From: Cummins, John M CIV NAVFAC SW
To: Larson, Elizabeth A CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West; McCay, Patrick J CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West
Subject: RE: Parcel G Feb 2010 transfer date
Date: Thursday, September 4, 2008 11:29:02 AM

Beth / Patrick,

The City has said that the buildings it would demolish under the lease have always been slated for demolition - and that this isn't changing under the current plan. This should mean that the planned demolition wouldn't change under the supplemental NEPA analysis either. If true, we will probably still be O.K. That is, we should be able to permit demolition of structures on Parcel G (or elsewhere) if the environmental impacts of such demolition have already been considered in the EIS and nothing is changing in this respect under the current plan or what is being analyzed in the SEIS.

The EIS clearly had a specific demolition plan in mind when it stated that "Building demolition activities would generate approximately 79,100 tons (71,798 metric tons) of solid waste ..." [See page 4-82]. In discussing this with Alison Ling and Tom Lundstrom, we would like to verify that the buildings proposed for demolition under the lease were among the buildings considered for demolition in the EIS.

We should talk to Patrick about how we go about doing this?

John

-----Original Message-----
From: Larson, Elizabeth A CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West
Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2008 7:57
To: Cummins, John M CIV NAVFAC SW
Subject: FW: Parcel G Feb 2010 transfer date

John,

Remember the other day when we were discussing NEPA and Demo? We both had mixed views on what the City wanted. Look below at the "Assuming that..." from the city's email. I think that answers our question, thus we should probably revisit the issue.

Beth

P.s. Not a high priority in the big scheme of the end of the Fiscal Year and transfer goals;-)

-----Original Message-----
From: Kito, Melanie R CIV NAVFAC SW
Sent: Tuesday, September 02, 2008 17:33
To: Gilkey, Douglas E CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West; Macchiarella, Thomas L CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West; Callaway, Rex CIV NAVFAC SW; Cummins, John M CIV NAVFAC SW; Liotta, Rita M CIV WEST Counsel; Bui, An H CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West; McCay, Patrick J CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West; Jensen, Dane C CIV NAVFAC SW; Larson, Elizabeth A CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West; Forman, Keith S CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West
Cc: Hall, Steve -- EMI
Subject: FW: Parcel G Feb 2010 transfer date

We now know why the City did not flip with the NEPA date since the schedule shows a EIR/EIS to be completed in Sept 2009 instead of the projected March 2010 date.

Pato - can you see if we can tighten this schedule?

Steve - We need to change the schedule to reflect the new NEPA date. This will not make the City very happy on the 15th....

Thanks,

Melanie

-----Original Message-----
From: Amy Brownell [mailto:Amy.Brownell@sfdph.org]
Sent: Thursday, August 28, 2008 16:11
To: Forman, Keith S CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West; Kito, Melanie R CIV NAVFAC SW
Cc: sheila.roebuck@lennar.com; Elaine Warren; Tiffany Bohee; dcshipman@treadwellrollo.com; JLTURNROSS@mactec.com; Thor Kaslofsky; Michael Cohen; gordonhart@paulhastings.com; Stephen Maduli-Williams
Subject: Parcel G Feb 2010 transfer date

Hi Keith and Melanie:

I have discussed with Mayor's Office your current projected transfer date for Parcel G of Feb 2010.

While we will continue working with you to try and discover if there are any schedule efficiencies that can allow the transfer to happen sooner, we understand that your current scheduling predicts this Feb 2010 date.

Assuming that
the Parcel G lease (execution in mid Sept 2009) will allow Lennar to
begin building abatement activities (e.g. asbestos and lead) and
once the EIR and EIS are certified (end Sept 2009??) that Lennar will
also be allowed to begin building demolition under the terms of the
lease

then we are comfortable that this Feb 2010 transfer date for Parcel G can be accommodated in our schedules.

We will be in touch with you very soon to continue working on the schedules to combine the Parcels B and G CDRs using this Feb 2010 date and making sure the regulators are comfortable with the RACR(s) status to accommodate this combined CDR.

thanks again,
Amy Brownell, P.E.
San Francisco Health Department
1390 Market St., Suite 910
San Francisco, CA 94102
415-252-3967
fax 415-252-3964
amy.brownell@sfdph.org