COLSF 1411 V1

Rt 1 Colbert, Wa.
Feb. 17-1989

Jim Nichol
Land & Natural Resources Div.
Wn. D.C.

USEPA SF
1414893

Sir.
I am writing in regard to the Colbert landfill clean up. I am happy that it will finally be cleaned up. However, I have one question about it. I really don't understand why you think its necessary to pipe the water down into the Little Spokane River. If there should be any chance at all of there being any pollution left in the water it could be a disaster.
Kids swim in the River, Wild life, as well as the people, eat the fish from the River. (There are a few trout) And, of course, there are many ducks, geese, merganser, heron, muskrat, etc, in & on the River.
If the water will be as pure after treatment as you say it will, wouldn't it be far less expensive to pipe to it to a section of land close to the landfill and let it evaporate? It shouldn't contaminate the ground water if it's pure, right.
This is what I just don't understand. Why.

you people think you have to put everything into our Rivers? If you have a good reason, I'd certainly like to know what it is.

Sincerely,

(b) (6) [redacted]

Mr. Jim Nicoll,

I'm writing as a concerned citizen of a Whitworth Water District No 2 patron. I believe that the agreement arranged by Spokane County, Wash State D.O.E & the Kay trans, Fairchild A.F.B & others is flawed. the arrangement calls for substandard piped water to the land fill areas land-owners, this may affect my rates as my water dist. may have to cover extra costs to install regulation piping. The agreement also doesn't cover future water problems, due to pollution flow, that landowners may encounter after the dated agreement. A current State law 97.B passed by the voters in Wash. State would better address the private citizens' needs now. In light of the law changes of that the ~~agg~~ agreements

pro-business, not citizens, focus, the present arrangement needs to be corrected. Rather than assume what the agreement has accomplished is adequate, I encourage the Dept. of Justice to properly represent the needs of the citizens vs. cater to big business.

Thank you

(b) (6)