From: Greenberg, Marc
To: Goodfellow, Bill
Cc: Cobb, George
Subject: RE: Smith Abstract
Date: Saturday, March 5, 2011 12:30:52 PM

---

this is like my last email. I'll hold off on involving Amy; Bill agrees about the heavy load of academics at this time. Thanks.

Marc S. Greenberg, Ph.D.

Environmental Toxicologist

U.S. EPA - Environmental Response Team

2890 Woodbridge Ave., Bldg. 18

Edison, NJ 08837

+ 732 452 6413 (T)

+ 732 321 6724 (F)

greenberg.marc@epa.gov

From: "Goodfellow, Bill" <bgoodfellow@eaest.com>

To: "Cobb, George" <George.Cobb@TIEHH.TTU.edu>, Marc Greenberg/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 03/05/2011 11:37 AM

Subject: RE: Smith Abstract

Thanks for your input. I would say please speak up when appropriate regards of your orgs influence. I know when we talked about Phil, we had a lot of academics that we were considering in 1A at the time but I believe we may need to revisit to make sure.

Bill

From: Cobb, George [mailto:George.Cobb@TIEHH.TTU.edu]

Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2011 11:24 AM

To: Goodfellow, Bill; Greenberg.Marc@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Smith Abstract

Guys:

I deferred in discussion and do not want to revisit, but since others in the group are/have lobby(ing/ed) for folks in their orgs or consultants.

Smith's abstract uses actual weathered oil, not diluted, not fresh oil. There are measured amounts and there ARE tox data in abstract to support the tox findings. I am sure that Phil would be willing to combine abstracts from the avian information. Please let the session chair know this information about the abstract in question.

Maybe I should have voiced this earlier, but was trying to avoid the types discussions that began to emerge during deliberations. Thank you for dealing with the headaches of meeting organization.

George

BTW: There was also a mention of asking Phil to serve on the panel. Did that ever move forward??