From: Nwia, Jacqueline
To: Nash, Carlton
Cc: Bollweg, George; Tyson, MaryPat
Subject: RE: East Liverpool Ohio
Date: Thursday, May 16, 2013 9:08:27 AM

Yes…we did go through analysis. It is below:

EJSCREEN's primary indicators are the 12 environmental indicators combined with low income and minotiry data. EJSCREEN affords the flexibility to examine the primary indicators, environmental only or demographic only.

I reviewed EJSCREEN for SH Bell (2217 Michigan Avenue, East Liverpool, OH and Eramet (16705 Ohio 7, South Marietta, OH). The following indicators were over 80%:

SH Bell:

Primary Indicators: Proximity to RMP (Risk Management Plan facilities) - 82%, Proximity to TSDF (Treatment, Storage and Disposal facilities - hazardous waste facilities) - 94%.

Environmental Indicators Only: Lead Paint Indicator-91%, Proximity to RMP - 94%, Proximity to TSDF - 99%, Proximity to Water Discharge - 85%.

Demographic Indicators Only: Low Income - 89%

So for SH Bell, I would say that it is in an area with potential EJ concerns (remember EJSCREEN is a screen).

Eramet:

Primary Indicators: None

Environmental Indicators Only: NATA Neurological Hazard - 99%, PM2.5 - 80% and Proximity to Water Discharge - 84%

Demographic Indicators Only: Population over 64 years of age - 93% (Note: low income is 20% and minority is 34%).

So for Eramet, I would say it is NOT in an area with potential EJ concerns. Although, I guess one could argue that the primary indicators only take into account low income and minority but the data shows that the area is environmentally overburdened and the population of over 64 years of age is susceptible/sensitive to environmental factors.

Let me know if you need anything further.

Jackie

From: Nash, Carlton
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2013 5:30 PM
To: Nwia, Jacqueline
Cc: Bollweg, George; Tyson, MaryPat
Subject: East Liverpool Ohio

I thought we went through this analysis a number of months ago (possibly not).

Wasn't East Liverpool an EJ area?