From: Williams, Jonathan
To: Haas, Susan
Subject: FW: [External] Final Shoshone Bannock Tribes comments on June Document
Date: Tuesday, August 19, 2014 5:00:17 PM

Jonathan Williams
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, ECL-111
Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 553-1369
E-mail: williams.jonathan@epa.gov

From: Williams, Jonathan
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2014 4:54 PM
To: 'Greutert, Ed [USA]'
Subject: RE: [External] Final Shoshone Bannock Tribes comments on June Document

I understand. What I was pointing out to Kelly is that EPA is looking for substantive technical comments on the FMC submittals; not a restatement about the Tribes' disagreement with the IRODA.

Jonathan Williams
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, ECL-111
Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 553-1369
E-mail: williams.jonathan@epa.gov

From: Greutert, Ed [USA] [mailto:greutert_ed@bah.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2014 2:39 PM
To: Williams, Jonathan
Subject: FW: [External] Final Shoshone Bannock Tribes comments on June Document

Jonathan-

Kelly is correct, the Tribe has long been opposed to using slag as fill and leaving it on site. It is one of the reasons they did not concur with the IRODA – FYI.

Ed Greutert, P.E.
Sr. Associate

Booz | Allen | Hamilton

Office: 206 652 3014
Mobile: 206 794 7526
greutert_ed@bah.com

From: Kelly Wright [mailto:kwright@sbtribes.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2014 2:36 PM
To: Williams, Jonathan (Williams.Jonathan@epa.gov); Greutert, Ed [USA]
Cc: Susan Hanson; Virginia Monsisco
Subject: [External] Final Shoshone Bannock Tribes comments on June Document

Jonathan, need to clarify some follow up information as to one of your comments that was made during the telephone conversation. Tribes have always stated they have had a problem using slag. This is not the first time it's been brought up. I know you can check with Kevin and he will agree that we brought it up earlier.

I forgot to ask about the FMC septic tank characterization. Has this been done? We talked on 8/7 and I thought you were going to tell them that you were considering it like an injection well and it needed to include even VOCs and Semi-VOC since it could have contained laboratory wastes.

Also I have attached the comments which I feel can be removed based on the July version. If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to call me.
Thanks
Kelly