From:	Rob Davis
To:	Smith, Judy
Subject:	Re: MEDIA INQUIRY (deadline Thursday!): The Oregonian re Portland air tox metals and ODEQ Title V air permits
Date:	Thursday, February 11, 2016 11:11:34 AM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png image003.png image004.png image005.png image006.png

---

Fantastic — I appreciate the follow up Judy.

From: "Smith, Judy" <Smith.Judy@epa.gov>
Date: Thursday, February 11, 2016 at 11:10 AM
To: Rob Davis <RDavis@oregonian.com>
Subject: FW: MEDIA INQUIRY (deadline Thursday!): The Oregonian re Portland air tox metals and ODEQ Title V air permits

Hi Rob – I wanted to let you know that I'm working on getting the response to your inquiry and will have something to you shortly. Judy

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Judy Smith, EPA
Public Affairs Specialist
503-326-6994 desk
503-545-2540 cell
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

From: Rob Davis [mailto:RDavis@oregonian.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2016 3:33 PM
To: Skadowski, Suzanne <Skadowski.Suzanne@epa.gov>
Subject: from the oregonian: title V

Suzanne,

Thanks for the time just now. As I mentioned, I'm looking at Oregon DEQ's administration of the Title V permit program. From an initial review of mine, it appears that 46% of Oregon's Title V permits are expired. Some appear to have been expired since 2000; the bulk lapsed between 2011-2015.

Given EPA's delegation of this authority to Oregon, I want to know:

- Is EPA concerned with Oregon's funding, monitoring, permitting and review of major air pollution sources?
- Is it normal for a state to be so far behind in its permit reviews?
- Has EPA audited Oregon's Clean Air Act administration since these reports circa 2007 and FY10? (Linked here: http://www.epa.gov/compliance/oregon-state-review-framework). If so, can you provide them?

Thanks for a prompt followup. My deadline is this time tomorrow (2/11).

All best,
Rob

ROB DAVIS
Reporter
The Oregonian
503.294.7657
@robwdavis