Message

From: Weekley, Erin [weekley.erin@epa.gov]
Sent: 2/10/2021 7:32:42 PM
To: Ugai, Susan [susan.ugai@nebraska.gov]
CC: Barton, Kasey [Barton.Kasey@epa.gov]; Bednar, Candace [Bednar.Candace@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: human health and ecological benchmarks for seed treatment pesticides

I'm glad you reminded me – the four meetings still on my calendar Monday threw me off! It is a holiday for us as well. Tuesday works fine. I will send a Teams invite and you can forward it. I'll include Tim Creger, but anyone else from ag, please forward for me.

Thanks!
Erin

Erin Weekley
Chemical Branch Chief
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7
11201 Renner Boulevard
Lenexa, Kansas 66219
office (913) 551-7095
work cell (816) 274-1107

From: Ugai, Susan <susan.ugai@nebraska.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 1:22 PM
To: Weekley, Erin <weekley.erin@epa.gov>
Cc: Barton, Kasey <Barton.Kasey@epa.gov>; Bednar, Candace <Bednar.Candace@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: human health and ecological benchmarks for seed treatment pesticides

Erin

I think Tuesday would be best since it would be good to have Jamie included. Also, someone may ask about the benchmarks and how they were derived, so it would be good to have the people who developed the document. I anticipate a large number of folks from our end, including Department of Agriculture and our drinking water people.

Do you want to set up a Teams meeting, and I can forward the invitation, or I can send you a list of those to invite. Alternatively, I can set up a Zoom meeting from here.

Monday is a holiday for us; are you working?

Thanks!
Susan

Susan M. Ugai | **Attorney** | **Nebraska Department of Environment and Energy**
P.O. Box 98922 | Lincoln, NE 68509-8922 | 402-471-3173

From: Weekley, Erin <weekley.erin@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 11:53 AM
To: Ugai, Susan <susan.ugai@nebraska.gov>

Cc: Barton, Kasey <Barton.Kasey@epa.gov>; Bednar, Candace <Bednar.Candace@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: human health and ecological benchmarks for seed treatment pesticides

Certainly. Looks like we could do the following times:

Thursday @ 3
Friday @ 9 or 2 (Jamie would not be available Friday, though)
Monday @ 12 or 3
Tuesday @ 11:30

Do you have a sense of whether questions on benchmarks will be relatively general, or whether folks will want to get into the specifics of the benchmarks and how they were derived? If the latter, we will include the staff who helped develop the document.

_____

Erin Weekley
Chemical Branch Chief
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7
11201 Renner Boulevard
Lenexa, Kansas 66219
office (913) 551-7095
work cell (816) 274-1107

From: Ugai, Susan <susan.ugai@nebraska.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 7:58 AM
To: Weekley, Erin <weekley.erin@epa.gov>
Cc: Barton, Kasey <Barton.Kasey@epa.gov>; Bednar, Candace <Bednar.Candace@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: human health and ecological benchmarks for seed treatment pesticides

Erin – I'm going to share with Tim Creger at Department of Agriculture since he has been on our calls with Mike. We should also include him on any future calls.

Can you send us some possible dates for a joint call with Mike's group? I think we should go ahead and set that up.

Thanks for putting this together for us,
Susan

Susan M. Ugai | **Attorney** | **Nebraska Department of Environment and Energy**
P.O. Box 98922 | Lincoln, NE 68509-8922 | 402-471-3173

From: Weekley, Erin <weekley.erin@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2021 4:39 PM
To: Ugai, Susan <susan.ugai@nebraska.gov>
Cc: Barton, Kasey <Barton.Kasey@epa.gov>; Bednar, Candace <Bednar.Candace@epa.gov>
Subject: human health and ecological benchmarks for seed treatment pesticides

Hi Susan, as requested on our last call, below is information from Mike Beringer's group. We would suggest setting up a call to go through this and any other updates or issues NDEE would like to discuss.

Thanks,
Erin

The attached spreadsheet provides human health and ecological benchmarks for select pesticides registered for seed treatment of field corn. The pesticide active ingredients are categorized by type (nematicide, insecticide, or fungicide), as well as Fungicide Resistance Action Committee (FRAC) or Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC) mode of action classification. The tables indicate whether any sample of groundwater, surface water, wet cake, corn seed, soil, nectar, honey, pollen, and/or other plants collected to date has been analyzed for each active ingredient and whether that active ingredient was detected. To date, it is EPA's understanding that groundwater samples have only been analyzed for thiabendazole, azoxystrobin, clothianidin, and thiamethoxam (as well as glyphosate, which is not registered for seed treatment).

The "Human Health Benchmarks" tab of the attached spreadsheet includes chronic reference dose (cRfD), cancer slope factor (CSF), and chronic or carcinogenic Human Health Benchmarks for Pesticides in Drinking Water (HHBP) values if they are listed in EPA's Office of Pesticides Programs (OPP's) Human Health Benchmarks for Pesticides, last updated in January 2017. HHBPs are levels of certain food use pesticides in drinking water at or below which adverse health effects are not anticipated from lifetime exposures (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-10/documents/hh-benchmarks-factsheet.pdf). They were developed based on EPA's methodology for deriving drinking water health advisories under the Safe Drinking Water Act, which is presented in the following technical supporting document: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-10/documents/hh-benchmarks-techdoc.pdf. HHBPs are not legally enforceable federal standards. Rather, EPA developed HHBPs for informational purposes for use by states, water systems and the public to help understand monitoring data for pesticides that have no drinking water standards or health advisories. For pesticides with both non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic toxicity values, the lower of the chronic HHBP protective of non-cancer health effects or the carcinogenic HHBP based on an excess individual lifetime cancer risk of 1×10^{-4} is provided.

The "Ecological Benchmarks" tab of the worksheet includes acute and chronic aquatic life benchmarks for fish, invertebrates, vascular plants and non-vascular plants based on toxicity values from scientific studies that EPA's Office of Pesticide Program has reviewed and used to estimate risk for pesticides and their degradates. Aquatic life benchmarks are estimates of the concentrations in surface water below which pesticides are not expected to represent a risk of concern for aquatic life. These values are based on the most recent publicly available ecological risk assessments and preliminary problem formulations written in support of pesticide registration (updated in September 2020). In addition, acute (Maximum Concentrations) and chronic (Continuous Concentrations) aquatic life criteria, developed by EPA's Office of Water, are available for chlorpyrifos. The aquatic life benchmarks, as well as the methodology for developing the values, can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-science-and-assessing-pesticide-risks/aquatic-life-benchmarks-and-ecological-risk#aquatic-benchmarks. EPA developed these benchmarks so that state, tribal and local governments can use them to interpret water monitoring data. For screening purposes, the lowest chronic value in the table is recommended.

Ex. 5(AWP), 7(A)

Erin Weekley
Chemical Branch Chief
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7
11201 Renner Boulevard
Lenexa, Kansas 66219
office (913) 551-7095
work cell (816) 274-1107