To: Jackson, Peter W.[jackson.peter@epa.gov]
Cc: Kuefler, Patrick[kuefler.patrick@epa.gov]
Bcc: Prichard, Gary[prichard.gary@epa.gov]
From: Pellegrini, Janet
Sent: Fri 10/25/2013 6:15:02 PM
Subject: FW: Bennoc
SKMBT_55213102512040.pdf

Pete,

Thanks again for inquiring about page 2. OEPA found the entire report, attached.

Also note the dialogue from Bruce, it points out something I had put into the briefing re the preferred option calling for resizing, and now that will not be required by OEPA

Janet Pellegrini, Environmental Scientist

USEPA Region 5, Water Division, NPDES Branch

77 West Jackson Blvd. WN-16J

Chicago, IL 60604-3590

Phone: 312-886-4298

Fax: (312) 692-2436

From: Nygaard, Eric [mailto:eric.nygaard@epa.state.oh.us]
Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 11:57 AM
To: Pellegrini, Janet
Cc: Gill, Dan; Novak, Paul
Subject: FW: Bennoc

I found the OEPA staff report.

From: Goff, Bruce
Sent: Friday, October 25, 2013 12:37 PM
To: Nygaard, Eric
Cc: Campbell, Tim
Subject: Bennoc

The SEJ report should have been with the package to CO last Oct/Nov. Here is a copy.

One thing that got "forgotten" about in all this is the company proposed as their "preferred option" to enlarge the ponds so they only discharge during precipitation. The existing ponds were supposed to be modified to operate as a "fill and discharge" operation. We never got a PTI for the changes to the ponds. We were to deal with a PTI after the NPDES was iss'd. That's why the NPDES has language about "controlled discharge" and need to submit a PTI later and the original PN'd NPDES had the conditions about once a week discharge < 24 hrs. To meet this, forced them into a pond design to achieve this.

Bruce