To: Mylott, Richard[Mylott.Richard@epa.gov]; Schmit, Ayn[Schmit.Ayn@epa.gov]; Oberley, Gregory[Oberley.Gregory@epa.gov]
From: Hestmark, Martin
Sent: Tue 7/22/2014 9:00:58 PM
Subject: RE: Pavillion Working Group

Rich Ayn may be back in the office tomorrow. Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy My
Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Mylott, Richard
Sent: Monday, July 21, 2014 2:20 PM
To: Schmit, Ayn; Oberley, Gregory; Hestmark, Martin
Subject: FW: Pavillion Working Group

Can one of you help here?
Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process
Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Rich x 6654

From: Stephens, Daniel B. [mailto:dan.stephens@dbstephens.com]
Sent: Monday, July 21, 2014 1:55 PM
To: Mylott, Richard
Subject: RE: Pavillion Working Group

Hi Rich,

In the matter of EPAs field program at Pavillion, the Draft report of December 8, 2011, and subsequent reviews what level of peer review was prescribed? I noticed that as part of the process, the report had internal and external peer review prior to December 2011 and that a subsequent peer review panel was to be convened. Was the investigative process and peer review designated as an "influential scientific assessment", a "highly influential scientific assessment", or something else?

Thank you very much for your assistance with this as well as with my prior inquiries.

With kind regards,

Dan

From: Mylott, Richard [mailto:Mylott.Richard@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2014 8:44 AM
To: Stephens, Daniel B.
Subject: RE: Pavillion Working Group

Daniel, I believe that letter was primary. I expect some of the citizens who requested our assistance would be able to recount the nature of their concerns in detail.

From: Stephens, Daniel B. [mailto:dan.stephens@dbstephens.com]
Sent: Monday, January 20, 2014 11:20 AM
To: Mylott, Richard
Subject: RE: Pavillion Working Group

Rich,

The citizens who in 2008 initially complained about groundwater in Pavillion, was that a letter of July 15, 2008 "Re: Request for Human Health Impact Assessment"? Mr. Robert E. Roberts in your office was a recipient of that letter. I am trying to document what it was that the citizens had petitioned the EPA about, because that letter is silent on allegations about taste and odor in drinking water. Perhaps there was another letter?

Thanks for any help you can lend here.

Dan

From: Mylott, Richard [mailto:Mylott.Richard@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2014 9:18 AM
To: Stephens, Daniel B.
Subject: RE: Pavillion Working Group

Good morning. I don't believe they've been released yet. You may want to check with the State to get an update on timeframes.

From: Stephens, Daniel B. [mailto:dan.stephens@dbstephens.com]
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2014 9:12 AM
To: Mylott, Richard
Subject: RE: Pavillion Working Group

Good morning Rich,

Thanks for the link to the PWG website. I understood that the WDEQ and WOGCC would issue reports by Dec 31, 2013 on the pits and well bore integrity, with the report on domestic wells coming this spring. I didn't see those 2013-scheduled reports posted on the website yet. Have they been completed to your knowledge? If so, are they available?

Thanks very much, Rich,

Dan

From: Mylott, Richard [mailto:Mylott.Richard@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 1:06 PM
To: Stephens, Daniel B.
Subject: RE: Pavillion Working Group

Hi Dan- thanks for your email. The Pavillion working group was formed in 2010 by Wyoming to facilitate exchange of information among Pavillion stakeholders. The working group had a technical subgroup focused on pits that developed further information and data on potential pits in the area. EPA has been a member of the working group since it was formed, and continues to participate.

Wyoming continues to lead the working group. It is presently serving as a forum for the state to share information about the efforts they have ongoing, which include the preparation of reports on gas wellbore integrity, pits and domestic well palatability as well as continuing to implement an alternative source of drinking water. EPA remains involved and is providing support and input to the state as they develop their reports. As far as costs go, the best information I have access to dates to 2011 and includes a summary total of roughly $1.7M for EPA's investigation work through that time. We conducted another phase of sampling subsequent to that estimate being tallied, however getting cost information on that would take some time to compile.

The state's website for the Pavillion working group is on the left side of the following page:

http://wogcc.state.wy.us/

Hope this helps…

Rich Mylott

U.S. EPA Region 8

303-312-6654

From: Stephens, Daniel B. [mailto:dan.stephens@dbstephens.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 9:17 AM
To: Mylott, Richard
Subject: Pavillion Working Group

Good morning, Rich

Since the EPA has relinquished the lead to the State of Wyoming last September, I wanted to understand in what capacity EPA is now involved, if it is at all. For example, is EPA a participant in what has been called the Pavillion Working Group which formed in 2010? If EPA is a participant, when did that participation begin; am I correct that EPA had been a participant in the Pavillion Working Group since the group organized?

Also, can you tell me the cost of the EPA project work on the Pavillion groundwater investigation to date. If you do not have or cannot share this information, can you give me some advice on how to locate it please?

Thanks very much,

Dan Stephens