SDMS DOCID# 1144270

CALIFORNIA
Water Boards

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
GOVERNOR

MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ
SECRETARY FOR
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board

January 3, 2014
File No. 43S0080 (MS)

Advanced Micro Devices
Attn: Do Cao (Do.Cao@AMD.com)
1 AMD Place, MS 5
Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3453

SUBJECT: Requirement for Vapor Intrusion Evaluation Report for Advanced Micro Devices Site at 1160 Kern Avenue, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara County

Dear Mr. Cao:

This letter requires Advanced Micro Devices (AMD) to submit a vapor intrusion evaluation report by **January 31, 2014,** for the subject site (Site). As explained below, this information will help Regional Water Board staff to further evaluate potential vapor intrusion concerns arising in light of new USEPA guidance.

Background

AMD conducted indoor air and preferential pathway sampling at the 1160 Kern Avenue building in August 2011, December 2011, July 2012, May 2013 and September 2013. The first three sampling events were conducted with the heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) system turned off, and the last two sampling events were conducted with the HVAC system turned on. Several indoor air and preferential pathway sample results for trichloroethene (TCE) and tetrachloroethene (PCE) exceeded the applicable USEPA regional screening levels (RSLs) and the Regional Water Board environmental screening levels for indoor air in commercial buildings.

We appreciate the vapor intrusion evaluation work completed to date at this Site. However, new technical information prompts us to require additional information to further evaluate potential vapor intrusion.

New USEPA Requirements

USEPA recently issued the following documents:

- 2013 Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response *External Review Draft – Final Guidance for Assessing and Mitigating the Vapor Intrusion Pathway from the Subsurface to Indoor Air*

- December 3, 2013, *USEPA Region 9 Guidelines and Supplemental Information Needed for Vapor Intrusion Evaluations at South Bay National Priority List (NPL) Sites* ("Guidelines" for short, see attachment)

The Guidelines contain new vapor intrusion evaluation requirements, including the following:

- Short term removal action levels for TCE in indoor air
- Residential indoor air sampling during cold weather
- Commercial indoor air sampling with the HVAC system turned off
- Vapor intrusion evaluation in residential and commercial buildings where groundwater-TCE levels exceed 5 ug/L

Need for Report

In light of this new information, there is a need for additional vapor intrusion evaluation at this NPL Site consistent with the Guidelines. You are required to submit a report by **January 31, 2014**, for the on-property area that includes the following:

- Evaluate all the prior vapor intrusion evaluation work done at the on-property area
- Compare it to the attached Guidelines
- Determine if the vapor intrusion work conducted to date conforms to the Guidelines
- Compare the indoor air sampling results to USEPA's new TCE short-term removal action levels and USEPA's updated long-term TCE screening levels

This requirement for a Report is made pursuant to Water Code section 13267, which allows the Regional Water Board to require technical or monitoring program reports from any person who has discharged, discharges, proposes to discharge, or is suspected of discharging waste that could affect water quality. The attachment provides additional information about section 13267 requirements. Any extension in the above deadline must be confirmed in writing by Regional Water Board staff.

If you have any questions, please contact Max Shahbazian of my staff at (510) 622-4824 or by e-mail [mshahbazian@waterboards.ca.gov]

Sincerely,

Chuck Headlee
for

Digitally signed by Chuck Headlee
Date: 2014.01.03 10:08:49 -08'00'

Bruce H. Wolfe
Executive Officer

Attachments: Guidelines and Water Code Section 13267 Fact Sheet
cc w/Attachments: Mailing List

MAILING LIST
Former Advanced Micro Devices
915 DeGuigne Drive
Sunnyvale, CA

U.S. EPAP
ATTN: Ms. Melanie Morash Morash.Melanie@epa.gov
75 Hawthorne Street (Mail Code SFD-7-3)
San Francisco, CA 94105

Santa Clara Valley Water District
ATTN: Mr. George Cook gcook@valleywater.org
5150 Almaden Expressway
San Jose, CA 95118

City of Sunnyvale
ATTN: Lynne Kilpatrick lkilpatrick@ci.sunnyvale.ca.us
456 W. Olive Avenue
Sunnyvale, CA 94086

Haley & Aldrich
ATTN: Peter Bennett pbennett@haleyaldrich.com
1956 Webster Street, Suite 450
Oakland, CA 94612

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

December 3, 2013

Stephen Hill, Chief
Toxics Cleanup Division
California Regional Water Quality Control Board – SF Bay Region
1515 Clay Street #1400
Oakland, CA 94612

SUBJECT: EPA Region 9 Guidelines and Supplemental Information Needed for Vapor Intrusion Evaluations at the South Bay National Priorities List (NPL) Sites

Dear Mr. Hill:

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 9 appreciates the opportunity to work with the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Water Board) in conducting vapor intrusion evaluations at the following Regional Water Board-lead National Priorities List (NPL) or Superfund sites in the South San Francisco Bay Area (South Bay Sites) where trichloroethene (TCE) or tetrachloroethene (PCE) are contaminants of potential concern:

- AMD 901/902/TRW Microwave/Phillips and Offsite Operable Unit Combined Sites in Sunnyvale
- AMD 915 DeGuigne Drive Site in Sunnyvale
- Monolithic Memories Site (also known as AMD 1165/1175 Arques Avenue Site) in Sunnyvale
- Fairchild Semiconductor Site in South San Jose
- Hewlett Packard 620-640 Page Mill Road Site in Palo Alto
- Intersil/Siemens Site in Cupertino and Sunnyvale
- National Semiconductor Site (also known as Texas Instruments Site) in Sunnyvale
- Synertek Building 1 Site in Santa Clara
- Teledyne/Spectra-Physics Sites in Mountain View

EPA recognizes and appreciates all of the vapor intrusion work activities conducted to date at these sites. Pursuant to recent discussions with EPA Region 9, the Regional Water Board, and the potentially responsible party (PRP) representatives on planned upcoming vapor intrusion work activities, EPA

Region 9 is providing this letter to outline EPA's recommended TCE interim short-term indoor air response action levels and guidelines and clarify the use of California-modified indoor air screening levels that should be applied when assessing and responding to TCE and PCE subsurface vapor intrusion into indoor air.

In addition, this letter includes, as outlined in the Attachment, additional information and specific requirements for vapor intrusion evaluations for the South Bay Sites, consistent with the "multiple-lines-of-evidence" approach in EPA's 2013 Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) *External Review Draft – Final Guidance for Assessing and Mitigating the Vapor Intrusion Pathway from Subsurface Sources to Indoor Air*. In reviewing the multiple lines of evidence that have been collected for the South Bay Sites, EPA Region 9 has identified data gaps that must be filled to fully evaluate the potential for vapor intrusion into buildings overlying the South Bay Sites' contamination.

EPA Region 9 recommends that the following guidelines and supplemental information be incorporated, as appropriate, into existing and future Vapor Intrusion Evaluation Work Plans (Work Plans) for each of the South Bay Sites:

- Interim TCE Indoor Air Short-term Response Action Levels and Guidelines
- PCE Indoor Air Screening Levels
- Residential Building Sampling Approach – Multiple Rounds of Sampling including Colder Weather and Crawlspace Sampling
- Commercial Building Sampling Approach – Building Ventilation System (HVAC)-Off, HVAC-On and Pathway Sampling
- On-Property Study Area Building Sampling
- Phased Approach and Clarification of Vapor Intrusion Off-Property Study Areas to Include Buildings Overlying 5 µg/L TCE Shallow-Zone Groundwater Contamination

EPA Region 9 will continue to provide technical vapor intrusion and community involvement and outreach support for the South Bay Sites.

If you have any technical questions, please contact Melanie Morash of my staff at (415) 972-3050 or by e-mail to morash.melanie@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Salyer
Assistant Director, Superfund Division
California Site Cleanup Branch

Attachment: EPA Region 9 Guidelines and Supplemental Information for VI Evaluations

Attachment: EPA Region 9 Guidelines and Supplemental Information Needed for Vapor Intrusion Evaluations at the South Bay National Priorities List (NPL) Sites

EPA Region 9 recommends that the following guidelines and supplemental information be incorporated, as appropriate, into existing and future Vapor Intrusion Evaluation Work Plans (Work Plans) for each of the South Bay NPL Sites, primarily with subsurface trichloroethene (TCE) and tetrachlorethene (PCE) contamination.

The additional information and specific requirements requested are consistent with the "multiple-lines-of-evidence" approach in EPA's 2013 Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) *External Review Draft – Final Guidance for Assessing and Mitigating the Vapor Intrusion Pathway from Subsurface Sources to Indoor Air*.

In reviewing the multiple lines of evidence that have been collected for the South Bay Sites, EPA Region 9 has identified data gaps that must be filled in order to fully evaluate the potential for vapor intrusion into buildings overlying the subsurface contamination at each individual South Bay Site.

Item #1 – Interim TCE Indoor Air Short-term Response Action Levels and Guidelines

In September 2011, EPA published its *Toxicological Review of Trichloroethylene in Support of the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS).* Recent findings on TCE conclude that women in the first trimester of pregnancy are one of the most sensitive populations to TCE short-term inhalation exposure due to the potential for heart malformation for the developing fetus.

EPA uses a level of concern for non-cancer effects as a ratio of the exposure concentration to a safe dose including an additional margin of safety, called a reference concentration (RfC). This ratio is defined as a Hazard Quotient and abbreviated "HQ". The IRIS assessment derived an inhalation RfC for continuous inhalation exposure to TCE, which is 2 micrograms per cubic meter (2 $\mu g/m^3$).

Because this is a developmental effect, the critical period for exposure is considered to be within an approximate 3-week period in the first trimester of pregnancy during which the heart develops. Scientific information on the exact critical period of exposure for this health impact is not currently available; however, general risk assessment guidelines for developmental effects indicate that exposures over a period as limited as 24 hours[1] may be of concern for some developmental toxicants.

In light of this RfC information, EPA Region 9 is using health protective response action levels and guidelines to address short-term inhalation exposures to TCE in indoor air from the subsurface vapor intrusion pathway. The purpose of these interim response action levels and guidelines is to be protective of one of the most sensitive and vulnerable populations, women in their first trimester of pregnancy, because of the potential for cardiac malformations to the developing fetus during this short timeframe.

These guidelines identify women of reproductive age as the sensitive population of concern, rather than only pregnant women, because some women may not be aware of their pregnancy during the first trimester.

[1] U.S. EPA. Guidelines for Developmental Toxicity Risk Assessment. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum, Washington, DC, EPA/600/FR-91/001, 1991

Assessment of TCE Inhalation Vapor Intrusion Exposure and Prompt Response Actions in Residential and Commercial/Industrial Buildings: The interim TCE indoor air short-term response action levels should be included in Vapor Intrusion Evaluation Work Plans (Work Plans) for assessing and responding to inhalation exposures to TCE in residential and commercial buildings caused by subsurface vapor intrusion at the South Bay Sites.

Interim TCE Indoor Air Short-Term Response Action Levels Residential and Commercial TCE Inhalation Exposure from Subsurface Vapor Intrusion South Bay NPL Sites	
Exposure Scenario	***Prompt Response Action Level*** *(HQ=1)*[2]
Residential *	2 µg/m^3
Commercial/Industrial 8-hour workday	9 µg/m^3
10-hour workday (South Bay Sites) **	7 µg/m^3

* The Residential HQ=1 prompt response action level is equivalent to the inhalation reference concentration (RfC) since exposure is assumed to occur continuously over a 24-hour period.

** Commercial/Industrial prompt response action levels are calculated as the time-weighted average from the RfC - 9 µg/m^3 for an 8-hour workday; 7 µg/m^3 for a 10-hour workday. Based on input from commercial building owners and tenants, EPA Region 9 recommends use of the 10-hour workday for determining the appropriate response action levels for commercial/industrial buildings at the South Bay Sites. Time-weighted adjustments can be made as needed for workplaces with longer work schedules.

Note: These prompt response action levels are near the lower end of the Superfund Health Protective Cancer Risk Range;[3] thus, the Superfund Health Protective Risk Range for both long-term and short-term exposures is: 0.4 – 2 µg/m^3 for residential exposures and 3 – 9 µg/m^3 for 8-hour/day commercial/ industrial exposures.[4]

TCE Indoor Air Concentration > Prompt Response Action Level (HQ=1): In the event the indoor air TCE concentration related to subsurface vapor intrusion is detected above the prompt response action levels (HQ=1), then interim mitigation measures should be evaluated and implemented quickly, and their effectiveness (defined as a reduction of the TCE indoor air concentration to below HQ=1 level) confirmed promptly (e.g., all actions completed and confirmed within a few weeks).

---

[2] There is a need to identify TCE exposures that exceed the HQ=1 level by a magnitude sufficient enough that a more urgent response is prudent; it is EPA Region 9 practice to take immediate action to address exposures at or above an HQ=3 level.

[3] For cancer causing chemicals, the Superfund Health Protective Risk Range encompasses the range of concentrations EPA considers to be protective, from 1 to 100 in a million increased lifetime cancer risk. The level that falls into the most protective end of the risk range – 1 in a million increased lifetime risk – is what is used as the screening level for any particular chemical. After identifying the health protective levels, EPA then compares measured values to the lowest, most health-protective, end of the range. Although levels of exposure anywhere within the range may be acceptable, EPA's goal for indoor air exposures to Superfund site-related chemicals is to keep exposures as low as reasonably possible within the Superfund Health Protective Risk Range.

[4] U.S. EPA Region 9 May 2013 Regional Screening Levels: http://www.epa.gov/region9/superfund/prg/ Accessed November 2013.

Implementation of Interim Measures to Mitigate TCE Short-term Exposure: The following interim response actions (mitigation measures) should be considered along with how quickly they can be implemented to reduce exposure to below the TCE short-term response action levels:

- Increasing building pressurization and/or ventilation mechanically with fans or the building ventilation system by increasing outdoor air intake
- Installing and operating engineered, sub-floor exposure controls (sub-slab and/or crawlspace depressurization; or in some cases a soil vapor extraction system)
- Eliminating exposure by temporary relocation, which may be indicated when immediate response actions are warranted.

The following interim measures may also be considered, but may have limited effectiveness and require additional monitoring to verify their effectiveness:

- Sealing and/or ventilating potential conduits where vapors may be entering building
- Treating indoor air (carbon filtration, air purifiers)

Item #2 – PCE Indoor Air Screening Levels

EPA acknowledges that the California-modified indoor air screening levels for PCE differ from EPA's May 2013 Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) for PCE. EPA Region 9 would like to clarify that the California EPA Office of Health Hazard Assessment's PCE toxicity value should be used for all NPL sites within California, which includes the South Bay Sites.

Work Plans and reports should be prepared or revised, as appropriate, to evaluate indoor air sampling results using the California-modified indoor air screening level of 0.4 $\mu g/m^3$ for residential exposures and 2 $\mu g/m^3$ for commercial/industrial exposures. The Superfund Health Protective Risk Range for PCE is bounded by the 10^{-6} excess cancer risk (low end) and by the non-cancer HQ=1 (high end). Specifically, the Superfund Health Protective Risk Range for PCE is 0.4 – 40 $\mu g/m^3$ for residential exposures and 2-180 $\mu g/m^3$ for commercial/ industrial exposures.

Item #3 – Residential Building Sampling Approach – Multiple Rounds of Sampling including Colder Weather and Crawlspace Sampling

Recognizing the temporal and spatial variability of indoor air and subsurface concentrations, EPA generally recommends collecting more than one round of sampling and from multiple locations. In reviewing the multiple lines of evidence that have been collected for the South Bay Sites, EPA Region 9 has identified several data gaps that must be filled in order to complete the vapor intrusion evaluations at each site. Specifically, it appears that multiple rounds of indoor air sampling have not been collected. For some sites, sampling has not been conducted during colder weather months, nor have samples been collected from crawlspaces or basements, where such are present in buildings.

Research studies[5][6][7][8] have demonstrated that daily indoor air concentrations resulting from subsurface vapor intrusion can vary by two or more orders of magnitude in residential, passively ventilated structures. These studies also indicate that the highest indoor air concentrations usually occur when outdoor air temperatures are significantly lower than indoor air temperatures. Empirical indoor air data collected at passively ventilated buildings in the San Francisco Bay Area where multiple samples were collected indicate TCE indoor air concentrations from vapor intrusion up to two-to-three times higher during the colder months.

Work Plans should be revised to incorporate multiple rounds of sampling, including sampling during colder weather months (November through February, with January generally being the coldest month in the Bay Area), to assess the potential variability of indoor air contaminant concentrations during conditions when the potential for vapor intrusion may be higher. In addition, crawlspace, basement, and pathway sampling should be included, as appropriate, as part of the vapor intrusion investigation.

Finally, EPA Region 9 supports the use of longer-term passive samplers to help assess the temporal variability of indoor air vapor intrusion-related contaminant concentrations. The longer-term sampler provides a greater duration over which to average indoor air vapor intrusion levels for the purposes of completing the vapor intrusion evaluation, however EPA Region 9 is open to discussing sampling strategies for both the passive sampler and TO-15 canister.

Item #4 – Commercial Building Sampling Approach - Building Ventilation System (HVAC)-Off, HVAC-On and Pathway Sampling

Consistent with the multiple-lines-of-evidence approach recommended by EPA guidance, ongoing vapor intrusion evaluations at certain commercial buildings associated with some of the South Bay Sites have included soil gas, sub-slab soil gas, and/or potential preferential pathway sampling (such as near bathroom floor drains and from elevator shafts or mechanical rooms), as well as indoor air sampling during normal business hours with the building's heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems operating.

In reviewing these lines of evidence, EPA Region 9 has identified as a data gap the lack of HVAC-off sampling for certain commercial buildings, and recommends that pathway sampling, where such sampling has not yet been conducted, be included in the multiple-lines-of-evidence evaluation.

Because EPA needs to evaluate the potential for subsurface vapor intrusion into buildings without reliance on the indoor air ventilation system and understand the full range of possible exposure scenarios, Work Plans must be prepared or revised, as appropriate, to include indoor air sampling with the building ventilation systems turned off in addition to sampling commercial buildings under current

---

[5] Schumacher, B., R. Truesdale, and C. Lutes. Fluctuation of Indoor Radon and VOC Concentrations due to Seasonal Variations. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, EPA/600/R/12/673, 2012

[6] Schumacher, B. and J. Zimmerman, U.S. EPA ORD, C. Lutes, ARCADIS, and R. Truesdale, RTI International. Indoor Air and Soil Gas Temporal Variability Effects on Sampling Strategies: Evidence from Controlled and Uncontrolled Conditions in an Indianapolis duplex. March 18, 2013 Association for Environmental Health and Sciences Foundation Conference: https://iavi.rti.org/WorkshopsAndConferences.cfm

[7] Johnson, P. Arizona State University. Multi-Year Monitoring of a House Over a Dilute CHC Plume: Implications for Pathway Assessment using Indoor Air Sampling and Forced Under-Pressurization Tests. March 18, 2013 Association for Environmental Health and Sciences Foundation Conference: https://iavi.rti.org/WorkshopsAndConferences.cfm

[8] Holton, C., H. Luo, Y. Guo, and P. Johnson, Arizona State University, K. Gorder and E. Dettenmaier, Hill Air Force Base. Long-term and Short-term Variation of Indoor Air Concentration at a Vapor Intrusion Study Site. March 22, 2012 Association for Environmental Health and Sciences Foundation Conference: https://iavi.rti.org/WorkshopsAndConferences.cfm

building operating conditions.

For HVAC-off sampling, sampling duration should begin a minimum of 36 hours following shut-down of the building ventilation systems (no outdoor air intakes into the building) and continue while HVAC systems remain off. Because there is a greater potential for elevated indoor air contaminant concentrations while the building ventilation is turned off, adequate notice must be provided to building management and potential occupants about the testing and the schedule for when the ventilation system will be shut off.

Item #5 – On-Property Study Area Building Sampling

At certain of the South Bay Sites, indoor air sampling was originally not required at specific On-Property Study Area (or former source area) commercial buildings that were thought to have a low potential for vapor intrusion (e.g., due to the presence of a vapor intrusion mitigation system such as a sub-floor vapor barrier or where living or workspaces are located above a ventilated underground parking garage).

However, vapor intrusion sampling has shown the potential for vapor intrusion to occur at buildings with existing vapor intrusion mitigation systems (for example, where the systems were damaged during building construction or renovation activities). For buildings overlying subterranean parking garages, preferential pathways such as elevator shafts and stairwells may also increase vapor intrusion potential into occupied living spaces.

EPA Region 9 would like to clarify that all On-Property Study Area buildings should be evaluated and sampled. For building space overlying subterranean parking, potential preferential pathways into the building indoor air space, such as elevator shafts and stairwells, should be evaluated.

Work Plans should be prepared or revised, as appropriate, to include pre-sampling walk-throughs to assess building and system conditions. These building surveys should identify if there are any conditions that may prompt any additional evaluation and sampling to assess the effectiveness of the vapor intrusion engineering controls of the buildings.

Item #6 – Phased Approach and Clarification of Vapor Intrusion Off-Property Study Areas to Include Buildings Overlying 5 µg/L TCE Shallow-Zone Groundwater Contamination

EPA supports the initial agreed upon prioritization of conducting vapor intrusion evaluations at commercial and residential buildings overlying higher TCE shallow A-zone groundwater contamination (greater than 50 µg/L for residential buildings and greater than 100 µg/L for commercial buildings). For those South Bay Sites where vapor intrusion evaluations have already begun, early project planning discussions culminated in a phased approach to delineating the Vapor Intrusion Off-Property Study Area, beginning with investigations in these higher concentration areas of the subsurface groundwater plumes.

The groundwater contamination at the South Bay Sites is generally very shallow, ranging between approximately 5 feet below ground surface (bgs) to 35 feet bgs. Ongoing data collection efforts at other similar vapor intrusion sites in Region 9, as well as nationally, have shown vapor intrusion potential into buildings overlying lower groundwater TCE concentrations (less than 50 µg/L for residential buildings and less than 100 parts µg/L for commercial buildings), at levels exceeding health protective indoor air levels. Factors include, but are not limited to, location relative to source areas,

impacts due to seasonal fluctuations in groundwater levels, preferential pathways into a building and other building-specific characteristics that facilitate upward migration of subsurface vapors into interior living and work spaces.

The use of the TCE 5 µg/L groundwater concentration as defining the extent of the Vapor Intrusion Evaluation Study Area is reasonable, supported by use of EPA's vapor intrusion screening level calculator, the generic default groundwater-to-indoor air attenuation factor of 0.001 and the appropriate Henry's Law conversion, empirical data, and mathematical modeling.

Work Plans shall be prepared or revised, as appropriate, to define the Vapor Intrusion Off-Property Study Area as the area bounded by the estimated TCE shallow zone groundwater contamination area greater than 5 µg/L. A comprehensive evaluation of the multiple lines of evidence collected for each site should be used in determining the potential for vapor intrusion at particular buildings and whether additional investigation and response actions are warranted. Any proposal to exclude particular buildings from indoor air sampling must be supported by a robust, site- and building-specific multiple-lines-of-evidence analysis.

Where contaminants other than TCE drive the vapor intrusion investigation, a site-specific and contaminant-specific analysis following the multiple-lines-of-evidence approach should be used to derive a sufficiently health protective study boundary for the vapor intrusion evaluation.

EPA supports a phased multiple-lines-of-evidence approach in prioritizing vapor intrusion investigations, for example: (1) colder weather indoor air sampling event and commercial building HVAC-off and HVAC-on sampling within the original Off-Property Study Area; (2) data evaluation and identification of data gaps, with subsequent additional multiple-lines-of-evidence data collection and analysis; (3) targeted step-out's to specific commercial/residential buildings or streets overlying lower contaminant concentration contour lines; and finally (4) full step-out and building-specific evaluation to off-property vapor intrusion study boundary line, or 5 µg/L for TCE.

Fact Sheet – Requirements For Submitting Technical Reports Under Section 13267 of the California Water Code

What does it mean when the Regional Water Board requires a technical report?
Section 13267[1] of the California Water Code provides that "…the regional board may require that any person who has discharged, discharges, or who is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge waste...that could affect the quality of waters...shall furnish, under penalty of perjury, technical or monitoring program reports which the regional board requires."

This requirement for a technical report seems to mean that I am guilty of something, or at least responsible for cleaning something up. What if that is not so?
The requirement for a technical report is a tool the Regional Water Board uses to investigate water quality issues or problems. The information provided can be used by the Regional Water Board to clarify whether a given party has responsibility.

Are there limits to what the Regional Water Board can ask for?
Yes. The information required must relate to an actual or suspected or proposed discharge of waste (including discharges of waste where the initial discharge occurred many years ago), and the burden of compliance must bear a reasonable relationship to the need for the report and the benefits obtained. The Regional Water Board is required to explain the reasons for its request.

What if I can provide the information, but not by the date specified?
A time extension may be given for good cause. Your request should be promptly submitted in writing, giving reasons.

Are there penalties if I don't comply?
Depending on the situation, the Regional Water Board can impose a fine of up to $5,000 per day, and a court can impose fines of up to $25,000 per day as well as criminal penalties. A person who submits false information or fails to comply with a requirement to submit a technical report may be found guilty of a misdemeanor. For some reports, submission of false information may be a felony.

Do I have to use a consultant or attorney to comply?
There is no legal requirement for this, but as a practical matter, in most cases the specialized nature of the information required makes use of a consultant and/or attorney advisable.

What if I disagree with the 13267 requirements and the Regional Water Board staff will not change the requirement and/or date to comply?
You may ask that the Regional Water Board reconsider the requirement, and/or submit a petition to the State Water Resources Control Board. See California Water Code sections 13320 and 13321 for details. A request for reconsideration to the Regional Water Board does not affect the 30-day deadline within which to file a petition to the State Water Resources Control Board.

If I have more questions, whom do I ask?
Requirements for technical reports include the name, telephone number, and email address of the Regional Water Board staff contact.

Revised March 2012

[1] All code sections referenced herein can be found by going to www.leginfo.ca.gov.