To: Dwyer, Stacey[Dwyer.Stacey@epa.gov]
Bcc: David Gillespie[Gillespie.David@epa.gov]
From: Gillespie, David
Sent: Thur 9/7/2017 8:10:54 PM
Subject: RE: Note to LOOP--- Provide feedback as soon as possible

You are welcome Stacey. I am quite interested to see what we get from them . . . .

David Gillespie

gillespie.david@epa.gov

From: Dwyer, Stacey
Sent: Thursday, September 07, 2017 3:09 PM
To: Gillespie, David <Gillespie.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Note to LOOP--- Provide feedback as soon as possible

Thanks David. Used your version and sent the final note. See your email.

From: Gillespie, David
Sent: Thursday, September 07, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Dwyer, Stacey <Dwyer.Stacey@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Note to LOOP--- Provide feedback as soon as possible

Stacey,

My redline/strikeout comments, below.

Thanks,

David

David Gillespie

gillespie.david@epa.gov

From: Dwyer, Stacey
Sent: Thursday, September 07, 2017 1:16 PM
To: Honker, William <honker.william@epa.gov>
Cc: Gillespie, David <Gillespie.David@epa.gov>
Subject: Note to LOOP--- Provide feedback as soon as possible

Any suggestions? I would like to get this out by COB today.

Stacey

Tim,

Thank you for coming to Dallas on Tuesday, September 5th to discuss the LOOP permit. I want to summarize a few items that were agreed to during our meeting.

1) ~~Differences between LOOP and other petroleum reserves~~ - A discussion of how the operations of LOOP ~~is~~are different from other p~~P~~etroleum reserve~~s~~ operations (how is the brine discharged by LOOP ~~is~~different from other~~s~~ brine discharges?). In other words, how is LOOP distinguishable from operations with seemingly similar discharges?

2) LOOP representatives indicated that LOOP has been conducting in-situ monitoring every 5 years. EPA requested a copy of the last monitoring report and a discussion of~~n~~ how this information demonstrates that LOOP has not been impacting aquatic life.

3) Biomonitoring results at Outfall 004- LOOP indicated that biomonitoring was performed at a critical dilution of 7.6%. LOOP will ~~P~~provide the results and laboratory reports in which ~~you~~it passed the chronic test for *Menidia beryllina* (fish), and failed the chronic test for *Mysidopsis bahia* (shrimp).

Also, EPA~~We~~ would like to see a written explanation and the laboratory data regarding the determination of ionic imbalance as the cause of the failure of chronic biomonitoring as well as a discussion on the inappropriateness of the method and species used for the chronic test.

LOOP representatives agreed, and EPA intends, to have a follow up conference call ~~with EPA~~ within the next week or so.

If there are other documents that you believe would help provide a good justification for the permit conditions LOOP is requesting, please let us know during our follow up call.

Stacey