From:	Leaphart, Marion "Mel"
To:	Kuo, Mary
Cc:	Mitchell, Mike; Hesterlee, Craig; Clark, Ann; Stewart, Jill C.; John Poole
Subject:	Re: SC"s Industrial General Permit (SCR000000)
Date:	Tuesday, January 25, 2022 7:34:10 PM
Attachments:	Outlook-1469116743.png

Thank you, Mary. The quick turnaround is much appreciated.

I'm sending this e-mail as a follow-up to my message I left with you this past Wednesday. Wanted to discuss a few items before we send a reply. I should be in the office all the rest of this week and readily available.

Thanks again.

Marion "Mel" Leaphart II
Environmental Engineering Associate III
Industrial Stormwater
Bureau of Water
S.C. Dept. of Health & Environmental Control
Office: (803) 898-4143
Connect: www.scdhec.gov Facebook Twitter

From: Kuo, Mary <Kuo.Mary@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2022 3:49 PM
To: Leaphart, Marion "Mel" <LEAPHAME@dhec.sc.gov>
Cc: Mitchell, Mike <Mitchell.Michael@epa.gov>; Hesterlee, Craig <Hesterlee.Craig@epa.gov>
Subject: SC's Industrial General Permit (SCR000000)

*** Caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email. ***

Good afternoon,
Thank you for sharing a preliminary draft of SCDEHC's general permit for industrial activity. EPA has looked through the draft permit, and we have a few questions/comments:

- Certain sector-specific benchmark monitoring did not include aluminum. Could you explain the why aluminum was left out?
- We recognize that the Additional Implementation Measures (AIM) that were added to EPA's 2021 MSGP was a significant change. We are curious why SCDEHC did not include such response procedures and if there is consideration for incorporating them in a later permit cycle.
- Similarly, we suggest that SC consider adding indicator monitoring requirements in a future

permit cycle.

Also, you had asked a question about substantially-identical outfalls and whether that is an option for monitoring purposes for impaired waters with and without an approved TMDL. We consulted with EPA HQ, and they agreed that it is okay to only monitor at one discharge point if the others are substantially identical for impaired waters monitoring (see part 4.2.5.1.i below).

Part 4.2.5.1 **Facilities Required to Monitor Stormwater Discharges to Impaired Waters**:

> i. Year one of permit coverage: You must take your first annual sample in your first year of permit coverage, which begins in the first full quarter following May 30, 2021 or your date of discharge authorization, whichever date comes later. You must monitor for all pollutants causing impairments using a standard analytical method, provided one exists (see 40 CFR Part 136), once at each discharge point (except substantially identical discharge points) discharging stormwater to impaired waters without an EPA-approved or established TMDL.

The only exception for the SIDP monitoring is if the discharge point is subject to effluent limitations, in which case the facility needs to monitor each discharge point (see part 4.1.1. pasted below). The same should apply whether or not there is an established TMDL unless the region could find a reason why the facility would need to monitor both discharge points.

> **Part 4.1.1 Monitored Stormwater Discharge Points.** Applicable monitoring requirements apply to each discharge point authorized by this permit, except as otherwise exempt from monitoring as a "substantially identical discharge point" (SIDP). If your facility has two or more discharge points that you believe discharge substantially identical stormwater effluents, based on the similarities of the general industrial activities and control measures, exposed materials that may significantly contribute pollutants to stormwater, and runoff coefficients of their drainage areas, you may monitor the effluent of just one of the discharge points and report that the results also apply to the SIDP(s). As required in Part 6.2.5.3, your SWPPP must identify each discharge point authorized by this permit and describe the rationale for any SIDP determinations. The allowance for monitoring only one of the SIDP is not applicable to any discharge points with numeric effluent limitations. You are required to monitor each discharge point covered by a numeric effluent limit as identified in Part 4.2.2.

Let me know if you have any questions. Thank you, Mary

Mary Kuo
EPA Region 4, Water Division
NPDES Permitting Section
404.562.9847