~~CAD980312412~~ CAD980817217

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE
SITE INSPECTION REPORT 0000015

| REGION | SITE NUMBER (to be assigned by Hq) |
|---|---|
| 9 | 2610 |

**GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS:** Complete Sections I and III through XV of this form as completely as possible. Then use the information on this form to develop a Tentative Disposition (*Section II*). File this form in its entirety in the regional Hazardous Waste Log File. Be sure to include all appropriate Supplemental Reports in the file. Submit a copy of the forms to: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Site Tracking System; Hazardous Waste Enforcement Tack Force (*EN-335*); 401 M St., SW; Washington, DC 20460.

## I. SITE IDENTIFICATION

A. SITE NAME: Coalinga Asbestos

B. STREET (or other identifier): Pine Canyon

SFUND RECORDS CTR 1633-00057

C. CITY: approx. 15 miles N.W. of Coalinga

D. STATE: CA.

E. ZIP CODE:

F. COUNTY NAME: Fresno

G. SITE OPERATOR INFORMATION

1. NAME: N.A. site is inactive (when active, Coalinga Asbestos was a Division of Johns-Manville)

2. TELEPHONE NUMBER:

3. STREET:

4. CITY:

5. STATE:

6. ZIP CODE:

H. REALTY OWNER INFORMATION (*if different from operator of site*)

1. NAME: Southern Pacific Land Company

2. TELEPHONE NUMBER: (415) 362-1212

3. CITY: 1 Market Plaza, San Francisco

4. STATE: CA

5. ZIP CODE: 94105

I. SITE DESCRIPTION: Asbestos Mill, mines and tailings pile

J. TYPE OF OWNERSHIP

☐ 1. FEDERAL ☐ 2. STATE ☐ 3. COUNTY ☐ 4. MUNICIPAL ☒ 5. PRIVATE

## II. TENTATIVE DISPOSITION (*complete this section last*)

A. ESTIMATE DATE OF TENTATIVE DISPOSITION (*mo., day, & yr.*): 8/22/80

B. APPARENT SERIOUSNESS OF PROBLEM

☐ 1. HIGH ☒ 2. MEDIUM ☐ 3. LOW ☐ 4. NONE

C. PREPARER INFORMATION

1. NAME: Judy Steenhoven

2. TELEPHONE NUMBER: (415) 556-2354

3. DATE (*mo., day, & yr.*): 8/20/80

## III. INSPECTION INFORMATION

A. PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR INFORMATION

1. NAME: Kenneth D. Greenberg and Richard Vaille

2. TITLE: Environmental Engineers

3. ORGANIZATION: EPA IX, Surveillance and Analysis Div., Water Branch

4. TELEPHONE NO. (*area code & no.*): 415-556-8044

B. INSPECTION PARTICIPANTS

| 1. NAME | 2. ORGANIZATION | 3. TELEPHONE NO. |
|---|---|---|
| Kenneth D. Greenberg | EPA IX | 415-556-8044 |
| Richard Vaille | EPA IX | 415-556-8044 |
| Steve Piatek | Engineering Science | 213-445-7560 |

C. SITE REPRESENTATIVES INTERVIEWED (*corporate officials, workers, residents*)

| 1. NAME | 2. TITLE & TELEPHONE NO. | 3. ADDRESS |
|---|---|---|
| Ralph I. Bissett | Asst. Mgr. Ag. Operations 209-251-0377 | Southern Pacific Land Co. 5110 E. Clinton Way Rm. 101 Fresno, CA 93727 |
| David Long | Attorney | Southern Pacific Land Co. 1 Market Plaza San Francisco, CA 94105 |

SFUND RECORDS CTR 88090128



to HQ

Continued From Front

## II. INSPECTION INFORMATION (continued)

**D. GENERATOR INFORMATION** *(sources of waste)*

| 1. NAME | 2. TELEPHONE NO. | 3. ADDRESS | 4. WASTE TYPE GENERATED |
|---|---|---|---|
| N.A. | Site is inactive. Waste was generated | | |
| | by Coalinga Asbestos a Division of Johns-Manville. | | |
| | Waste generated was asbestos tailings | | and mine overburden. |

**E. TRANSPORTER/HAULER INFORMATION**

| 1. NAME | 2. TELEPHONE NO. | 3. ADDRESS | 4. WASTE TYPE TRANSPORTED |
|---|---|---|---|
| N.A. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

**F. IF WASTE IS PROCESSED ON SITE AND ALSO SHIPPED TO OTHER SITES, IDENTIFY OFF-SITE FACILITIES USED FOR DISPOSAL.**

| 1. NAME | 2. TELEPHONE NO, | 3. ADDRESS |
|---|---|---|
| N.A. | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| G. DATE OF INSPECTION (mo., day, & yr.) | H. TIME OF INSPECTION | I. ACCESS GAINED BY: *(credentials must be shown in all cases)* |
|---|---|---|
| May 19 + 21, 1980 | 5:00pm and 9:45am | ☒ 1. PERMISSION ☐ 2. WARRANT |

**J. WEATHER** *(describe)*
May 19 + 21 : Clear, 80°-90° F, light wind from the N.W.

## IV. SAMPLING INFORMATION

**A. Mark 'X' for the types of samples taken and indicate where they have been sent e.g., regional lab, other EPA lab, contractor, etc. and estimate when the results will be available.**

| 1. SAMPLE TYPE | 2. SAMPLE TAKEN (mark 'X') | 3. SAMPLE SENT TO: | 4. DATE RESULTS AVAILABLE |
|---|---|---|---|
| a. GROUNDWATER | | | |
| b. SURFACE WATER | X | LFE Laboratory (contractor) 230 Wright Ave., Richmond CA. 94804 | 6/20/80 |
| c. WASTE | X | GCA Corp., Technical Div., 213 Burlington Rd. Bedford, Mass. 01730 (contractor) | 6/23/80 |
| d. AIR | | | |
| e. RUNOFF | | | |
| f. SPILL | | | |
| g. SOIL | X | GCA Corp., Technical Div., 213 Burlington Rd. Bedford, Mass. 01730 (contractor) | 6/23/80 |
| h. VEGETATION | | | |
| i. OTHER *(specify)* | | | |

**B. FIELD MEASUREMENTS TAKEN** *(e.g., radioactivity, explosivity, PH, etc.)*

| 1. TYPE | 2. LOCATION OF MEASUREMENTS | 3. RESULTS |
|---|---|---|
| Visual Observations of | Los Gatos Creek Watershed including the Waste Site | |
| stream flows and Waste surface areas. | | |
| | | |
| | | |


Continue On Page 3

Continued From Page 2

## IV. SAMPLING INFORMATION (continued)

**C. PHOTOS**

1. TYPE OF PHOTOS
   - [X] a. GROUND
   - [X] b. AERIAL (Taken by EMSL Las Vegas. Will be sent to EPA IX on August 12)

2. PHOTOS IN CUSTODY OF: Kenneth D. Greenberg (ground photos)

**D. SITE MAPPED?**

[X] YES. SPECIFY LOCATION OF MAPS: USGS maps - 15' Quad Santa Rita Peak, CA and inspector's sketches

**E. COORDINATES**

1. LATITUDE (deg.-min.-sec.): 36° 18' 04"
2. LONGITUDE (deg.-min.-sec.): 120° 31' 53"

## V. SITE INFORMATION

**A. SITE STATUS**

- [ ] 1. ACTIVE (Those inductrial or municipal sites which are being used for waste treatment, storage, or disposal on a continuing basis, even if infrequently.)
- [X] 2. INACTIVE (Those sites which no longer receive wastes.)
- [ ] 3. OTHER (specify): _____ (Those sites that include such incidents like "midnight dumping" where no regular or continuing use of the site for waste disposal has occurred.)

**B. IS GENERATOR ON SITE?**

[X] 1. NO [ ] 2. YES (specify generator's four-digit SIC Code): _____

SITE IS INACTIVE

**C. AREA OF SITE (in acres)**: Tailings cover approx. 4 acres

**D. ARE THERE BUILDINGS ON THE SITE?**

[ ] 1. NO [X] 2. YES (specify): 1 Mill Building

## VI. CHARACTERIZATION OF SITE ACTIVITY

Indicate the major site activity(ies) and details relating to each activity by marking 'X' in the appropriate boxes.

| 'X' | A. TRANSPORTER N.A. | 'X' | B. STORER N.A. | 'X' | C. TREATER N.A. | 'X' | D. DISPOSER |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. RAIL | | 1. PILE | | 1. FILTRATION | | 1. LANDFILL |
| | 2. SHIP | | 2. SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT | | 2. INCINERATION | | 2. LANDFARM |
| | 3. BARGE | | 3. DRUMS | | 3. VOLUME REDUCTION | | 3. OPEN DUMP |
| | 4. TRUCK | | 4. TANK, ABOVE GROUND | | 4. RECYCLING/RECOVERY | | 4. SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT |
| | 5. PIPELINE | | 5. TANK, BELOW GROUND | | 5. CHEM./PHYS./TREATMENT | | 5. MIDNIGHT DUMPING |
| | 6. OTHER (specify): | | 6. OTHER (specify): | | 6. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT | | 6. INCINERATION |
| | | | | | 7. WASTE OIL REPROCESSING | | 7. UNDERGROUND INJECTION |
| | | | | | 8. SOLVENT RECOVERY | | 8. OTHER (specify): Tailings Pile (inactive) |
| | | | | | 9. OTHER (specify): | | |

**E. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORTS:** If the site falls within any of the categories listed below, Supplemental Reports must be completed. Indicate which Supplemental Reports you have filled out and attached to this for..

- [ ] 1. STORAGE
- [ ] 2. INCINERATION
- [ ] 3. LANDFILL
- [ ] 4. SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT
- [ ] 5. DEEP WELL
- [ ] 6. CHEM/BIO/PHYS TREATMENT
- [ ] 7. LANDFARM
- [ ] 8. OPEN DUMP
- [ ] 9. TRANSPORTER
- [ ] 10. RECYCLOR/RECLAIMER

## VII. WASTE RELATED INFORMATION

**A. WASTE TYPE**

- [ ] 1. LIQUID
- [X] 2. SOLID
- [ ] 3. SLUDGE
- [ ] 4. GAS

**B. WASTE CHARACTERISTICS**

- [ ] 1. CORROSIVE
- [ ] 2. IGNITABLE
- [ ] 3. RADIOACTIVE
- [ ] 4. HIGHLY VOLATILE
- [ ] 5. TOXIC
- [ ] 6. REACTIVE
- [ ] 7. INERT
- [ ] 8. FLAMMABLE
- [X] 9. OTHER (specify): Asbestos Tailings

**C. WASTE CATEGORIES**

1. Are records of wastes available? Specify items such as manifests, inventories, etc. below.

NO

Continued From Front

## VII. WASTE RELATED INFORMATION (continued)

2. Estimate the amount (*specify unit of measure*) of waste by category; mark 'X' to indicate which wastes are present.

| a. SLUDGE | b. OIL | c. SOLVENTS | d. CHEMICALS | e. SOLIDS | f. OTHER |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AMOUNT N.A. | AMOUNT N.A. | AMOUNT N.A. | AMOUNT N.A. | AMOUNT Tailings cover approx. 4 | AMOUNT N.A. |
| UNIT OF MEASURE | UNIT OF MEASURE | UNIT OF MEASURE | UNIT OF MEASURE | UNIT OF MEASURE Acres | UNIT OF MEASURE |
| 'X' (1) PAINT, PIGMENTS | 'X' (1) OILY WASTES | 'X' (1) HALOGENATED SOLVENTS | 'X' (1) ACIDS | 'X' (1) FLYASH | 'X' (1) LABORATORY, PHARMACEUT. |
| (2) METALS SLUDGES | (2) OTHER(*specify*): | (2) NON-HALOGNTD. SOLVENTS | (2) PICKLING LIQUORS | X (2) ASBESTOS | (2) HOSPITAL |
| (3) POTW | | (3) OTHER(*specify*): | (3) CAUSTICS | X (3) MILLING/MINE TAILINGS (asbestos) | (3) RADIOACTIVE |
| (4) ALUMINUM SLUDGE | | | (4) PESTICIDES | (4) FERROUS SMELTING WASTES | (4) MUNICIPAL |
| (5) OTHER(*specify*): | | | (5) DYES/INKS | (5) NON-FERROUS SMLTG. WASTES | (5) OTHER(*specify*): |
| | | | (6) CYANIDE | (6) OTHER(*specify*): | |
| | | | (7) PHENOLS | | |
| | | | (8) HALOGENS | | |
| | | | (9) PCB | | |
| | | | (10) METALS | | |
| | | | (11) OTHER(*specify*): | | |

D. LIST SUBSTANCES OF GREATEST CONCERN WHICH ARE ON THE SITE (*place in descending order of hazard*)

| 1. SUBSTANCE | 2. FORM (*mark 'X'*) | | | 3. TOXICITY (*mark 'X'*) | | | | 4. CAS NUMBER | 5. AMOUNT | 6. UNIT |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | a. SOLID | b. LIQ. | c. VAPOR | a. HIGH | b. MED. | c. LOW | d. NONE | | | |
| Asbestos (Chrysotile) | X | | | X | | | | 1332214 | Tailings Cover approx. 4 | Acres |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

## VIII. HAZARD DESCRIPTION

FIELD EVALUATION HAZARD DESCRIPTION: Place an 'X' in the box to indicate that the listed hazard exists. Describe the hazard in the space provided.

☒ A. HUMAN HEALTH HAZARDS

~~The obvious hazard to human health is the potential for~~

Possible hazards to human health would be from inhaling airborne asbestos or from ingesting asbestos which may be introduced to local drinking water supplies. See details in sections below.



Continue On Page 5

Continued From Page 4

## VIII. HAZARD DESCRIPTION (continued)

~~0006015~~

**☒ B. NON-WORKER INJURY/EXPOSURE**

The closest town is Coalinga which is approx. 16 miles south east of the waste site. There are ~~sat~~ scattered ranches in the hills adjacent to the waste site. The population of the adjacent countryside is unknown. The closest house is approximately 3 miles from the site. Ambient air concentrations of asbestos have not been measured in the area. Therefore, ~~the exposure of th~~ general populations exposure to airborne asbestos cannot be accurately determined at this time. See section J below for additional details on air contamination. Sections D and G contain details on water contamination.

**☒ C. WORKER INJURY/EXPOSURE**

The site (mines, mill + tailings pile) is innactive. Therefore, there is no hazard to workers.

**☒ D. CONTAMINATION OF WATER SUPPLY**

<First read section G. below>

During the winter months, Los Gatos Creek flows to the San Luis Canal of the California Aqueduct system. At other times of the year, the creek ~~does~~ is dry before it reaches the canal. The distance from Coalinga Asbestos to the San Luis Canal along the streams (Pine Canyon, White Creek, and Los Gatos Creek) is approximately 40 miles. In the winter of '79/'80 6259 acre-ft. of water from Los Gatos Creek was allowed to enter the San Luis Canal through inlet gates located at mile 158.36 of the canal. This water was not analyzed for asbestos. Downstream of the inlet gate at mile 158.36, the next drinking water supply which draws off the Canal is for the town of Avenal (5000 people) in Kings County. Avenal is approximately 8 miles downstream from the input of Los Gatos Creek.

**☐ ~~E. CONTAMINATION OF FOOD CHAIN~~**

**☐ F. CONTAMINATION OF GROUND WATER**

**☒ G. CONTAMINATION OF SURFACE WATER**

Surface runoff from the mines, mill, and tailings pile is free to drain into the small streams which drain the area. The tailings pile is located directly in ~~the~~ a stream bed. At the upstream end of the pile, a series of dams was built on top of the tailings to prevent the stream water from flowing directly across the pile. Below the tailings pile (approx. 200 yd. downstream), an earthen dam was built across the stream to retain waterborne asbestos. This dam is broken and ineffective. Deposits of asbestos tailings were observed downstream of the washed out dam. On the face of the tailings pile were observed erosion ditches leading to the stream. The tailings pile is located near the head of Pine Canyon, approximately 5.5 miles upstream from the confluence with White Creek. White Creek then flows another 2.3 miles before joining Los Gatos Creek.



OVER →

## VIII. HAZARD DESCRIPTION (continued)

☒ ~~H. DAMAGE TO FLORA/FAUNA~~

G. Contamination of Surface Water (continued)

Streams in the area may also be contaminated by asbestos which is naturally occuring at or near the surface of the hills in the area. The hills are steep, and erosion of naturally occuring asbestos may be a significant contribution to the load of asbestos in the stream systems.

Water samples were taken from Pine Canyon, White Creek, and Los Gatos Creek. On May 21, 1980 a water sample was taken from Los Gatos Creek downstream of the confluence with White Creek. The sample contained chrysotile asbestos at a concentration of $7.5 \times 10^8$ fibers/liter. Concentrations may be higher at times of high precipitation when erosion of tailings and surrounding hillsides is greater.

☐ I. FISH KILL

☒ J. CONTAMINATION OF AIR

No visible emissions were noted from the mill or the tailings pile. A light crust has formed on the tailings which prevents the tailings from being disturbed by the wind.

☐ K. NOTICEABLE ODORS

☐ L. CONTAMINATION OF SOIL

☐ M. PROPERTY DAMAGE



Continue On Page 7

Continued From Page 6

## VIII. HAZARD DESCRIPTION *(continued)*

☐ N. FIRE OR EXPLOSION

~~0006015~~

☐ O. SPILLS/LEAKING CONTAINERS/RUNOFF/STANDING LIQUID

☐ P. SEWER, STORM DRAIN PROBLEMS

☐ Q. EROSION PROBLEMS

☒ R. INADEQUATE SECURITY

The inspectors did not observe any signs warning of the presence of asbestos. Neither the mill or the tailings pile was enclosed by a fence. The site is in a remote area however. Access to the area is by 15 miles or more of dirt roads. Several gates (some locked) must be passed in order to reach the site. However, during the inspection we observed two men walking about the site. They were hunting in the area and gained access to the site by walking around a road gate.

☐ S. INCOMPATIBLE WASTES

## VIII. HAZARD DESCRIPTION *(continued)*

☐ T. MIDNIGHT DUMPING

☐ U. OTHER *(specify)*:

## IX. POPULATION DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY SITE

| A. LOCATION OF POPULATION | B. APPROX. NO. OF PEOPLE AFFECTED | C. APPROX. NO. OF PEOPLE AFFECTED WITHIN UNIT AREA (1 mile radius) | D. APPROX. NO. OF BUILDINGS AFFECTED | E. DISTANCE TO SITE *(specify units)* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS | Affect is not known Cgalinga - pop. 6375 Avenal - pop. 3100 | NONE | NONE | |
| 2. IN COMMERCIAL OR INDUSTRIAL AREAS | UNKNOWN | NONE | NONE | |
| 3. IN PUBLICLY TRAVELLED AREAS | UNKNOWN | NONE | NONE | |
| 4. PUBLIC USE AREAS *(parks, schools, etc.)* | UNKNOWN | NONE | NONE | |

## X. WATER AND HYDROLOGICAL DATA

| A. DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER *(specify unit)* | B. DIRECTION OF FLOW | C. GROUNDWATER USE IN VICINITY |
|---|---|---|
| Groundwater data was not gathered because it is unlikely that the groundwater will become contaminated by asbestos from the waste site. | | |
| **D. POTENTIAL YIELD OF AQUIFER** | **E. DISTANCE TO DRINKING WATER SUPPLY** *(specify unit of measure)* | **F. DIRECTION TO DRINKING WATER SUPPLY** |
| — | | |

G. TYPE OF DRINKING WATER SUPPLY

☒ 1. NON-COMMUNITY < 15 CONNECTIONS*

☒ 2. COMMUNITY *(specify town)*: > 15 CONNECTIONS — Avenal in Kings Co. is the first community which draws water from the aqueduct downstream of the input of Los Gatos Creek.

☐ 3. SURFACE WATER

☒ 4. WELL

*It is possible that small groups of people drink the water from irrigation streams which are drawn off the aqueduct below the input of Los Gatos Creek. This possibility is currently under investigation.



Continue On Page 9

Continued From Page 8

## X. WATER AND HYDROLOGICAL DATA (continued)

H. LIST ALL DRINKING WATER WELLS WITHIN A 1/4 MILE RADIUS OF SITE

| 1. WELL | 2. DEPTH (specify unit) | 3. LOCATION (proximity to population/buildings) | 4. NON-COMMUNITY (mark 'X') | 5. COMMUNITY (mark 'X') |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Well locations were not determined because it is unlikely that | | | | |
| well water would become contaminated by asbestos from the | | | | |
| waste site. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

I. RECEIVING WATER

1. NAME Pine Canyon (which flows into White Cr. which flows into Los Gatos Cr.)

☐ 2. SEWERS ☒ 3. STREAMS/RIVERS ☐ 4. LAKES/RESERVOIRS ☐ 5. OTHER (specify):

6. SPECIFY USE AND CLASSIFICATION OF RECEIVING WATERS

Intermittent streams used only for seasonal stockwatering. Ocassionally, Los Gatos Creek will flow into the California Aqueduct which is used for irrigation, municipal and industrial water supplies.

## XI. SOIL AND VEGITATION DATA

LOCATION OF SITE IS IN:

☒ A. KNOWN FAULT ZONE ☐ B. KARST ZONE ☐ C. 100 YEAR FLOOD PLAIN ☐ D. WETLAND

☐ E. A REGULATED FLOODWAY ☐ F. CRITICAL HABITAT ☐ G. RECHARGE ZONE OR SOLE SOURCE AQUIFER

## XII. TYPE OF GEOLOGICAL MATERIAL OBSERVED

Mark 'X' to indicate the type(s) of geological material observed and specify where necessary, the component parts.

| 'X' | A. OVERBURDEN | 'X' | B. BEDROCK (specify below) | 'X' | C. OTHER (specify below) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1. SAND | X | Serpentine (surface to depths of 2000 ft or more) | X | Serpentine contains chrysotile asbestos and |
| | 2. CLAY | X | Panoche Formation (gray shale to and gray to brown, massive, concretionary | | is strongly sheared, similar in appearance to talc |
| | 3. GRAVEL | | sandstone) | | |

## XIII. SOIL PERMEABILITY

☐ A. UNKNOWN ☐ B. VERY HIGH (100,000 to 1000 cm/sec.) ☒ C. HIGH (1000 to 10 cm/sec.)

☐ D. MODERATE (10 to .1 cm/sec.) ☐ E. LOW (.1 to .001 cm/sec.) ☐ F. VERY LOW (.001 to .00001 cm/sec.)

G. RECHARGE AREA

☒ 1. YES ☐ 2. NO 3. COMMENTS:

H. DISCHARGE AREA

☐ 1. YES ☐ 2. NO 3. COMMENTS:

I. SLOPE

1. ESTIMATE % OF SLOPE: 0% to 100%

2. SPECIFY DIRECTION OF SLOPE, CONDITION OF SLOPE, ETC. The mill area + top of tailings is at 0% slope. Sides of tailings pile and surrounding hills have slopes up to 100%. Entire area drains into Pine Canyon.

J. OTHER GEOLOGICAL DATA



Continue On Reverse

*Continued From Front*

## XIV. PERMIT INFORMATION

List all applicable permits held by the site and provide the related information.

| A. PERMIT TYPE (e.g., RCRA, State, NPDES, etc.) | B. ISSUING AGENCY | C. PERMIT NUMBER | D. DATE ISSUED (mo., day, & yr.) | E. EXPIRATION DATE (mo., day, & yr.) | F. IN COMPLIANCE (mark 'X') 1. YES | 2. NO | 3. UNKNOWN |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ~~NONE~~ – | Site is | inactive | and abandoned | by the | owners. | | |
| | They | operated under | the following | permit: | | | |
| Regional Water Quality Control Board Resolution | Central Valley RWQCB | Resolution No. 70-33 | 10/9/69 | NA | | | X |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

## XV. PAST REGULATORY OR ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS

☒ NONE ☐ YES *(summarize in this space)*

NOTE: Based on the information in Sections III through XV, fill out the Tentative Disposition *(Section II)* information on the first page of this form.