From: June.Bergquist@deq.idaho.gov
To: BNICKEL
Cc: June.Bergquist@deq.idaho.gov
Subject: RE: Sandpoint Design flow
Date: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 2:51:46 PM

Hi Brian,

I agree. A new preliminary cert. We are doing some Cormix modeling of the plume and based on the results from the current TP effluent limits and the 50% mixing zone, we would like to run the model on the new revised TP effluent limits before you finalize a revised draft. Could you run the new TP effluent limits for both a 25% mixing zone and one for a 50% mixing zone? I could do it with your spreadsheet but in the interest of getting the correct answers it would be better if you did it! Thanks for your help on this.

June

From: Nickel.Brian@epamail.epa.gov [Nickel.Brian@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, January 15, 2013 3:20 PM

To: June Bergquist

Subject: RE: Sandpoint Design flow

June:

How would you prefer to handle this change in design flow, from a process point of view? Do you want me to prepare a revised preliminary draft permit with limits recalculated based on 3.62 mgd, or do you want to just say in the draft cert that the design flow should be 3.62 mgd and ask us to recalculate the limits at that point?

Personally, I think it would be a better for us to send a new preliminary draft based on 3.62 mgd.

Thanks,

Brian Nickel, E.I.T.

Environmental Engineer

US EPA Region 10 | Office of Water and Watersheds | NPDES Permits Unit

Voice: 206-553-6251 | Toll Free: 800-424-4372 ext. 6251 | Fax: 206-553-0165

Nickel.Brian@epa.gov

http://epa.gov/r10earth/waterpermits htm

Please conserve natural resources by not printing this message.