland habitat so that shading is restored in order to meet DEQ temperature standard and to reduce soil, pesticides, and other pollutants from entering into waters of the state.
- Work with USFS and BLM to get water quality data and riparian restoration information for inclusion in the Oregon NPS Annual Report
- Prevent, reduce, eliminate, or remediate point and NPS water pollution and, where necessary, improve water quality to support beneficial uses on BLM and USFS administered lands.
- Cooperate on priorities, strategies, and funding using a watershed approach to protect and restore water quality on BLM and USFS administered lands.
- Foster and enhance communication, coordination, and working relationships between the USFS, BLM, and DEQ.
- Identify and implement USFS, BLM, and DEQ authorities, policies, programs, and practices that collectively ensure attainment of Federal and State water quality standards and TMDL load allocations on BLM and USFS administered lands.
- Identify, clarify, and support DEQ, BLM and USFS roles and responsibilities specific to water quality in a manner that reduces duplication of work.
- Establish a process and time line for joint review of ongoing watershed protection, restoration, and compliance, including development of a plan for short and long-term work.
- Evaluate progress and success in meeting or surpassing water quality goals and requirements.

The o~~O~~bjectives identified in the MOU to be used by DEQ, the USFS, and BLM:

- Acquire and utilize information collected by USFS and BLM about BMP implementation, effectiveness, and water quality responses on BLM and USFS administered lands.
- Identify information gaps/uncertainties and means to fill those gaps.
- Define BLM, USFS, and DEQ's roles and responsibilities when contractor actions, vandalism, or other third party actions result in violations of state water quality rules and standards on ~~federal forestland~~ BLM and USFS administered lands.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: Bullet + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

[2] The *FS/BLM Protocol for Addressing Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Listed Waters (The Protocol), May 1999*, and/or updates are the guidance for meeting these responsibilities. The protocol was signed by the Regional Administrator of the EPA for Region 10, by the Regional Foresters for the FS in Regions 1, 4, and 6, and by the State Directors for the FS in Oregon, Washington, Idaho, and Montana.

Additional guidance for WQRPs include DEQ's current May 2007 TMDL Implementation Plan Guidance – for State and Local Government Designated Management Agencies available at: http://www.deq.state.or.us/WQ/TMDLs/docs/impl/07wq004tmdlimplplan.pdf.

- A Statewide Annual Status Report will be written with involvement from each agency. This written report will satisfy MOU and DEQ TMDL reporting requirements.
- BLM and USFS will provide updates to WQRP status (e.g., "in progress", "completed", "approved", "being revised", other.) using a WQRP/TMDL tracking table. The BLM, USFS, and the DEQ will work together to develop a centralized streamlined process using existing databases and reporting mechanisms.
- The BLM and USFS will provide a summary of WQRP accomplishments including restoration and WQRP coverage with spatial context for BLM and USFS.
- The ~~forestland~~ BLM and USFS agencies will provide the results of BMP implementation and effectiveness monitoring required in management plans and WQRPs.
- The agencies will provide updates on internal strategic planning that could affect MOU implementation.
- The agencies will provide updated contact lists to include the DEQ subbasin coordinators and NPS Coordinator along with BLM Oregon districts, USFS Regional Office, and USFS and BLM Oregon Water Program contacts.
- During the fifth year of implementation, the MOU will be reviewed to evaluate effectiveness and discuss MOU update and renewal. A five-year progress report will be prepared by the USFS Pacific Northwest Regional Office and the DEQ headquarters with input from the DEQ Regional and USFS National Forest offices and transmitted to the DEQ Water Quality Administrator and USFS Regional Forester.
    - The 5-Year Report will use information gathered in each Annual Status Report and recommend any changes to the future MOU. The MOU should serve as an outline for the 5-Year Report. The basic elements would include the following:
        - i. The spatial coverage of Federal land ownership, WQRP extent, and WQRP status ("in progress", "completed", "approved", "being revised", and "other").
        - ii. Individual WQRP development and implementation progress.
        - iii. A summary of BMP implementation and effectiveness monitoring.
        - iv. An evaluation of agency activities in meeting Federal and State Water Quality programs and standards.
        - v. The recommendations for MOU updates.

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: Bullet + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 3 + Numbering Style: i, ii, iii, ... + Aligned at: 1.38" + Indent at: 1.5"

4.3.2 Revision of BLM Resource Management Plan and EIS for Western Oregon

In March 2012, the BLM began the process of revising the Resource Management Plans (RMPs) for 2.5 million acres of forested lands across six BLM Districts in western Oregon. BLM intends to revise the six RMPs with an associated EIS for the Western Oregon Planning Area. BLM has begun the scoping process, to determine the scope of issues to be addressed by the environmental analysis, including alternatives and the significant issues related to the planning process.

The Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA) requires the development, maintenance, and revision of land use plans. Preparation of the RMPs and EIS will conform to federal and state management laws including the Endangered Species Act, the Clean Water Act, and the National Environmental Policy Act.

In 2012, the State of Oregon signed an MOU defining the process and scope of the state's involvement in developing an RMP that involves and receives better understating of how the state and federal clean water act and state rules and regulations are included in the RMP. DEQ, ODF, ODFW, and DSL directors signed the MOU. The key federal and state natural resources agencies are members of the Cooperating Agencies Advisory Group and technical workgroups such as riparian/aquatic resources.

Commented [CJ81]: Is this MOA available online? If so, include the web address.

BLM is on a schedule to have a final RMP and EIS completed by 2015.

4.3.3 USFS and BLM BMPs for Land Management Activities

4.3.3.1. USFS BMPs for All Land Management Activities

The purpose and objectives of the USFS National BMP Program is to provide a standard set of core BMPs and a consistent means to track and document the use and effectiveness of BMP use on NFS lands across the country. The objectives of the National BMP Program are:

- To consolidate direction applicable to BMP use for NPS pollution control on all NFS lands to avoid, minimize or mitigate adverse effects to soil, water quality and riparian resources.
- To establish a uniform process of BMP implementation that will meet the intent of the federal and state water quality laws and regulations, Executive Orders, and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), and Forest Service directives.
- To establish a consistent process to monitor and evaluate Forest Service efforts to implement BMPs and the effectiveness of those BMPs at protecting water quality on regional and national scales.
- To establish a consistent and creditable process to document and report agency BMP implementation and effectiveness.

This technical guide contains the national core set of BMPs to be used in the National BMP Program. A separate technical guide is being prepared that will contain the national BMP monitoring protocols.

This technical guide provides information for implementing the National Core BMP portion of the Forest Service National BMP Program. The National Core BMPs were compiled from Forest Service manuals, handbooks, contract and permit provisions, policy statements and state or other organization's BMP documents. The National Core BMPs are not intended to supersede or replace existing regional, state, Forest or Grassland BMPs. Rather~~,~~ the National Core BMPs provide a foundation for water quality protection on NFS lands and facilitate national BMP monitoring.

The National Core BMPs encompass the wide range of activities on NFS lands across the nation. The primary intent of the National Core BMPs is to carry out one of the federal CWA purposes to maintain the chemical, physical and biological integrity of the Nation's waters. To that end, the National Core BMPs are focused on water pollution control. The National Core BMPs also address soil, aquatic, and riparian resources, but only to the extent that they contribute to maintenance of chemical, physical and biological water quality.

The National Core BMPs in this technical guide are deliberately general and non-prescriptive. As this document is national in scope, it cannot address all possible practices or practices specific to local or regional soils, climate, vegetation types, or state-specific requirements. The National Core BMPs require the development of site-specific prescriptions based on local site conditions and requirements to achieve compliance with established state or national water quality goals. It is expected that State requirements and BMP programs, Forest Service regional guidance, and Forest or Grassland Plans will provide the criteria for site-specific BMP prescriptions. The National Core BMPs provide direction on "what to do" and the local direction will provide "how to do it". Table 1 contains two examples comparing the National Core BMP direction with Forest Service regional direction and state BMPs. Forest Service Regions may supplement the National Core BMPs with additional practices or practices that are more specific to meet Regional needs.

Commented [CJ82]: In the technical guide, not in this document, right?

The federal CWA does not regulate NPS pollution. Instead, Sections 208 and 319 require states to develop a process to identify, as appropriate, agricultural, silvicultural and other categories of nonpoint sources of pollution and to set forth procedures and methods, including land use requirements, to control to the extent feasible such sources. Each state has a NPS Management Program and Plan that directs how the state will control NPS pollution. The NPS Management Plan describes the process, including intergovernmental coordination and public participation, for identifying BMPs to control identified nonpoint sources and to reduce the level of pollution from such sources.

Commented [CJ83]: Isn't that referring to this plan?

Once BMPs have been approved by a state, the BMPs become the primary mechanism for meeting water quality standards in that state. Proper installation, operation and maintenance of state-approved BMPs are presumed to meet a landowner or manager's obligation for compliance with applicable water quality standards. If subsequent evaluation indicates that approved and properly installed BMPs are not achieving water quality standards, then the state should take steps to revise the BMPs, evaluate and, if appropriate, revise water quality standards (designated uses and water quality criteria), or both. Through the iterative process of monitoring and adjustment of BMPs and/or

water quality standards, it is anticipated and expected that BMPs will lead to achievement of water quality standards (EPA-823-B-94-005a (SAM 32)).

The US Forest Service Manual Direction requires all land use activities on national forests to meet federal and state water quality standards; Clean Water Act Section 303(d) and federal and state TMDL requirements (including, as required in some states, the development and implementation of TMDL Implementation Plans (sometimes called WQRPs); point source NPDES permits; Drinking Water Protection; and Groundwater Protection requirements. BMPs applied should be based on site-specific conditions and political, social, economic and technical feasibility. Methods that reflect NPS conditions should be used to measure effectiveness of those BMPs.

4.3.3.2. BLM Best Management Practices to Reduce Sediment Delivery from BLM Roads in Oregon

BLM has developed a BMPs list for roads that is being used throughout Oregon (\\Deqhq1\wqnps\BLM and USFS\BLM Roads BMP List 2011\W_Or_BLM Road_BMP_Draft_2_ODEQ_Review_4_15_11 DY 5-4-11 epf 20110504_jds5-6-2011.xlsx). DEQ has approved this list.

The Road BMPs include the following:

- Written Plans for Road Construction
- Road Location
- Road Design
- Road Prism
- Stream Crossing Structures
- Drainage
- Waste Disposal Areas
- Road Construction
- Disposal of Waste Materials
- Drainage
- Stream Protection
- Stabilization
- Rock Pit and Quarry
- Road Maintenance
- Vacating Forest Roads
- Wet Weather Road Use
- Guidelines for maximum distance between contiguous cross drains based on U.S. Conservation Service soil erodibility groups
- Waterbar Spacing By Gradient And Erosion Class

4.4 Urban and Rural Residential

Although much of Oregon is in forestry and agricultural land uses, urban and rural residential areas can contribute much more pollution on a per acre basis. For the mostly urbanized watersheds, the impacts of urban development can include a longer list of different types of pollutants, including heavy metals, urban use pesticides, nutrients, sediment, hydrocarbons and combustion related by-products, bacteria, and emerging pollutants like fire retardant products. Increased levels of impervious surfaces (e.g., roads, rooftops and parking lots) associated with urbanization alter the hydrology of the landscape, often causing an increase in stormwater runoff volume/rates – resulting in unstable stream banks or increased flooding – and the discharge of additional pollutants to surface waterbodies. In these urban or urbanizing watersheds, natural surface water systems are replaced by stormwater infrastructure, connecting this water pollution source directly to the nearest stream, lake or wetland.

In Oregon, it is important to note that polluted runoff from urban areas is addressed by NPS programs or stormwater point source permits, and in some instances both programs. For example, larger cities or more populated counties may have both NPS and permitted stormwater requirements or commitments. Whereas, most medium and small

sized communities may only address stormwater runoff through NPS programs and Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) for funding NPS projects

Oregon relies on the following programs for the prevention, control, and treatment of urban pollution:

- **TMDL Water Quality Management Plan** – DEQ identifies the urban pollutants located within a city, county and/or stormwater district's waters of the state that do not meet water quality standards and require TMDL load allocations to be met in order to protect beneficial uses.
- **TMDL Implementation Plan** – The TMDL identifies those city, county, and/or stormwater district DMAs that need to develop and implement a TMDL Implementation Plan. The Plan, developed by DMAs and approved by DEQ, must identify the programmatic and structural BMPs that are needed to control, reduce, and treat pollutants that have TMDL load allocations. The goal is for the DMA to meet water quality standards.
- **NPDES Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Phase I or II Stormwater Permit** - The Oregon TMDL rule requires that all Phase I or Phase II MS4 communities prepare a TMDL Implementation Plan. To address this requirement for urban runoff-related pollutants (e.g., bacteria, sediment), the MS4 permittees must develop a Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) and submit it to DEQ for approval and incorporation as permit conditions.

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: Bullet + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

For all TMDL impairments and listed pollutants, the SWMP must include BMPs (reflected as benchmarks) that are necessary to make progress towards achieving the applicable TMDL wasteload/load allocations. In addition, for those waterbodies located within a MS4 Phase I permitted community that do not yet have a TMDL, the permit requires the permittee to evaluate all 303(d) listed pollutants to determine whether the SWMP includes BMPs to reduce the 303(d) listed pollutant to the maximum extent practicable.

4.4.1. TMDL Implementation for Urban and Rural Residential DMAs

Each DMA identified in the Water Quality Management Plan is required to prepare an individualized implementation plan that provides a description of the management strategies necessary to prevent, control, and/or treat specific sources of the TMDL pollutant. The TMDL WQMP may provide information that the DMA *must* include in the TMDL Implementation Plan.

Each TMDL Implementation Plan must include the management strategies the DMA will use to reduce pollutant loading and achieve the load allocations. The TMDL Implementation Plan must describe the selected management strategies and measurable milestones in sufficient detail, such as providing siting criteria and operating methods, to inform DEQ's independent and objective review and effectiveness evaluation. In order to better protect water quality and beneficial uses, must be reversed. The city and counties natural resources must be identified and protected first. Then land uses should be located in a manner that both protects and utilizes the natural resources as an integral part of the developed landscape. Urban and rural nonpoint contributing sources need development-related controls administered through local land use ordinances. This alternative process has shown that development, mitigation, and in many cases, maintenance costs are less with an increase in quality of life for both humans and fish and wildlife.

Commented [CJ84]: Don't understand this sentence—something is missing.

A city or county will need to review, and if required, amend their comprehensive plan and applicable implementing ordinances. It is essential that city and county land use related TMDL Implementation Plan measures are enforced through the local plan and development ordinances.

Specifically, revising or adopting the following development ordinances are recommended:

- Erosion and Sediment Control.
- Stormwater Quantity and Quality Management Control and Treatment.
- Wetland, Riparian, and Other Environmentally Sensitive Areas Protection.
- Hillside Development.
- Floodway and Floodplain Protection.

- Drinking Water Protection (DWP) Overlay Zone for Groundwater Wells.

The TMDL Implementation Plan must also include implementation timelines and performance monitoring, including specific timelines for each practice to ensure that the TMDL load allocation is met within a reasonable timeframe.

The DMA should also include in the Implementation Plan reasonable assurances that the strategies described in the plan will work. There are two elements to these assurances. First, the management strategies selected should to be justified with estimates of their contribution to load reduction targets. Second, a description of funding sources and other mechanisms that will be used to assure implementation of strategies is essential for a complete plan. The cost of administration, operation and maintenance, and monitoring should be considered for the long-term implementation of the Implementation Plan.

TMDL Implementation Plan Development

A TMDL Implementation Plan describes the actions that are needed to improve water quality once a TMDL has been established. Generally, a TMDL Implementation Plan includes a list of pollutants of concern and the sources (if known), proposed treatment strategies, a timeline for implementation activities, and proposed methods for monitoring the effectiveness of implementation activities. These TMDL Implementation Plans are necessary because typically a TMDL only describes what needs to happen and does not set out a schedule for implementing the specific improvements (see applicable TMDL/WQMP for specific requirements).

The required components of a TMDL Implementation Plan are described in OAR 340-042-0080(4) excerpted below. See DEQ's May 2007 TMDL Implementation Plan Guidance for additional information.

OAR 340-042-0080(4):
Persons, including DMAs other than the Oregon Department of Forestry or the Oregon Department of Agriculture, identified in a WQMP as responsible for developing and revising sector-specific or source-specific implementation plans must:

(a) Prepare an implementation plan and submit the plan to the Department for review and approval according to the schedule specified in the WQMP. The implementation plan must:
(A) Identify the management strategies the DMA or other responsible person will use to achieve load allocations and reduce pollutant loading;
(B) Provide a timeline for implementing management strategies and a schedule for completing measurable milestones;
(C) Provide for performance monitoring with a plan for periodic review and revision of the implementation plan;
(D) To the extent required by ORS 197.180 and OAR chapter 340, division 18, provide evidence of compliance with applicable statewide land use requirements; and
(E) Provide any other analyses or information specified in the WQMP.
(b) Implement and revise the plan as needed.

4.4.2 NPDES MS4 Stormwater Permit

EPA's NPDES Phase I or Phase II Stormwater rules (http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/munic.cfm) require the Municipal Separated Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) permitted community to implement a stormwater management program and to prepare a Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) in order to reduce the discharge of pollutants into the storm sewer system to the maximum extent practicable. The Oregon TMDL rule requires that all Phase I or Phase II MS4 communities prepare a plan to guide implementation of management strategies identified in a TMDL WQMP. To address this requirement, a NPDES MS4 Phase I or II stormwater community prepares a TMDL Implementation Plan (typically for non-runoff related pollutants, such as temperature) or incorporates BMPs into its MS4 SWMP to address runoff-related pollutants, such as sediment or bacteria.

The MS4 permittee submits its SWMP (or TMDL Implementation Plan) to DEQ for approval and incorporation as permit conditions. The SWMP must include BMPs (reflected as benchmarks) that are necessary to make progress towards achieving the applicable TMDL wasteload/load allocations for all applicable TMDL impairments and listed pollutants. In addition, for those impaired waterbodies that a MS4 Phase I permitted community discharges to 303d listed impaired waters that do not yet have an approved TMDL, the MS4 permit requires the permittee to evaluate all 303(d) listed pollutants to determine the adequacy of the SWMP to reduce the 303(d) listed pollutant to the maximum extent practicable, and make modifications to the SWMP BMPs as needed.

4.4.3 State Land Use Planning Goals

The Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) implements the State of Oregon land use planning laws and regulations. Where implemented, Goals 5, 16, and 17 protect wetlands, riparian areas, coastal shore lands, and estuaries by ensuring cities and counties identify environmentally sensitive areas in comprehensive plans and adopt zoning ordinances to protect them. Goal 6 can be used to support water quality related zoning and development ordinances such as riparian and wetland protection and stormwater control and treatment. It also allows jurisdictions to incorporate DEQ NPS directives into local plans and codes. Goal 7 directs local governments to apply land use management strategies that reduce risk to life and property. Goal 7 measures can integrate with NPS reduction measures in floodplains and landslide prone areas.

Commented [CJ85]: In what document are these goals contained?

Statewide land use goals 11 and 14 also help to reduce the impacts of urbanization on water quality. Goal 11 requires jurisdictions to have public facility plans in place to serve as a framework for urban and rural development. Stormwater management plans are required under Goal 11 for all existing urban areas and when urban areas are expanded. Goal 14 provides standards for designating and expanding urban growth boundaries (UGBs). In Oregon UGBs limit urban sprawl. Goals 3 and 4 work to preserve productive farm and forestland. Nonpoint pollution from residential land use in farm and forest zones is minimal because new development is severely restricted in these zones.

DEQ coordinates with DLCD to provide information to local governments on NPS reduction, and TMDL compliance strategies. This relationship is particularly strong in the CNPCP management area.
It is however important to note that a DMA will still need to meet both the TMDL load allocations and the state land use-planning goals individually. For example, even if a local jurisdiction has adopted a Goal 5 “safe harbor” for riparian and wetland areas protection, the DMA will need to analyze the adequacy of their Goal 5 program in meeting their TMDLs, particularly the shade requirements with a temperature TMDL. For most urban areas, the riparian areas are degraded and may contain very few trees. In addition, the “safe harbor” buffer widths may not provide sufficient shade to meet the temperature TMDL shade surrogates in some instances. A local jurisdiction may determine that they comply with Goal 5 and not Goal 6 or their TMDL.

Urban and rural nonpoint contributing sources need development-related controls administered through local land use ordinances. Goal 6 requires local jurisdictions to comply with state and federal water, land, and air quality laws. Land use planning is one of the most important first steps in meeting an urban and rural residential TMDL l~~L~~oad a~~A~~llocation. It is essential that city and county land use related TMDL Implementation Plan measures are enforced through the local plan.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

~~[I suggest the rest of this section be deleted or moved. See comment 18.]~~

A city or county will need to review, and if required, amend their comprehensive plan and applicable implementing ordinances. Specifically, revising or adopting the following development ordinances are recommended:

- Erosion and Sediment Control.
- Stormwater Quantity and Quality Management Control and Treatment.
- Wetland, Riparian, and Other Environmentally Sensitive Areas Protection.
- Hillside Development.
- Floodway and Floodplain Protection.
- Drinking Water Protection (DWP) Overlay Zone for Groundwater Wells.

It is however important to note that a DMA will still need to meet both the TMDL load allocations and the state land use-planning goals individually. For example, even if a local jurisdiction has adopted a Goal 5 "safe harbor" for riparian and wetland areas protection, the DMA will need to analyze the adequacy of their Goal 5 program in meeting their TMDLs, particularly the shade requirements with a temperature TMDL. For most urban areas, the riparian areas are degraded and may contain very few trees. In addition, the "safe harbor" buffer widths may not provide sufficient shade to meet the temperature TMDL shade surrogates in some instances. A local jurisdiction may determine that they comply with Goal 5 and not Goal 6 or their TMDL.

In order to better protect water quality and beneficial uses, this process must be reversed. The city and counties natural resources must be identified and protected first. Then land uses should be located in a manner that both protects and utilizes the natural resources as an integral part of the developed landscape. This alternative process has shown that development, mitigation, and in many cases, maintenance costs are less with an increase in quality of life for both humans and fish and wildlife.

Commented [CJ86]: I believe this information already has been provided.

5. Oregon 319 Grant Program

5.1 Federal CWA Section 319(h) NPS Grant Funding

The NPS Grant Program is administered by the Oregon DEQ for providing funding to stakeholders for supporting activities that address the goals and objectives of the NPS Management Program. Through Section 319(h), federal funds are provided annually through the EPA to States for the development and implementation of each State's NPS Management Program.

Section 319 funds are primarily intended for organizational capacity development, implementation activities, including monitoring used to support TMDL development, implementation and measuring progress towards achieving TMDL allocations. In Oregon the 319 funding is divided in Base ,used to fund DEQ NPS staff positions for implementing the NPS Program (Sect. 5.2) and incremental , to be used to fund priority projects (Sect. 5.3) (Table 6). Project priorities for 319 Pass Thru Grants are identified by DEQ NPS staff and used in the development of the request for proposals.

Commented [CJ87]: Is this still true now that the EPA no longer divides the funds into base and incremental?

Commented [CJ88]: Where?

Table 6 identifies the total Section 319(h) dollars, for the years 2007-2013. Funding of both, on the ground and planning, coordinating, prioritizing and implementing NPS activities in Oregon has been approximately $17 million.

Table 6: Oregon Total Section 319 Funding 2007 to 2013

Commented [CJ89]: Why is this relevant to this Plan which timeframe of this Plan is 2014-2018?

YEAR	BASE	INCREMENTAL	TOTAL
2013	$1,301,492	$756,508	$2,058,000
2012	$1,249,000	$905,000	$2,154,000
2011	$1,230,168	$1,111,832	$2,342,000
2010	$1,288,300	$1,387,400	$2,675,700
2009	$1,288,300	$1,387,400	$2,675,700
2008	$1,288,300	$1,387,400	$2,675,700
2007	$1,279.900	$1,387,400	$2,667,300

2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan

YEAR	BASE	INCREMENTAL	TOTAL
TOTALS	**$7,646,840**	**$8,322,940**	**$17,248,400**

5.2 Performance Partnership Agreement

A portion of DEQ's NPS program activities are funded through the EPA and DEQ Performance Partnership Agreement (PPA). The next ~~current~~ PPA covers ~~is for~~ activities ~~occurring~~ from July 1, 2014~~2~~ to June 30, 2016~~4~~. This funding is used in waters impaired by NPS pollution to support program management, administration, TMDL development and implementation, mainstream Columbia water quality management, and agency coordination.

Commented [CJ90]: Will funding also be used for protection?

These funds will ~~-~~support around 9~~.45~~ FTE positions within DEQ that will conduct ~~were involved in~~ the following activities~~programs /projects~~:

- Implement TMDLs for NPS in watersheds where TMDLs/WQMPs have been completed, such as the Willamette River and Columbia River Basins.
- Implement the Willamette Mercury TMDL (Phase I) using DEQ's Mercury Reduction Strategy and mercury source characterization work to help identify priorities and strategies.
- Implement strategies for GWMA's with established Action Plans.
- Distribute 319 grants to fund project proposals in Oregon's priority basins based on TMDL implementation, 303(d) listings, GWMAs, and Drinking Water Source Areas.
- Administer 319 Grants.
- Prepare an annual report of NPS program accomplishments.
- Determine with EPA potential NPS success stories documenting either that the water body is meeting WQS or making water quality progress under EPA's national measures.
- Enter GRTS 319 project tracking mandated data elements by national deadlines, including pollutant load reductions, as available.
- Coordinate with the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) on the Oregon Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Control Program (CNPCP).
- Coordinate with state and federal natural resource managers on meeting water quality goals and objectives.
- Characterization of NPS problems/concerns.
- Monitoring to support and determine effectiveness of BMP programs.
- Best management practices development/implementation.
- Coordination between stakeholders.
- Liaison support staff to other state and federal agencies.
- Restoration activities.
- Development and modeling for NPS TMDLs.
- Development of UAA)/SSC as related to NPS activities.
- Public education.
- 319 Grant administration~~s~~ ~~-~~for individual projects.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

5.3 Incremental (Pass) Grants

Commented [CJ91]: May want to consider using different terminology as EPA no longer splits the funds into base and incremental. Maybe use the term "project funds"

The Oregon DEQ requests proposals for watershed assessment, planning, implementation, demonstration and education projects within the boundaries of impaired watersheds on a yearly basis. Since 2012, the RFP process has been a two-step application. The pre proposal application is the first step to gather concept project ideas from potential applicants. Requesting full proposal from selected pre proposal applicants is the second step.

Benefits to applicants of the pre-proposal process include:

- Simplified process for matching project ideas to DEQ's priorities,
- Increased focus on achieving desired results,
- Technical assistance and guidance from DEQ staff to develop final proposal, budget, and project that meet EPA 319 program requirements,
- Reduced risk to applicant of investing time and resources to develop a full proposal that may not be funded.

The projects funded are very specific in targeting the NPS priorities in the RFP. Additional information can be found in the 2014 Oregon 319 NPS Implementation Pre-Proposal Application http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/nonpoint/grants.htm

The proposals must focus on the restoration of water quality consistent with the goals, objectives, and priorities identified in the RFP. DEQ Region and HQ NPS and TMDL staff use existing information such as: TMDL/WQMP; Integrated Report; Watershed Approach Basin Reports; GWMA Action Plans; agricultural biennial reviews of area rules and plans; water quality data; and other relevant information to identify and prioritize projects for the RFP. Region and HQ RFP priorities are reviewed by NPS and TMDL staff and managers before inclusion in the RFP. The NPS and TMDL staff score and select pre-proposals for full proposals, which are then reviewed by NPS and TMDL staff, and management for funding. In addition, DEQ NPS and TMDL staff are 319 Grant Administrators for the individual project grants. Typically, DEQ targets Incremental (Pass Thru) Grant funds for the following types of projects:

Commented [CJ92]: Do you or will you include protection projects?

- TMDL implementation plans,
- Surface and ground water quality monitoring,
- Data analysis and modeling,
- Demonstration of innovative BMPs,
- Technical assistance to landowners for conservation planning,
- Public outreach/education,
- Implementation and development of EPA's nine-element, including the formation and facilitation of stakeholder groups,
- ~~In addition, M~~monitoring activities to determine the effectiveness of specific pollution prevention methods.

Project proposals should, where applicable, stress interagency coordination, demonstrate new or innovative technologies, use comprehensive strategies that have statewide applicability, and stress public participation. Examples of project proposals previously funded by Oregon are available by contacting Ivan Camacho, at DEQ, at camacho.ivan@deq.state.or. Additionally, applicants are encouraged to review EPA's Grant Guidelines for the NPS Management Program, available at http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/nps/cwact.cfm.

5.4 Project Funding

DEQ seeks proposals from government agencies, tribal nations and nonprofit organizations to address non-point sources (NPS) of pollution affecting coastal, river, lake, drinking and ground water resources of the state.

DEQ identifies specific regional priorities for implementation of the Oregon 319 NPS Grant. The priorities provide the objective and the type of strategy to implement. Please refer to Appendix A for the 2013 grant project objectives. DEQ prioritizes the projects on how well the proposal reflects the listed priorities.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

DEQ encourages proposals that show a strong sense of collaboration and partnership with stakeholders, including other state, local, federal and/or tribal nations to ensure the most effective coordination of funding and matching from a variety of sources and to provide the greatest water quality benefit.

As an example of the priorities and pass-thru' funding distribution, the following Chart 1 presents the projects for the year 2013 by type based on funding. Total project (incremental) funding for the year 2013 was $756,508.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Chart 1: 2013 Oregon 319 Type of Project



Commented [CJ93]: Why are there two categories with TMDL implementation or is that a typo for the TMDL planning and included "mplementation"?

5.5 EPA Grants Reporting and Tracking System – GRTS

The Grants Reporting and Tracking System (GRTS) is the primary tool for management and oversight of the EPA's NPS pollution control program. GRTS pulls grant information from EPA's centralized grants and financial databases and allows grant recipients to enter detailed information on the individual projects or activities funded under each grant.

Oregon DEQ reports annually to EPA the progress in meeting milestones, including:

- Estimates of loading reductions of NPS pollutants
- Improvements to water quality achieved by implementing NPS pollution control practices

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Commented [CJ94]: If you are referring to WQ10 success stories, this is an area in which Oregon needs to improve.

The Section 319 Grants Reporting and Tracking System (GRTS) is used by Oregon to supply information about the State's NPS Management Programs and annual Section 319 funded work programs, which include watershed-based BMP implementation projects. GRTS includes information about Best Management Practices (BMPs) implemented under 319-funded watershed projects, and the NPS load reductions achieved because of implementation. EPA uses GRTS to compile and report information about state section 319 program projects, including load reductions for nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediment.

Commented [CJ95]: Not all pollutants—just a few like sediment….

As part of the reporting via GRTS Oregon fulfills requirements of the federal CWA Sections 319(h)(11) and 319(m)(1); however, GRTS also provides EPA and other stakeholders greater and more efficient access to data, information, and program accomplishments than would otherwise be available. Besides load reduction information, GRTS, in conjunction with WATERS (see below) provides detailed geo-referencing (i.e., National Hydrograph Dataset (NHD) or NHD reach addresses) for 319-funded projects, project cost information, and a host of other elements.

GRTS is also part of the Watershed Assessment, Tracking, and Environmental Results System (WATERS), which is used to provide water program information and display it spatially using a geographic information system integrated with several existing databases. These databases include the STOrage and RETrieval (STORET) database, the

Assessment TMDL Tracking and Implementation System (ATTAINS) the Water Quality Standards Database (WQSDB), and GRTS.

Oregon continues to enter load reduction data for identified 319-funded projects into GRTS. Oregon is in the process of identifying additional watershed models to estimate the load reductions resulting from implementation of BMPs. In the meantime, Oregon continues to use the Spreadsheet Tool for Estimating Pollutant Loads (STEPL) directly supported by EPA and the "Region 5" model to estimate loading reductions of the following parameters:

- Sediment
- Sediment-borne phosphorus and nitrogen
- Feedlot run-off
- Commercial fertilizer, pesticides, and manure utilization

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: Bullet + Aligned at: 0.25" + Tab after: 0.5" + Indent at: 0.5"

5.5.1 Grants Reporting to OWRI

In addition to GRTS reporting, DEQ requires that 319 project accomplishments for water quality and habitat restoration projects be entered into the OWEB's Oregon Watershed Restoration Inventory (OWRI) database located at http://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/oweb/owrio/selectproject.aspx.

Watershed restoration projects information included in this database is as follows:

- Activities designed to restore aquatic, riparian, estuarine, wetland, upland, or overall watershed conditions or functions
- Completed projects or a completed phase of a project

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

5.5.2 NPS Annual Report

The DEQ prepares a NPS Annual Report that is submitted to EPA for review ~~and approval prior to the following year's release of 319 Grant funds to the state~~. The NPS Annual Report contains the previous year's NPS Program performance including reports on progress on meeting goals, objectives, and priorities. Progress on projects funded by both Base (PPG) and by Incremental (Pass Thru) are reported.

Commented [CJ96]: EPA does not approve the annual report. Instead this is the primary document EPA uses in making its determination on whether Oregon has made satisfactory progress on its NPS program goals and then EPA can provide 319 funding to Oregon.

6. Other State Operated NPS Funding Sources

Oregon's NPS Management Program is funded from other DEQ, state, and federal programs. For DEQ, there is the Clean Water State Revolving Loan (CWSRF) program. Other state funding programs include the Drinking Water Revolving Loan Fund (DWRLF), the following OWEB grants: Small Grants; Local Capacity Support Grants; Outreach; Monitoring; Restoration; Partnership Investments; which include Investments in Longer-Term, and Larger-Scale Activities**.**

6.1 Clean Water State Revolving Fund

With the amendments to the Clean Water Act in 1987, Congress ushered in a new era in financing water quality improvements. Under Title VI, the CWA established the innovative Clean Water State Revolving Fund program. The CWSRF program is available to fund a wide variety of water quality projects including all types of nonpoint source, watershed protection or restoration, and estuary management projects, as well as more traditional municipal wastewater treatment projects.

The CWSRF loan operates much like an environmental infrastructure bank that is capitalized with federal and state contributions. The fund loans to public agencies and loan repayments are recycled back into the program to fund

additional water quality improvement projects. The revolving nature of the loan provides for an ongoing funding source intended to be available in perpetuity.

Many think of the CWSRF program as a source of funding for municipal projects. It is. Yet, it is also a significant resource for funding nonpoint source and estuary management projects. To date, the CWSRF has provided over $3 billion in funding for nonpoint source projects nationally.

In Oregon, the loan program provides low-cost loans to public agencies for the planning, design or construction of various projects that prevent or mitigate water pollution. The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality administers the program. Eligible public agencies include federally recognized Indian tribal governments, cities, counties, sanitary districts, soil and water conservation districts, irrigation districts, various special districts and certain intergovernmental entities.

When used to address nonpoint source pollution, the CWSRF loan can be a very effective source of financing. Not a grant perhaps, but these are low-cost loans that are apt to qualify as match for a 319 grant, an OWEB grant or USDA conservation programs.

In addition to direct, nonpoint source loans, Oregon's CWSRF program includes a specific form of loan, the~~our~~ Sponsorship Option that encourages a partnership between an operator of a publicly owned wastewater system and an organization seeking funding for a qualifying nonpoint source project. By agreeing to fund a nonpoint source project in conjunction with wastewater project, the operator could be eligible for a discounted CWSRF loan resulting in the funding of both the wastewater project and the nonpoint source project at a cost equivalent to just the wastewater project. The goal of this approach is to match an existing source of funding to those needed water quality improvements that would likely be overlooked for funding.

DEQ accepts new applications year-round. Applicants must provide information on the project's water quality benefits, environmental impact and estimated cost. DEQ reviews and scores all applications against specific ranking criteria using the information submitted. DEQ then lists applicant's projects for possible funding, in rank order, within the program's project priority list.

Applicants whose projects are placed on the project priority list must still complete all required program documents. These documents may include land-use compatibility statements evidence of authority to undertake the project, and financial reports. Once DEQ approves the required documentation, DEQ considers the project ready-to-proceed. DEQ only considers those projects identified as ready-to-proceed for a loan. DEQ offers loans to applicants in rank as funds become available. The program typically provides about $50 million annually for funding planning, point source and nonpoint source projects.

In order to receive CWSRF funds, all proposed nonpoint source projects must align with, and support the goals of Oregon's Nonpoint Source Control Program Plan. Nonpoint source staff at DEQ headquarters review the proposed project's information and goals. With input from the appropriate basin coordinator, headquarters staff determines whether the proposed project aligns with the Nonpoint Source Control Program Plan. If the proposed project does not align with the Nonpoint Source Control Program Plan, it is not eligible for CWSRF funding.

In 2013, DEQ revised its administrative rules to improve the program's ability to provide financial assistance to public agencies that have diverse water quality improvement needs. The new rules:

- ~~a.~~ Encourage public agencies to address water quality improvements through integrated approaches and encourage planning efforts.
- ~~b.~~ Broaden and clarify current project eligibility to include more types of water quality improvements. Previous project eligibility may have been a barrier to funding nonpoint source projects.
- ~~c.~~ Clarify that stormwater improvement projects (both point source and nonpoint source) are eligible for CWSRF funding, and project criteria are now more inclusive of these types of projects.
- ~~d.~~ Shift ranking criteria emphasis to encourage projects to integrate sustainable and "green" components with conventional "gray" infrastructure.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

- Encourage those projects that address water quality benefits and the relationship of those benefits to a watershed.

For almost two decades, DEQ's CWSRF staff has administered Oregon's implementation of EPA's Clean Watershed Needs Survey. This national survey and other recent studies consistently indicate nonpoint sources of pollution continue to be an important source of water impairment. DEQ's CWSRF loan program continues to scrutinize effective avenues to financial support projects addressing nonpoint source pollution.

6.2 Drinking Water Revolving Loan Fund (DWRLF)

In Oregon, the Drinking Water Revolving Loan Fund (DWRLF) is administered by the Oregon Health Authority (OHA), the state agency that regulates drinking water under state law and the Safe Drinking Water Act. OHA works cooperatively with DEQ on source water protection efforts. Money from the DWRLF is used to fund:

- Source Water Protection Grants (up to $30,000) to fund source water protection activities, monitoring, and planning in Drinking Water Source Areas (DWSAs);
- Loans for improving drinking water treatment, source water protection activities, or land acquisition in DWSAs; and
- DWRLF set-asides for administration fund five Drinking Water Protection positions at Oregon DEQ, which delineate DWSAs, integrate Clean Water Act programs (including the NPS Program) with source water protection needs, provide technical assistance to public water systems, and research NPS impacts on surface and ground drinking water sources.

6.3 OWEB

The Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board (OWEB) is a state agency that provides grants to help Oregonians take care of local streams, rivers, wetlands and natural areas. OWEB grants http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/GRANTS/pages/grant_faq.aspx are funded from the Oregon Lottery, federal dollars, and salmon license plate revenue. OWEB offers a variety of grant types and programs. The OWEB mission of *restoring, maintaining, and enhancing watersheds* implicitly recognizes that specific goals for improvement will vary between watersheds.

OWEB has the following grants for the various watershed improvement activities identified in watershed assessments, action plans, restoration plans, and other plans such as DEQ's TMDLs and Water Quality Basin Status and Action Plans, local Watershed Plans prepared by Watershed Councils. These plans focus on water quality improvements to meet water quality standards and TMDL load allocations. These grants are also used to implement habitat, stream, fish and wildlife restoration projects.

Small Grants:
The Small Grant Program is a competitive grant program that awards funds of up to $10,000 for on-the-ground restoration projects that address local priorities. Watershed councils, soil and water conservation districts and tribes submit applications on behalf of landowners.

1. **Technical Assistance Grants**
   CREP Technical Assistance grants to SWCD and/or Watershed Councils.
   http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/GRANTS/pages/crep_tech_assist_grants.aspx

2. **Restoration Grants**
   The Restoration Grant Program is a competitive grant program that awards funds to local partners for projects to improve watershed health. Grant projects address non-point source pollution issues, groundwater issues, water conservation/water efficiency, water quality, instream needs, climate change adaptation, fish and wildlife habitat, irrigation efficiency infrastructure and stormwater.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

3. **Outreach Grants**
The Outreach Grant Program is a competitive grant program that awards funds to perform outreach activities that provide information to increase awareness and understanding of watershed restoration and protection, and are related directly to efforts to protect or restore native fish or wildlife habitat or water quality or stream flows.

4. **Monitoring Grants**
The Monitoring Grant Program is a competitive grant program that awards funds to perform monitoring projects that identifies conditions in the watershed. It may be for the purpose of gathering baseline data on current conditions, for evaluation of the specific effects of management actions, or for comparing similar watershed components before and after a project.

Local Capacity Support Grants:
These grants are used for investing in the watershed restoration infrastructure. OWEB supports the capacity of watershed councils and soil and water conservation districts so that the state has an enduring, high capacity local infrastructure for conducting watershed restoration and conservation.
See http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/GRANTS/pages/grant_faq.aspx.

- Watershed Council Support
Watershed councils are locally organized, voluntary, non-regulatory groups established to improve the condition of watersheds in their local area. Watershed councils bring varied interests together to form a common vision for the watershed, prioritize activities, and identify landowner participants for important projects. OWEB council support grants provide funds for watershed council coordinator salary, operating costs, risk management and accountability insurance, and other costs. Watershed Council Support Grants

- Soil and Water Conservation Districts
Soil and water conservation districts historically focused primarily on helping farmers and ranchers protect soil and water resources. Today, there are 45 districts providing technical information and guidance to landowners, managers, and citizens across the state. OWEB provides funding to support the capacity of soil and water conservation districts to work with landowners in support of the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds and the local Agricultural Water Quality Management Plans.

Partnership Investments; Investments in Longer-Term, Larger-Scale Activities:
The Partnership Investment Program is a means by which OWEB works closely with partners and utilizes a different process to invest in longer-term activities intended to result in larger-scale ecological outcomes. Ideally, a Partnership Investment contributes to a historic change or surge of progress in the recovery of a species, the restoration of an ecosystem, or the launching of an initiative that addresses widespread issues.

- The Special Investment Partnership (SIP) Program
Partnerships have been established in the Upper Deschutes, Willamette and Upper Klamath basins. Additional SIPs are being considered for future funding.

- Deschutes Special Investment Partnership
The goal of the Deschutes SIP is to re-establish the stream flow, restore habitat, and re-establish extirpated salmon and steelhead runs in the Deschutes River and tributaries above the Round Butte Dam.

- Willamette Special Investment Partnership
The main goal of the Willamette SIP is to restore the mainstem river's meanders, natural floodplains, and fish and wildlife habitats in order to slow floodwaters and allow the river to interact with the land and plants around it. The Willamette SIP is built on a companion effort of the Meyer Memorial Trust who is an active funding partner and committed to increasing the pace of restoration in the Willamette basin.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Commented [CJ97]: Something appears to be missing here.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.5" + Indent at: 0.75"

- Upper Klamath Special Investment Partnership
  The Upper Klamath SIP desired outcomes are to contribute to chemical, thermal, and physical aquatic conditions that will benefit fish populations and water quality in the Upper Klamath Basin by reestablishing, improving, and sustaining the ecologic and hydrologic connectivity of aquatic ecosystems. The Upper Klamath SIP is built on a companion effort with The National Fish and Wildlife Foundation. The Partnership will enable conservation and restoration of local ecosystems, while supporting local communities.

- Whole Watersheds Restoration Initiative (EcoTrust and WWRI)
  WWRI is a partnership with U.S. Forest Service, NOAA Fisheries, and EcoTrust that focuses funding on restoring land across public and private ownerships within priority watersheds.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.5" + Indent at: 0.75"

The goal of this prioritization framework is to create a science-guided process that incorporates local priorities into regional (basin) improvement project priorities. Input from other stakeholders, like DEQ, are used to identify watershed improvement project priorities.

OWEB's process for establishing watershed improvement activity priorities:

- Information from watershed assessments, action plans, other studies such as DEQ's TMDLs and Water Quality Basin Status and Action Plans, and input from local Watershed Councils and other stakeholders, like DEQ, have been used to identify watershed improvement project priorities.
- Five general types of activities have been identified to address watershed function improvement:
  - Actions that restore habitat connectivity
  - Actions that address impaired watershed processes that affect the aquatic system or water quality
  - Actions that address key habitats and water quality for ESA-listed species
  - Actions that reduce human impacts and inputs to the watershed.
  - Actions that address symptoms of impaired watershed processes (e.g., placing large wood in streams) that impact fish habitat or water quality, or affect specific wildlife concerns (e.g. wildlife guzzlers).

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 2 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

OWEB staff work with DEQ basin coordinators, watershed councils and other conservation entities to develop basin priorities. The priorities are intended to be used as guidance by OWEB in the review of grant applications and to help ensure a clear and strategic approach to prioritizing the funding of projects. http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/pages/restoration_priorities.aspx ~~C~~shows ~~lick here to see~~ which basin priorities are complete.

The following Table 7 provides an example of identified restoration priorities at the basin scale:

Table 7: OWEB Grant Funding Example

OWEB GRANT FUNDING EXAMPLE Hood River Basin: Watershed Improvement Priorities.		
KEY PRINCIPLES	**ISSUES (WATERSHED LOCATION)**	**WATERSHED IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES**
Actions that address impaired watershed processes that affect the aquatic system or water quality Actions that address key habitats and water quality for ESA-listed fish: Winter Steelhead Summer Steelhead Spring Chinook Fall Chinook Bull Trout Actions that restore habitat connectivity	Fish Passage Barriers due to Roads and dams, including Clear Branch Dam In stream sedimentation, particularly Fifteen mile Creek Water quality concerns: temperature Irrigation diversions create low summer flows and dewater some reaches (Hood, Fifteen mile, Mosier) Retain water and soil in upland areas, particularly Fifteen mile Creek	Restore / improve fish passage at road crossings, irrigation diversions and dams Restore instream flows, increase irrigation efficiency or water leasing Promote ecologically sound range management to improve vegetative cover in grasslands and reduce grazing pressure on riparian areas Encourage conversion to no-till or perennial crops Restore riparian conditions for habitat and aquatic shade

6.3.1 Oregon Watershed Restoration Inventory (OWRI)

The Oregon Watershed Restoration Inventory (OWRI) originated at the onset of the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds to track Oregonians' voluntary efforts to restore habitats for salmon and wildlife. While the database is managed by OWEB and contains information about grants funded by OWEB, the majority of the OWRI entries represent voluntary actions of private citizens and landowners who have worked in partnership with federal, state, and local groups to improve aquatic habitat and water quality conditions. With over 14,000 records of projects completed since 1995, OWRI is the single largest restoration information database in the Western United States.

The DEQ Section 319 NPS Grant Program and the OWEB grant program http://www.oweb.state.or.us/ complement each other as many projects are co-funded by these programs. It is a requirement of all projects funded by the DEQ Section 319 NPS Grant Program to report also into the OWRI database if the project involves restoration.

Watershed restoration activities included in the inventory are:

- Activities designed to restore aquatic, riparian, estuarine, wetland, upland, or overall watershed conditions or functions;
- Completed projects or a completed phase of a project; and
- Activities beyond normal maintenance and management procedures in cases such as road and culvert improvements, erosion control, etc.

How OWRI information is used:

- To report Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watershed accomplishments;
- To support effectiveness monitoring of restoration activities; and
- To inform watershed assessments and future restoration project planning and prioritization.

For more information on the OWRI program, please refer to
http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/monitor/Pages/owri.aspx

DEQ is beginning to use data in OWRI for tracking and reporting on restoration activities that are expected to reduce NPS pollution. This information will be reported in the Oregon NPS Pollution Program Annual Reports.

Commented [CJ98]: Do you know if this information would be useful in finding potential WQ10 stories?

6.3.2. Oregon Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP)

The Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) is a state and federal partnership that allows landowners to receive incentive payments and conservation rental payments from the USDA Farm Services Agency for establishing long-term riparian buffers on eligible land. The Oregon CREP was approved in 1998. As an offspring of the Conservation Reserve Program, CREP is a voluntary program for agricultural landowners.
http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/GRANTSODA/NRD/pages/water_crep_tech_assist_grants.aspx

The following projects are funded:

- Projects addressing stream water quality issues; primarily stream temperature;
- Establishing long-term riparian buffers on eligible land;
- In addition to providing partial funding to direct landowner payments for conservation activities, OWEB has participated in providing funding for outreach, technical assistance and program coordination;
- DEQ, ODA, ODF, OWRD, and NRCS also assist in CREP implementation and coordination; and
- OWEB has funded 11 grants from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2013 that will provide funding for staff positions to assist landowners with conservation plan development and implementation, including the completion of Endangered Species Act and cultural resources reviews.

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Commented [CJ99]: Are these types of grants likely to be provided during the timeframe of this Plan?

7. Water Quality Data and Assessments

The NPS Program using data and information from water quality monitoring performed by a variety of entities including: DEQ, watershed councils, ODF, USFS, BLM, and others. This data and information is used for helping with identifying implementation priorities and effectiveness of the program.

Some of the DEQ monitoring activities include:

Commented [CJ100]: What about data to show progress towards meeting WQS for impaired watersheds where restoration work has been completed?

- TMDL Development – Collect data to develop TMDLs for 303(d) listed streams.
- Groundwater – Identify areas of groundwater contamination and determine trends in Groundwater Management Areas.
- Large River Ambient – Collect data for long term trending at fixed sites across the state.
- Volunteer Monitoring – Improve data quality collected by third parties and increase the data accessibility for local and state assessments.
- Restoration Activities - Use data in OWRI for tracking and reporting on restoration activities that are expected to reduce NPS pollution.
- Coastal Environmental / Bacteria Monitoring – Collects data to determine the need for beach advisories.
- Toxics Monitoring - Toxics Monitoring Project for surface waters in watersheds across Oregon and Drinking Water Toxics Monitoring. These projects will give information about current and emerging contaminants that threaten aquatic life and human health.
- Pesticide Stewardship Partnership - Collaborative approach to reduce instream pesticide concentrations in agricultural, urban and forest areas. Instream pesticide information is shared with growers to help them target management practices that reduce pesticides in water.
- Effectiveness monitoring in some 319 grant-funded projects.

Priorities for future monitoring and data collection by DEQ or in cooperation with related agencies are:

- Implementation and effectiveness monitoring for private and state forest practices rules.
- Implementation and effectiveness monitoring and reporting on work-to-be-done for voluntary improvements to forest roads and other voluntary conservation practices on private forestlands.
- Implementation and effectiveness monitoring for BLM and USFS to ensure that approved BMPs are being correctly implemented by agency personnel, stewardship contractors, and timber operators.
- Implementation and effectiveness monitoring for agricultural area rules.
- Implementation and effectiveness monitoring for agricultural area plans and other voluntary conservation practices on agricultural lands.
- Updating of Real Estate Transaction data for private domestic wells to include recent years of time-of-transfer data for required nitrate, coliform bacteria, and arsenic testing.
- Collection of raw water data from Public Water Systems for analysis of amount and sources of turbidity/sediment, pesticides, and organic matter contributing to disinfection by-products. These data would be used to evaluate whether nonpoint sources are causing impairments of drinking water provision in the state.