Date: 03/03/2011 04:49 PM
From: Carol Campbell/R8/USEPA/US
To: DC Orr

Bcc: Pennock.Sonya
Subject: RE: Decorative bark from OU-5

Sonya receives all of your requests for information. We talk about them internally, and then put together a response as appropriate. Some of your questions have been answered many times.

Carol L. Campbell, Assistant Regional Administrator
Office of Ecosystem Protection and Remediation
United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, Colorado
80202

303-312-6340 (W)
303-312-6071(fax)

DC Orr ---03/03/2011 05:11:57 AM---Could you at least acknowledge receipt of the questions after two weeks? DC

From: DC Orr <xcav8orr@hotmail.com>
To: Rebecca Thomas/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Carol Campbell/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Sean Earle/R4/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/03/2011 05:11 AM
Subject: RE: Decorative bark from OU-5

Could you at least acknowledge receipt of the questions after two weeks?
DC

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov; campbell.carol@epamail.epa.gov; earle.sean@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: FW: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Wed, 2 Mar 2011 06:33:02 -0700

Ms. Thomas and Ms. Campbell;

EPA is creating conditions that are slowing work on OU-1. When EPA refuses to answer questions we cannot move ahead. EPA is attempting to force the City to make uninformed decisions.
I have asked about the bark. The City has used this bark for a multitude of ground cover projects, huge projects covering the entire city.
When can I expect an answer to these questions?
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 14:25:49 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

You have sent me the respoonse to the Goups twice. The homeowner groups had different questions which I was not privy to. You can see my questions below are not answered in the response given to the Groups. I have different interests, thus different questions.
When can I expect a response to my questions? (Look for the question marks)
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 14:10:30 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

The homeowners group has received a reply to their questions about the sawdust piles. When can I expect my questions to be anwered?
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 17:00:21 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

There has been much "chatter" about the piles of bark at OU-5.
I have been told this bark was uncontaminated. I see some test results come through today that show low levels of LA determined through qualitative testing. EPA obviously questioned the possibility of fibers contained in bark and sampled these piles. The testing shows fibers in the piles. This raises some important questions.
Has EPA tracked where those piles went? Does the movement of those piles spread contamination? How much material was contained in those piles? Did EPA have authority or responsibility, once they determined levels of contamination with Libby Amphibole, to require those piles be handled as contaminated material?
Sincerely, DC Orr

Could you at least acknowledge receipt of the questions after two weeks?
DC

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov; campbell.carol@epamail.epa.gov; earle.sean@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: FW: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Wed, 2 Mar 2011 06:33:02 -0700

Ms. Thomas and Ms. Campbell;

EPA is creating conditions that are slowing work on OU-1. When EPA refuses to answer questions we cannot move ahead. EPA is attempting to force the City to make uninformed decisions.
I have asked about the bark. The City has used this bark for a multitude of ground cover projects, huge projects covering the entire city.
When can I expect an answer to these questions?
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 14:25:49 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

You have sent me the respoonse to the Goups twice. The homeowner groups had different questions which I was not privy to. You can see my questions below are not answered in the response given to the Groups. I have different interests, thus different questions.
When can I expect a response to my questions? (Look for the question marks)
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 14:10:30 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

The homeowners group has received a reply to their questions about the sawdust piles. When can I expect my questions to be anwered?
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 17:00:21 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

There has been much "chatter" about the piles of bark at OU-5.
I have been told this bark was uncontaminated. I see some test results come through today that show low levels of LA determined through qualitative testing. EPA obviously questioned the possibility of fibers contained in bark and sampled these piles. The testing shows fibers in the piles. This raises some important questions.
Has EPA tracked where those piles went? Does the movement of those piles spread contamination? How much material was contained in those piles? Did EPA have authority or responsibility, once they determined levels of contamination with Libby Amphibole, to require

those piles be handled as contaminated material?
Sincerely, DC Orr

Could you at least acknowledge receipt of the questions after two weeks?
DC

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov; campbell.carol@epamail.epa.gov; earle.sean@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: FW: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Wed, 2 Mar 2011 06:33:02 -0700

Ms. Thomas and Ms. Campbell;

EPA is creating conditions that are slowing work on OU-1. When EPA refuses to answer questions we cannot move ahead. EPA is attempting to force the City to make uninformed decisions.
I have asked about the bark. The City has used this bark for a multitude of ground cover projects, huge projects covering the entire city.
When can I expect an answer to these questions?
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 14:25:49 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

You have sent me the respoonse to the Goups twice. The homeowner groups had different questions which I was not privy to. You can see my questions below are not answered in the response given to the Groups. I have different interests, thus different questions.
When can I expect a response to my questions? (Look for the question marks)
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 14:10:30 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

The homeowners group has received a reply to their questions about the sawdust piles. When can I expect my questions to be anwered?
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Decorative bark from OU-5

Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 17:00:21 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

There has been much "chatter" about the piles of bark at OU-5.
I have been told this bark was uncontaminated. I see some test results come through today that show low levels of LA determined through qualitative testing. EPA obviously questioned the possibility of fibers contained in bark and sampled these piles. The testing shows fibers in the piles. This raises some important questions.
Has EPA tracked where those piles went? Does the movement of those piles spread contamination? How much material was contained in those piles? Did EPA have authority or responsibility, once they determined levels of contamination with Libby Amphibole, to require those piles be handled as contaminated material?
Sincerely, DC Orr

Could you at least acknowledge receipt of the questions after two weeks?
DC

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov; campbell.carol@epamail.epa.gov; earle.sean@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: FW: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Wed, 2 Mar 2011 06:33:02 -0700

Ms. Thomas and Ms. Campbell;

EPA is creating conditions that are slowing work on OU-1. When EPA refuses to answer questions we cannot move ahead. EPA is attempting to force the City to make uninformed decisions.
I have asked about the bark. The City has used this bark for a multitude of ground cover projects, huge projects covering the entire city.
When can I expect an answer to these questions?
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 14:25:49 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

You have sent me the respoonse to the Goups twice. The homeowner groups had different questions which I was not privy to. You can see my questions below are not answered in the response given to the Groups. I have different interests, thus different questions.
When can I expect a response to my questions? (Look for the question marks)
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: RE: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 14:10:30 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

The homeowners group has received a reply to their questions about the sawdust piles. When can I expect my questions to be anwered?
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 17:00:21 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

There has been much "chatter" about the piles of bark at OU-5.
I have been told this bark was uncontaminated. I see some test results come through today that show low levels of LA determined through qualitative testing. EPA obviously questioned the possibility of fibers contained in bark and sampled these piles. The testing shows fibers in the piles. This raises some important questions.
Has EPA tracked where those piles went? Does the movement of those piles spread contamination? How much material was contained in those piles? Did EPA have authority or responsibility, once they determined levels of contamination with Libby Amphibole, to require those piles be handled as contaminated material?
Sincerely, DC Orr

Could you at least acknowledge receipt of the questions after two weeks?
DC

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov; campbell.carol@epamail.epa.gov; earle.sean@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: FW: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Wed, 2 Mar 2011 06:33:02 -0700

Ms. Thomas and Ms. Campbell;

EPA is creating conditions that are slowing work on OU-1. When EPA refuses to answer questions we cannot move ahead. EPA is attempting to force the City to make uninformed decisions.
I have asked about the bark. The City has used this bark for a multitude of ground cover projects, huge projects covering the entire city.
When can I expect an answer to these questions?
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com

To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 14:25:49 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

You have sent me the respoonse to the Goups twice. The homeowner groups had different questions which I was not privy to. You can see my questions below are not answered in the response given to the Groups. I have different interests, thus different questions.
When can I expect a response to my questions? (Look for the question marks)
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2011 14:10:30 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

The homeowners group has received a reply to their questions about the sawdust piles. When can I expect my questions to be anwered?
DC Orr

From: xcav8orr@hotmail.com
To: thomas.rebecca@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Decorative bark from OU-5
Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2011 17:00:21 -0700

Ms. Thomas;

There has been much "chatter" about the piles of bark at OU-5.
I have been told this bark was uncontaminated. I see some test results come through today that show low levels of LA determined through qualitative testing. EPA obviously questioned the possibility of fibers contained in bark and sampled these piles. The testing shows fibers in the piles. This raises some important questions.
Has EPA tracked where those piles went? Does the movement of those piles spread contamination? How much material was contained in those piles? Did EPA have authority or responsibility, once they determined levels of contamination with Libby Amphibole, to require those piles be handled as contaminated material?
Sincerely, DC Orr