From:	Colledge, Michelle
To:	cbeseler
Cc:	Rosemarie Bowler; Harry Roels; Cheryl.beseler@colostate.edu; Lobdell, Danelle; Bollweg, George; Julian, Jaime
Subject:	RE: FW: Journal Of Exposure Science And Environmental Epidemiology - Decision on Manuscript ID JESEE-13-1727
Date:	Monday, September 23, 2013 8:35:23 AM

The whole point of the paper is that it's generalizable to other studies where individual exposures are not known. I am not sure how that's localized.

-----Original Message-----
From: cbeseler [mailto:cbeseler@lamar.colostate.edu]
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2013 8:33 AM
To: Colledge, Michelle
Cc: Rosemarie Bowler; Harry Roels; Cheryl.beseler@colostate.edu; Lobdell, Danelle; Bollweg, George; Wagner, Jaime
Subject: Re: FW: Journal Of Exposure Science And Environmental Epidemiology - Decision on Manuscript ID JESEE-13-1727

Michelle,

After all you went through.

I am not sure how to make this "hypothesis-driven". That goal does not seem relevant to the purpose of the study.

I thought there was a link to actual exposure measurements. This statement is a mystery to me.

Sorry, Michelle. I guess they think it only applies to these two towns in Ohio.

This was unexpected.

Cheryl

On Mon, 23 Sep 2013 12:19:53 +0000, "Colledge, Michelle"
<Colledge.Michelle@epa.gov> wrote:
> *sigh*
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: onbehalfof+morton.lippmann+nyumc.org@manuscriptcentral.com
> [mailto:onbehalfof+morton.lippmann+nyumc.org@manuscriptcentral.com]
> On
> Behalf Of morton.lippmann@nyumc.org
> Sent: Saturday, September 21, 2013 1:34 PM
> To: mcolledge@cdc.gov; rbowl@sfsu.edu
> Subject: Journal Of Exposure Science And Environmental Epidemiology -
> Decision on Manuscript ID JESEE-13-1727
>
> 21-Sep-2013
>
> Dear Dr. Colledge:
>
> I write you in regards to manuscript # JESEE-13-1727 entitled
> "Characterization of Air Manganese Exposure Estimates for Residents in
> Two Ohio Towns" which you submitted to the Journal Of Exposure Science
> And Environmental Epidemiology.

>
> Upon preliminary review, we have concluded that your manuscript is
> well-written and scientifically sound. Furthermore, the topic could be
> of general interest to our readership, as it relates to ambient
> pollutant exposures in one of the more heavily polluted regions of the
> US. However, the aims are not hypothesis-driven, however, and the
> results and interpretations are not broadly generalizable to beyond
> this particular exposure setting. Thus, it is more appropriate as a
> technical report or a summary of a technical report. If there was
> there was a linkage to actual exposure measurements, or to
> exposure-related biomonitoring data, it would better fit in Journal Of
> Exposure Science And Environmental Epidemiology (JESEE). Thus, for
> now, we will not conduct peer reviews of your manuscript for JESEE.
> We
> hope that you can broaden the scope of the manuscript to relate the
> modeling results to measurement data, and resubmit.
>
> Thank you for considering the Journal Of Exposure Science And
> Environmental Epidemiology for the publication of your research. I
> hope the outcome of this specific submission will not discourage you
> from the submission of future manuscripts.
>
> Sincerely,
> Dr. Morton Lippmann
> Editor in Chief, Journal Of Exposure Science And Environmental
> Epidemiology morton.lippmann@nyumc.org
>
> 2012 IMPACT FACTOR 3.187
> 2012 ISI Rank for JESEE:
> 33 of 209 titles in ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES
> 27 of 158 titles in PUBLIC, ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH
> 22 of 85 titles in TOXICOLOGY