From:	June.Bergquist@deq.idaho.gov
To:	Nickel, Brian
Cc:	John.Tindall@deq.idaho.gov; June.Bergquist@deq.idaho.gov; Thomas.Herron@deq.idaho.gov
Subject:	RE: City of Sandpoint WWTP upgrades
Date:	Monday, December 22, 2014 7:07:00 AM
Attachments:	Sandpoint Hydraulic Profile drawing.pdf Sandpoint 2007 Upgrades G01-4 drawing.pdf

Hi Brian,

Here is the information I have on the design flow, this was part of what I sent you a while ago. If they want to pursue going to 5mgd they are into the alternatives analysis and socio-economic justification and since they don't meet our mixing zone policy now, they certainly will not at an increased flow so it is likely that certification can't be provided without a solution to these problems. This places us we back to where we are now with 3.62mgd. At our meeting with Sandpoint all they wanted was to change the requirement to prepare a new facility plan at 5mgd instead of 3.62. Sounds like they changed their mind on that point.

June

From: Nickel, Brian [mailto:Nickel.Brian@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 18, 2014 4:17 PM
To: John Tindall
Cc: June Bergquist
Subject: City of Sandpoint WWTP upgrades

John:

As you may know, a draft NPDES permit for the City of Sandpoint is currently out for public review and comment.

http://yosemite.epa.gov/r10/water.nsf/NPDES+Public+Notices/sandpoint_id_2014

One of the issues that has come up is confusion over the plant's design flow. The City had reported 5.0 mgd on their most recent application, but when I sent a preliminary draft permit to DEQ that was based on that design flow, June was concerned about that figure. She suggested that the design flow should have been 3.62 mgd, which was the "average day" design flow in the City's 2011 operations and maintenance manual (see attached). So, I revised the permit accordingly.

The City does not agree that 3.62 mgd is the correct design flow, and has stated that upgrades to the aeration (fine bubble diffusers) and solids handling have increased the plant's secondary treatment capacity to 5.0 mgd. I believe there were some improvements to the headworks as well. They said that these upgrades and the associated re-rating of the plant were reviewed and approved by DEQ.

I was hoping you might have some documentation that you can share with me, which supports the City's statements regarding the design flow.

Thanks,

Brian Nickel, E.I.T.
Environmental Engineer
US EPA Region 10 | Office of Water and Watersheds | NPDES Permits Unit
Voice: 206-553-6251 | Toll Free: 800-424-4372 ext. 6251 | Fax: 206-553-0165
Nickel.Brian@epa.gov
http://epa.gov/r10earth/waterpermits.htm

Please conserve natural resources by not printing this message.