From: Williams, Jonathan
To: McDonnell, Kimberlee
Subject: FW: Additional Questions
Date: Monday, November 23, 2015 5:27:58 PM

From: Kelly Wright [mailto:kwright@sbtribes.com]
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 9:06 AM
To: Williams, Jonathan <Williams.Jonathan@epa.gov>; greutert_ed@bah.com
Cc: susanh@ida.net
Subject: Additional Questions

Jonathan and Ed, here are some additional questions for the redevelopment parcel from the Tribes.

General Comment: This redevelopment activity will be impacting tribal resources and need to have the Tribes involvement to ensure that accidents, leaks or spills are not creating additional impacts to human health or the environment. Access to this proposal will be gained by passing through the Reservation. A full list of chemicals and/or hazardous substances/materials being brought into this facility must be shared with the tribes.
Any redevelopment activities occurring within the reservation boundaries will require compliance with tribal rules and regulations including roads.
Tribes do not have to have an EPA representative be present to access properties when the State of Idaho. This requirement is not warranted regardless of jurisdictional disputes.

Under the Gas monitoring section (Question #3) – add Tunnel could be considered as a source of radon exposure so this gas needs to be included in the gas monitoring plan.

Under Utilities Question #4 – add What is the influent line? Where is the effluent line going to be placed as well?

Under #5, Include "Are these new chemicals compatible with the existing chemicals already on site?"

Under Question #12 – "Institutional controls set on all of the FMC properties need to be filed with the appropriate agencies including the tribes."

I am looking forward to seeing the others that are forth coming this morning.

Thanks

Kelly