POLLUTION ON THE RIVER
The Saga of a Whistle Blower, (b) (6) - Privacy

Google: "Pollution on the American River, (b) (6) - Privacy to view if you do not have a DVD.

This DVD has been expanded from an earlier 2011 version of 5 minutes with an additional 2 minutes showing the open mixing of cement behind the **Sacramento Central Seventh-day Adventist Church** on July 21, 2001.

Exposing the Public and Wildlife that habitat the lower American River to silica is an **illegal** environmental practice. (Silica is a product of cement and is listed on the California's Prop 65 toxic list, along with asbestos) This continued for some 5,000 hours, over a three year period, 1999 through 2001.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many of the major dramas in our lives have several beginnings and endings. This is true with the story behind this DVD, *Pollution on the River.*

First viewing of the film will perhaps leave the viewer wondering what is the purpose of showing confrontations. Why these Persons are so nervous, much like one about to committing a crime? One can understand why I, (b) (6) - Privacy would persistently stay focused on a short construction project in September of 2003, near the **Business 80 Freeway Bridge** going over the American River, in Sacramento.

It was unlikely that I would have exited my rear gate and walked up a short path in early September of 2003. I was meeting with my legal counsel, John Cassanet and an expert witness, in preparing for a **trial** soon coming up against the **Corps of Engineers (Corps)** and the **Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency (SAFCA),** Contractor**, Geo Con Inc.**

2. LEGAL BACKGROUND

A legal Cross-Complaint was filed for over $200,000 in damages to my property during the Slurry Wall **Construction Project of 2001**. This was attached to a Complaint filed by **Geo Con Inc.** alleging I caused them additional construction costs. This bogus, Complaint was needed in order to obtain a TRO. The motive was shockingly understood and blandly exposed for the first time during the filming in **September of 2003**. (*The **TRO**, Temperory Restraining Order was to keep me from having access to observe the construction and mixing procedure, in 2001*)

It was at this time, I fully understood the major environmental crime **cover-up** as (b) (6) - Priva [redacted] and I were filming the crime in progress.

When, John Cassinat and I viewed the rear damage of my property, we noticed large dirt moving equipment being positioned to start digging, some 200 yards to the west, next to

the **Business 80 Bridge**. We walked down to the project and were met by a large man who said, he was the "superintendent **for Enviro Con Inc**. and was completing a small slurry wall project, left uncompleted during the **2001 levee project**." (This was less than a 10 day project.)

I mentioned we were preparing for trial coming up soon, relating in part **to the procedure of mixing cement in an open boxcar.** The Superintendent assured me they "would not be doing the same **illegal** activity".

3. DISCOVERY OF CLANDISTIN CLEAN-UP PROJECT

The law requires any public works projects that have an impact to the neighborhood, be noticed by mailings and signs. This was not done.

When I showed up the following morning to view the operation, the Superintendent and Project Supervisor **Tom Gayer** become very nervous knowing they were about to be videoed committing a major pollution crime.

PROP 65
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
> ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
> OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT
> SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986
>
> CHEMICALS KNOWN TO THE STATE TO CAUSE CANCER OR REPRODUCTIVE TOXICITY
JANUARY 7, 2011

>
> ***Note: The following list was taken from the total list of some 800***
> chemicals. These chemicals have a particular interest for this Author.

>
> Asbestos cancer 1332-21-4 February 27, 1987
>
> Aspirin (especially developmental, female 50-78-2) July 1,1990
>
> Carbon monoxide developmental 630-08-0 July 1, 1989
>
> Cidofovir cancer, developmental, 113852-37-2 January 29, 1999
>
>
> Silica, crystalline (airborne particles cancer --- October 1, 1988
>

They are well aware of my interest, having had to deal with me, as when they supervised the same project. The **Slurry Wall project** in **2001** was under **Geo Con Contractor's** license.

Soon after the project started in **April of 2001**, it was well known to several employees of the **Corps** and **SAFCA** and now **Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District** (SMAQMD) or (AQ) that I was ***The Whistle Blower***, to the illegal mixing procedures of the slurry wall, as well as damages being caused to the neighboring residences.

Major efforts were undertaken to keep me off the levee with a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO). In desperation to obtain a court order a civil suite was filed, alleging the Contractor, **Geo Con Inc**. was not going to start the trenching next to **Business 80 Bridge** because of fear I would sabotage their equipment. The complaint asked for money because the contractor was going to have extra hours to move the equipment a mile away and work back to the **Business 80 Bridge**.

Thus, this small project in 2003 required the large backhoe to start the digging operation moving away from the Business 80 Bridge foundation.

4. BRIEF HISTORY OF THE DISPUTE BETWEEN THE CORPS AND (b) (6) - Privacy

In 1999, a meeting was held for the **River Park Community** by the **Corps of Engineers (Corps)** and the **Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, (SAFCA).** The River Park citizens nearly filled the meeting room of the **Sacramento Central Seventh-day Adventist Church.** Citizens were explained the scope of Slurry Wall levee project coming to River Park Community the following year.

The two hour meeting became uneasy for the **SAFCA and Corps staff,** as I and others challenged the history of these agencies to being honest and forthright with the residences whose homes back up to the American River and its levee.

Prior levee upgrades showed property owners were ignored for a variety of construction violations, including air quality and trespass by ground vibrations causing damaged to their homes, yards, and vegetation.

A wide variety of questions were asked and **Corps** and **SAFCA** personnel ducked some of the prior year damage questions, asked by the **neighbors.** And outright lied when asked, "If property owners had damage to their homes upstream?" I asked, "Who is going to pay for the costs when property is damaged". Steve Cohn answer was, "that is why the Contractor is required to have insurance".

Later when a judgment was granted in my favor the Contractor's Insurance Company, Zurich would not pay.

In **April of 2001** the project progressed to River Park Community, involving about 3 miles of levee running from the H Street Bridge behind the Sacramento Central Seventh-day Adventist Church) to the Business 80 Bridge, near Sprague's residence.

5. POLITICS BEHIND THE WAR TO STOP (b) (6) - Privacy FROM EXPOSING THE ENVIORMENTAL CRIME

1. Most or all of the Sacramento Board of Supervisors are on the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD) or (AQ) and the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, (SAFCA) Board of Directors**.**

2. **Roger Niello,** was the Sacramento County Supervisor for the Village of Fair Oaks and on the Boards of SAFCA AND AQ.

   Steve Cohn was City Councilmen for the River Park Community and an attorney for Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (SMUD). Steve Cohn was also on the SAFCA and AQ Board of Directors.

   It was well known by certain SAFCA and AQ, employees and Board of Directors that completing a levee project as cheaply as possible, by not following safe Air Quality and Construction Standards was improper.

   By chance, I happened by and was able to stand on the H Street Bridge and film the silica drifting into the American River and on the worshipers at the Sacramento Central Seventh-day Adventist Church). All informed Agencies and their responsible employees were well aware the felony crime that just took place.

   The short clip in the video that took place behind the Sacramento Central Seventh-day Adventist Church in July of 2001 shows the environmental crime as outlined by Prop 65.

   This work portion had to be abandoned and the trench filed back up. The project was redone in 2004, with no notice to the public. It seems certain the same mixing procedure was used, because no advance notice was given to the public.

6. NEW BEGINNING TO STORY BEHIND THE VIDEO

There was so much frustration against me by the Boards and Agencie**s** involved that elaborate actions went into effect to make certain I was too occupied to go up on the levee in September of 2003.

1. **Supervisor, Roger Niello's office** instructed **Jack Nichols,** Code Enforcement Supervisor for the County of Sacramento to put me out of business. **Jack Nichols** instructed Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (SMUD)**. Steve Cohn's** employer to turn the power off to my tenants at my commercial property in the Village of Fair Oaks.

2. It is not a coincidence the power was turned off to my tenants with no written notice on **September 5, 2003**. Just before the slurry construction of **September 21, 2003** began, as shown on the video.

3. It is not a coincidence that Air Quality opens an asbestos claim, against me that was nearly three old. My tenant at the time, the Waldorf School paid the fine.

4. This new claim was opened up in **August of 2003,** and a hearing was scheduled for the same day and hour that the mixing of the cement was to take place. This is the reason a friend, [redacted] was asked to be the **Watch Dog** while I was busy with the Court hearing. [redacted] is the person that is speaking during the mixing operation in the video.

7. THE FAIR OAKS PROPERTY TAKES ON WHOLE NEW LIFE

1. The drama and commitment to harass me started in August of 2003. **Jack Nichols**, Supervisor of Sacramento County Code Enforcement, was instructed to put my commercial property out of business. **Jack Nichols** issued a bogus NOV**,** *Notice of Violation*. **Jack Nichols** with the assistance of **Jane Petrie** from Sacramento County Zoning instructed SMUD**,** Sacramento Municipal Utility District, to turn the power off to my tenants on **September 5, 2003.**

2. Major efforts and perjury by County Personnel to the Courts, has made justice near impossible.

3. The damage to my life, security and family is extensive.

8. CONCLUSION

In the 1620's, The Mayflower Compact, brought six of my family to the shores of this Continent, seeking freedom from tyranny. Nearly 400 years later, I struggle with the same.

Nine years without electric power, this tyranny is not practiced by any other Municipality in the U. S. It is long past the time to practice the morals one preaches to their children.

As an Eagle Scout, I believed in living by these virtues. I taught these same principles as a school teacher. As a single parent, I raised four children, who are a credit to our society. As a citizen, I have lived these same virtues, paid my taxes, supported Community Project and given to the less fortunate.

I have continually said and written, I will meet anyone and at anytime to share views. Information is the only way I can open the prejudice formed against me. As of those who are true to themselves, they need to stop the abuse, guaranteed by the U. S. Constitution.