WA 2917

SF

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

☐ PHONE CALL ☐ DISCUSSION ☐ FIELD TRIP ☐ CONFERENCE
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY)
(Record of item checked above)

TO:	FROM:	DATE
~~Files~~ David Croxton	David Croxton	5/29/91
		TIME

SUBJECT: Conversation with Doug Brown re: Chempro facilities.

SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION

Orders to both Georgetown & Pier 91 were given ~~[illegible]~~ requiring these facilities to comply w/ ~~[illegible]~~ 2° containment upgrades (Per Jan 21, 1990 or 91 Federal Rule), ie. to come into compliance w/ interim status reqts. by a specific date.

These orders were carried out at Georgetown and the order was not incorporated into the permit. The Gtwn. permit does require some 2° containment construction but this work is not part of the upgrade order and Gtwn. is considered in compliance w/ interim status reqts.

Pier 91 has some tanks not in compliance w/ interim status. Can or should they have to be in compliance before permit issuance or can a schedule for compliance be in the permit?

CONCLUSIONS, ACTION TAKEN OR REQUIRED

USEPA RCRA
3012631

INFORMATION COPIES
TO:

FILE COPY

EPA Form 1300-6 (7-72) REPLACES EPA HQ FORM 5300-3 WHICH MAY BE USED UNTIL SUPPLY IS EXHAUSTED.