CASTNET Conf. Call - 3/12/13
9:30 AM

Chris
Mike Martin > KDHE
Tom
Bob
Lee > ENSV
Gary
Tim > CAMD

super cell

Mark Evangelista > OAQPS

Mark - Back trajectories - ~~K~~ on my monitor not exclusively exceeding due to burns - all exceedances are contributed by a southern wind.

Toni fundamental concern - site not fitting siting criteria

- lack of communication w/ KDHE on transition to designation monitor / Compliance mon.

Mark - can submitt as EE

Toni - KS monitoring staff 3 people - don't have the resources

Riley Cty - Manhattan - how would they apply RACT to reduce emissions? no industry - College Town.

meets siting criteria, but not for the initial purpose
Tom does not believe it meets the siting criteria

Rob Mains SP7
John Briggs > TNC KONZA Tom has contacted

KSU has ~~been of~~ benefit of data - State has benefit of data.

Konza signed up for a research site not compliance sit.

Mark - Streamline submission
FH was exceptional, do not expect to see that level of EE pkgs.

CAMD - not looking to make a burden - not a regulatory part of EPA.
Comply w/ Part 58 requirements - appears that anyone who does ozone measurement should comply.
- use the info for rural transport

3 options from KDHE
1. Stays - Non attainment
2 Stays - Research only (w/o ozone) just deposition
3. not there.

50K to process exceedances.

CAMD - looking into the regulatory implications
- Gary - need to look at options
It would like to get sorted out before ozone season - April 1st.

may have info./resolution w/in a week.
It - set up a call for next wk.

Mark will convey discussion back to OAQPS.

1) not collecting ozone data
2) change (QA) for more exploratory research.