005150

# WESTERN MINING ACTION PROJECT

*Roger Flynn, Esq.,*
*Jeffrey C. Parsons, Esq.*

P.O. Box 349
440 Main St. #2
Lyons, CO 80540
(303) 823-5738
Fax (303) 823-5732
wmap@igc.org

Received
MAY 31 2017
Environmental Review Section

Via U.S. Mail Priority Delivery

May 24, 2017

Colonel D. Helmlinger
Commander, South Pacific Division
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
1455 Market St.
San Francisco, CA 94103-1398

Ms. Alexis Strauss
Action Regional Administrator, Region 9
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
75 Hawthorne St.
San Francisco, CA 94105

**Re: Rosemont Copper Project, Section 404 permit, Final EIS and Impending Final Record of Decision by U.S. Forest Service**

Dear Colonel Helmlinger and Acting Regional Administrator Strauss:

This letter is submitted on behalf of Save the Scenic Santa Ritas (SSSR) and the Center for Biological Diversity (the Center), by and through their undersigned counsel, and regards the Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 404 permit application submitted for the Rosemont Copper Project currently under review by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, as well as the U.S. Forest Service's (USFS's) stated intent to issue a Final Record of Decision (ROD) authorizing the Rosemont Copper Project, or Mine. *See* 82 Fed. Reg. 21365-66 (May 8, 2017)(USFS Notice of impending issuance of Final ROD); 82 Fed. Reg. 21228 (May 5, 2017)(EPA notice that "The U.S. Forest Service is reopening the Review Period [on the Rosemont Final EIS] to end 06/05/2017.").

Attached to this letter is a letter sent yesterday to the Supervisor of the Coronado National Forest, reiterating SSSR/Center's concerns and position that issuance of the Final ROD would violate numerous federal and state laws, regulations, and policies. The attached letter also encloses the below-listed documents for consideration by the USFS, as additional evidence of why the Final ROD cannot be issued. Although many of the documents have previously been submitted to the Corps/EPA, they are included with this letter for your review and consideration as you continue to review Rosemont's Section 404 permit application and related CWA compliance issues.

As noted in the attached letter, and as detailed in previous SSSR/Center submittals to the Corps, EPA, and USFS, the Rosemont Project would violate the Clean Water Act, fail to protect listed species and their habitat under the ESA, fail to protect federal reserved water rights and the Las Cienegas National Conservation Area, and fail to protect Outstanding Waters, among other violations. As shown by the attached documents in particular, as well as by the current recommendation from the Los Angeles District of the Corps to the South Pacific Division in San Francisco to deny Rosemont's 404 permit application, the massive groundwater pumping and drawdown/dewatering of local/regional ground and surface waters without adequate mitigation,



ED_002020B_00041973-00001

coupled with the Project's other impacts, evidences a clear violation of the CWA and other federal and state laws. This is in addition to the failure to meet the public review and comment requirements of NEPA, both before and since the FEIS was issued.

Thank you for considering these comments and for reviewing the enclosed documents (see below list). Due to the size of these documents, they are provided for inclusion into the record on a computer flashdrive. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Roger Flynn
Counsel for Save the Scenic Santa Ritas and the Center for Biological Diversity

Enclosure(s)



ED_002020B_00041973-00002

**Index of Rosemont documents – included with May 23, 2017 letter from SSSR and the Center for Biological Diversity to Coronado Forest Supervisor Kerwin Dewberry.**

**December 30, 2013:** In a letter to the Army Corps, Pima County stated that the Rosemont mitigation proposal fails because it cannot produce the necessary mitigation credits due to an unpredictable and insufficient long-term water supply.

(Letter from Pima County to the Army Corps – 12/30/2013)

**February 28, 2014:** The Army Corps sent a letter to Rosemont Copper Company stating that Rosemont failed to provide a mitigation plan that focuses on restoration and enhancement of watersheds to compensate for the destruction of about 70 acres of wetlands that would occur by construction of the mine. The letter gave Rosemont a specific deadline to submit such a plan.

(Letter from Army Corps to Rosemont Copper – 2/28/2014)

**April 4, 2014:** Pima County wrote the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality regarding ADEQ's certification that Rosemont would not violate the Clean Water Act. The County said the certification was based on "faulty information" in the Coronado National Forest's (CNF) Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and "unsubstantiated opinions in documents provided by Rosemont Copper Company."

(Letter from Pima County to ADEQ - 4/4/2014)

**April 4, 2014** Letter from the Arizona Game and Fish Department to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality raising 16 concerns about the mine's potential impact on Davidson Canyon and Cienega Creek and the current lack of detailed water quality analyses of the waterways in the context of the Section 401 water quality certification for the proposed Rosemont Copper Mine.

(Letter from the Arizona Game and Fish Department to ADEQ – 4/4/2014)

**April 7, 2014** Letter from the Army Corps of Engineers to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality raising significant questions about the adequacy of the agency's draft Section 401 water quality certification for the proposed Rosemont Copper Mine.

(Letter from Army Corps of Engineers to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality – 4/7/2014)

**April 7, 2014:** EPA similarly wrote to ADEQ regarding the certification issued by the state agency stating that it "believes the draft…certification and supporting information provide an insufficient basis from which to conclude existing water quality will be maintained", that ADEQ's proposal would not "prevent water quality degradation in Davidson Wash and Cienega Creek," and that "the risk of water quality degradation remains high."

(Letter from EPA to ADEQ – 4/7/2014)



ED_002020B_00041973-00003

| | |
|---|---|
| **May 13, 2014:** | The Army Corps once again put Rosemont on notice that its plan to mitigate the impacts to southern Arizona's water resources from the proposed mine fall short. The Army Corps stated, "that the proposed compensatory mitigation would not fully compensate for the unavoidable adverse impacts that would remain after all appropriate and practicable avoidance and minimization measures have been achieved."<br>(Letter from Army Corps to Rosemont Copper – 5/13/2014) |
| **August 1, 2014** | Congressman Raul Grijalva sent a letter to the Assistant Secretary of Army sharing his concerns regarding Rosemont's application for a Clean Water Act Section 404 permit and the resulting adverse impacts that would occur to southern Arizona's water resources.<br>(Letter from Rep. Grijalva to the Department of Army, 8/1/2014) |
| **August 26, 2014** | The LA District Engineers sent Hudbay a letter acknowledging the change in ownership from Augusta to Hudbay. The letter also noted that Rosemont's concept of using a In-Lieu Fee (ILF) project would likely not be able to be done within the timeframe of regulatory consideration for the Rosemont 404 permit application.<br>(Letter from Army Corps to Hudbay – August 26, 2014) |
| **April 14, 2015** | EPA Region IX sent the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) a letter highlighting concerns with the state's 401 water quality certification of the proposed Rosemont Mine.<br>(Letter from EPA to ADEQ – 4/14/2015) |
| **July 27, 2015** | The EPA contracted with Dr. G. Mathias Kondolf, a noted expert on Hydrology, environmental geology, environmental impact assessment, and riparian zone management, to prepare a report that concluded that the Rosemont mitigation plan once again falls short of what's legally required under the Clean Water Act and Section 404.<br>(Report from Dr. Mathias Kondolf Reviewing Hudbay's Conceptual Design for Sonoita Creek – July 27, 2015) |
| **October 28, 2015** | Save the Scenic Santa Ritas sent a letter/documents to the LA District Engineer of the US Army Corps of Engineers providing technical information showing that the project cannot be sufficiently mitigated, among other legal problems.<br>(Letter from SSSR to the Army Corps – 10/28/2015) |
| **May 16, 2016** | The Center for Biological Diversity (CBD) commissioned Integrated Hydro Systems, LLC and requested that Robert Prucha, PhD, review available studies and evaluate/assess potential impacts of the proposed Rosemont Mine to the Las Cienegas National Conservation Area (LCNA). The Study concluded that there are a number of significant concerns with the hydrological modeling upon which the Forest Service relied to support its conclusion that the pumping will have only limited impacts on water resources within the LCNCA.<br>(Report from Integrated Hydro Systems, LLC – 5/16/2016) |



ED_002020B_00041973-00004

| | |
|---|---|
| **September 23, 2016** | The Commander of the South Pacific Division of the Army Corps of Engineers sent Hudbay a letter indicating that he was going to review the Rosemont 404 application in light of the fact that the Arizona Governor objected to the LA District Engineer's recommendation for denial.<br>(Letter from the Army Corps to Hudbay – 9/23/2016) |
| **October 21, 2016** | Pima County sent a letter to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers San Francisco regional office urging it to uphold the Corps' Los Angeles district recommendation to deny Rosemont Copper's Clean Water Act permit request.<br>(Letter from Pima County to the Army Corp – October 21, 2016) |
| **December 28, 2016** | The Commander of the US Army Corps of Engineers' South Pacific Division sent a letter to Hudbay Minerals reiterating the agency's reasons for recommending denial of the Sec. 404 permit for the proposed Rosemont Mine.<br><br>Additionally, in this letter the Corps addressed Hudbay's recently raised questions about regulatory jurisdiction noting that jurisdiction was initially asserted by Rosemont's own consultants and accepted by the Corps.<br>(Letter from the South Pacific Division to Hudbay Minerals – December 28, 2016) |
| **May 5, 2017** | Letter from Pima County Administrator Chuck Huckelberry to the Corps of Engineers and EPA Region IX requesting that the federal government not issue Rosemont a 404 permit that is based on a "legally and technically flawed" 401 certification issued by the state of Arizona.<br>(Letter from Pima County Administrator Chuck Huckelberry to the Corps of Engineers and EPA Region IX – May 5, 2017) |
| **May 5, 2017** | On May 5, Pima County filed an appeal of the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality's (ADEQ) administrative decision to issue a Section 401 Water Quality Certification in Maricopa County Superior Court. The suit asserts that ADEQ violated state law in 2015 when it issued this certification.<br>(Copy of Pima County's pleading in Maricopa County Superior Court, 5/5/2017) |
| **May 9, 2017** | Letter from Pima County Administrator Chuck Huckelberry to the CNF Supervisor Kerwin Dewberry stating it was "premature" to issue the Final ROD until after the Army Corps decides on whether to issue the 404 permit and further requesting a review of the impacts by two wildfires that swept through 48,000 acres including portions of the Rosemont project area including the Empire Gulch, Barrel Canyon and Davidson Canyon watersheds.<br>(Letter from Pima County Administrator Chuck Huckelberry to CNF Supervisor Kerwin Dewberry – May 9, 2017) |



ED_002020B_00041973-00005