EXHIBIT 4

SOAH DOCKET NO. 582-09-3064 and
TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2008-1888-UIC
consolidated with
SOAH DOCKET NO. 582-09-6184 and
TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2009-1319-UIC

APPLICATION OF	*	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
URANIUM ENERGY CORP	*	
FOR PERMIT NO. UR03075	*	
AND FOR AQUIFER EXEMPTION	*	OF ADMINISTRATIVE
AND FOR PRODUCTION AREA	*	
AUTHORIZATION UR03075PAA1	*	
IN GOLIAD COUNTY, TEXAS	*	HEARINGS

****

CONTESTED CASE HEARING

MAY 5, 2010

VOLUME 3

****

BE IT REMEMBERED that the above-entitled matter came on for hearing on the 5th day of May, 2010, A.D., between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:52 p.m. at the State Office of Administrative Hearings, 300 West 15th Street, 4th Floor, Austin, Travis County, Texas, before the HONORABLE RICHARD R. WILFONG and the following proceedings were reported by Dana Montgomery, Certified Shorthand Reporter in Travis County and the State of Texas.

per 1,000 gradient reflected across that western portion of the property, but in the opposite direction of this measured data that's in the application.

A. But they were measured at different times.

Q. Oh, I'm sorry. So which condition is representative of what's going on out there? This one or the one you calibrated to?

A. I would argue the one I calibrated to, because this one looks like it's been influenced by something. It doesn't look like a natural gradient, you know,

Q. Okay. But this one is similar to the August one, which also has the gradient from east to west, as well. So now we have two sets of measurements consistent with each other, but inconsistent with your modeling. Correct?

A. So the August one I'm seeing a gradient from BMW-9 across to BMW-20. Is that --

Q. No. If you look at the August measurement, it shows from BMW, what, 7 or 8, there's a high ridge?

A. The heads are higher there, yeah.

Q. In fact, it's the highest on the site. Correct?

A. On the map.

Q. Which represents the highest on the site in the BMW area.

A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And from that high, the water drains to the west as well as to the east, correct, according to that map?
A. According to that map.
Q. And as well as according to Figure 5-3 dated February 2009, the same thing from BMW-7. Correct? Some of it goes to the west, some of it goes to the east. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. But you calibrated it to one set of data that's not in the prefiled, not in the application, that hasn't been provided to anybody that we know of that shows an opposite direction, consistent with your model. Right?
MS. NICHOLS: Objection to characterization of who that data has been provided to in discovery and otherwise. I -- I object to that characterization.
Q. Okay. At least it's not in the application?
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Well, sustain the objection as to the representation. You may continue with your questioning.
Q. At least it's not in the application. Right?
A. That's correct.