37313

AWARD FEE PERFORMANCE EVENT REPORT
PART I: EVENT DESCRIPTION AND OVERALL EVALUATION

CONTRACT NO.	CONTRACTOR	TOO OR WA NO.
68-01-6699	NUS Corporation	45-2LA2.0

REPORTING ELEMENT	CONTRACTOR EVAL. REPORT FILED	DATES OF REPORTED EVENT
Region II	☒ YES ☐ NO	FROM: 10/1/83 TO: 1/31/84

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CATEGORY	NO. OF HOURS	TOTAL COST
Enforcement RI/FS Work Plan - Asbestos Dump Site, NJ	550	$15,000

CONTRACTOR CONTACT	PHONE NO.	PERFORMANCE MONITOR	PHONE NO.
John George Project Manager	(412) 788-1080	Robert McKnight	(212) 264-8679

DESCRIPTION OF PERFORMANCE EVENT

Preparation and submittal of a draft RI/FS Work Plan for the Asbestos Dump Site.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

NUS has demonstrated above-average management initiatives and technical competence which have resulted in a thorough draft RI/FS Work Plan.

Although no RAMP had been prepared for this site, NUS assembled a comprehensive and in-depth presentation of existing data which provides for a coherent understanding of site problems.

Only minor revisions are anticipated.

PROJECT PLANNING	*4
TECHNICAL COMPETENCE & INNOVATION	4
SCHEDULE & COST CONTROL	4
REPORTING	4
RESOURCE UTILIZATION	4
EFFORT	5

* INDIVIDUAL RATINGS FROM PART I E.3.5.1.

DATE	FIT OR REM-OPO SIGNATURE	OVERALL RATING
1/31/84	John S Frisco	4

HQ FIT- OR REM-OPO'S ASSESSMENT & CERTIFICATION

DATE	HQ FIT- OR REM-OPO SIGNATURE	RATING

ASB 002 0543

AWARD FEE PERFORMANCE EVENT REPORT
PART II. EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORE SHEET

CONTRACT NO.	CONTRACTOR	TDO OR WA NO.
68-01-6699	NUS Corporation	45-2LA2.0

REPORTING ELEMENT	DATES OF REPORTED EVENT
Region II	FROM: 10/1/83 TO: 1/31/84

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CATEGORY	NO. OF HOURS	TOTAL COST
Enforcement RI/FS Work Plan - Asbestos Dump Site, NJ	550	$15,000

CONTRACTOR CONTRACT	PHONE NO.	PERFORMANCE MONITOR	PHONE NO.
John George, Project Manager	(412) 788-1080	Robert McKnight	(212) 264-8679

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	RATING	SUPPORTING COMMENTS
PROJECT PLANNING - ORGANIZING (E.G., WORK PLAN DEVELOPMENT, DATA REVIEW) - SCHEDULING - BUDGETING	5 X 4 3 2 1	Above average project planning allowed all aspects of the Draft Work Plan to be accomplished in an effective and efficient manner. Revisions are expected to be relatively minor.
TECHNICAL COMPETENCE & INNOVATION - EFFECTIVENESS OF ANALYSES - MEET PLAN GOALS - SUPPORT COE, STATE, ENFORC. - ADHERE TO REGS. & PROCEDURES - APPROACH CREATIVITY/INGENUITY - EXPERT TESTIMONY	5 X 4 3 2 1	Problems unique to the site as well as possible solutions are presented thoroughly The Draft Work Plan indicates a firm grasp of site parameters.
SCHEDULE & COST CONTROL - BUDGET (HOURS & COST) MAINTENANCE - PRIORITY/SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENTS - COST MINIMIZATION	5 X 4 3 2 1	Minor delays occurred due to the need to assemble existing information, however, project scheduling and cost control efforts appear to be effective.
REPORTING - TIMELINESS OF DELIVERABLES - CLARITY - THOROUGHNESS	5 X 4 3 2 1	Although no RAMP had been prepared for this site, NUS assembled a comprehensive and in-depth presentation of existing data. This provides for a coherent understanding of problems associated with the site. The Draft Work Plan was prepared in a relatively timely manner.
RESOURCE UTILIZATION - STAFFING - SUBCONTRACTING - EQUIPMENT, TRAVEL, ETC.	5 X 4 3 2 1	The quality of the Draft Work Plan reflects effective use of resources.
EFFORT - RESPONSIVENESS - MOBILIZATION - DAY-TO-DAY - SPECIAL SITUATIONS (E.G., ADVERSE/ DANGEROUS CONDITIONS)	X 5 4 3 2 1	NUS has been exceptionally cooperative a responsive during the preparation of the Draft Work Plan.

CONTRACTOR AWARD FEE PERFORMANCE EVENT REPORT
PART I: EVENT DESCRIPTION AND OVERALL EVALUATION

CONTRACT NO.	CONTRACTOR	TDO OR WA NO.
68-1-6699	NUS Corporation	45-2LA2.0

REPORTING ELEMENT	CONTRACTOR EVAL REPORT	DATES OF REPORTED EVENT
Region II	FILED: ☒ YES ☐ NO	FROM: 10/1/83 TO: 1/31/84

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CATEGORY	NO. OF HOURS	TOTAL COST
Enforcement RI/FS Work Plan - Asbestos Dump Site, NJ	550	$15,000

CONTRACTOR CONTACT	PHONE NO.	PERFORMANCE MONITOR	PHONE NO.
John George, Project Manager	412/788-1080	Robert McKnight	212/264-8679

DESCRIPTION OF PERFORMANCE EVENT

Preparation of the first Draft Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan for the Asbestos Dump Site, Millington, New Jersey. Authorization to perform the work was received by the Contractor as Work Assignment No. 45-2LA2.0.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

This Work Plan represents a departure from previous EPA policy in that no prior summary of data had been prepared as a preliminary scoping tool. In preparing this Work Plan, the Contractor reviewed all pertinent data and provided a summary and evaluation of the data in the Work Plan sufficient to serve as a basis for the recommendations made for further study.

By preparing the Work Plan in this manner, successive iterations via RAMP development were avoided. While greater time was required for Work Plan preparation than would have been the case had a RAMP been available, the overall cost and effort savings which resulted from this approach was substantial.

PROJECT PLANNING	* 5
TECHNICAL COMPETENCE & INNOVATION	5
SCHEDULE & COST CONTROL	5
REPORTING	4
RESOURCE UTILIZATION	5
EFFORT	5

* INDIVIDUAL RATINGS FROM PART II (E.G., 5-1)

DATE	CONTRACTOR SIGNATURE	OVERALL RATING
1/9/84	John A. George	5

HQ FIT- OR REM-DPO'S ASSESSMENT & CERTIFICATION

DATE	HQ FIT- OR REM-DPO SIGNATURE	RATING

ASB 002 0545

CONTRACTOR AWARD FEE PERFORMANCE EVENT REPORT
PART II: EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORE SHEET

CONTRACT NO.	CONTRACTOR	TDD OR WA NO
68-01-6699	NUS Corporation	45-2LA2.0

REPORTING ELEMENT	DATES OF REPORTED EVENT
Region II	FROM: 10/1/83 TO: 1/31/84

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CATEGORY	NO. OF HOURS	TOTAL COST
Enforcement RI/FS Work Plan - Asbestos Dump Site, NJ	550	$15,000

CONTRACTOR CONTACT	PHONE NO.	PERFORMANCE MONITOR	PHONE NO.
John George, Project Manager	412/788-1080	Robert McKnight	212/264-8679

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	RATING	SUPPORTING COMMENTS
PROJECT PLANNING — ORGANIZING (E.G., WORK PLAN DEVELOPMENT, DATA REVIEW) — SCHEDULING — BUDGETING	X 5 4 3 2 1	Upon receiving the Work Assignment, the Contractor's project manager assembled a project team and assigned specific responsibilities and completion schedules.
TECHNICAL COMPETENCE & INNOVATION — EFFECTIVENESS OF ANALYSES — MEET PLAN GOALS — SUPPORT COE, STATE, ENFORC. — ADHERE TO REGS. & PROCEDURES — APPROACH CREATIVITY/INGENUITY — EXPERT TESTIMONY	X 5 4 3 2 1	The primary vehicle for reaching a rapid understanding of the site involved a reconnaissance by the contractor accompanied by the EPA Regional Site Project Officer (RSPO) and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) Site Manager. This meeting afforded all parties the opportunity to discuss the nature of the problem, as well as potential remedial actions.
SCHEDULE & COST CONTROL — BUDGET (HOURS & COST) MAINTENANCE — PRIORITY/SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENTS — COST MINIMIZATION	X 5 4 3 2 1	The budget was monitored closely by the Contractor's project manager. Work proceeded as expeditiously as possible in concert with development of a quality product. Cost minimization was practiced throughout the work and was especially evident in the site reconnaissance which also served as the single field information gathering tool.
REPORTING — TIMELINESS OF DELIVERABLES — CLARITY — THOROUGHNESS	5 X 4 3 2 1	The RSPO was continually advised of progress on the development of the Work Plan, as well as adjustments in the schedule. The Draft Work Plan represents a thorough review of the problem and a concise statement of recommendations for further study.
RESOURCE UTILIZATION — STAFFING — SUBCONTRACTING — EQUIPMENT, TRAVEL, ETC.	X 5 4 3 2 1	The Contractor committed technical staff to the project who are familiar with problems associated with asbestos waste disposal. Analytical methodologies for asbestos fiber identification and quantification were reviewed with authorities on these issues within EPA.
EFFORT — RESPONSIVENESS — MOBILIZATION — DAY-TO-DAY — SPECIAL SITUATIONS (E.G., ADVERSE/ DANGEROUS CONDITIONS)	X 5 4 3 2 1	The Contractor mobilized rapidly to conduct the initial site reconnaissance and is presently available at the convenience of the RSPO to discuss comments on the review of the Work Plan.