From: Cummins, John M CIV NAVFAC SW
To: Larson, Elizabeth A CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West; Carsillo, William R CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West
Cc: Gilkey, Douglas E CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West
Subject: Retrocession of Jurisdiction
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2008 5:42:13 PM

Team,

We should consider whether retrocession of jurisdiction covering the "exclusive" portions of HPS is advisable. At this point, there are portions of HPS that can be policed by the City and Navy and there are portions that can only be policed by the Navy. That is, there are portions of the base on which the San Francisco Police Department has no authority to make arrests. This can be confusing and interfere with law enforcement efforts. When Parcels D2 and 49 are conveyed, there could be an even more complicated hodgepodge of jurisdictions. This may become more of a problem once the stadium is built and the base becomes a draw for people throughout the region. For these reasons, we may want to couple the transfer of Parcel 49 to a general retrocession of jurisdiction on the "exclusive" (partial) areas of federal jurisdiction.
If so, the retrocession process could be incorporated into the HPS Early Transfer Schedule.

John