PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Jacquelyn Bruns

Print Name JACQUELYN BRUNS

Address 1925 AFFLERBACH

County GOLIAD

Date 1-12-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that ... ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]...."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test ... to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Robin Sherwood

Print Name ROBIN SHERWOOD

Address P.O. Box 39 - Fannin, Tx 77960

County Goliad

Date 1-11-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Martha G. Ward

Print Name Martha G. Ward

Address PO Box 1101, Yorktown 78164

County Goliad

Date 1-11-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Roy Alan Ward

Print Name ROY ALAN WARD

Address P.O. Box 1101

County GOLIAD

Date 1-11-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Virginia Zillig

Print Name VIRGINIA ZILLIG

Address 526 E OAK ST

County Goliad

Date 1-17-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Dorothy Albrecht

Print Name Dorothy Albrecht

Address 1732 Post Oak Road, Goliad, TX 77963

County Goliad

Date Jan. 11, 2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Emmett Albrecht

Print Name Emmett ALBRECHT

Address 1732 Post Oak Road, Goliad, TX 77963

County Goliad

Date 1-11-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Kathy Albrecht

Print Name Kathy Albrecht

Address 4792 Danforth Road

County Goliad

Date 1/13/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Jennifer Anklam

Print Name Jennifer Anklam

Address 5731 State Highway 119

County Goliad

Date 1/6/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)
Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other onstituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Mary Anklam

Print Name MARY ANKLAM

Address 14859 N US Hwy 183

County Goliad YORKTOWN, TX

Date 1-5-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Thomas Anklam

Print Name THOMAS ANKLAM

Address 14859 N. US HWY 183, YORKTOWN, TX.

County GOLIAD

Date 1/5/2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Thomas Anklam Jr.

Print Name THOMAS ANKLAM JR.

Address 5731 ST. HIGHWAY 119

County GOLIAD

Date 1/6/2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Karon Arnold

Print Name Karon Arnold

Address 120 Dobskyville Rd., Yorktown, TX 78164

County Goliad

Date 1-11-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that ... ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]...."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test ... to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature [illegible]

Print Name RAYMOND ARNOLD

Address 120 DOBSLYVILLE RD, YORKTOWN TX 78164

County GOLIAD

Date 11 JAN 11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Barbara Audilet

Print Name Barbara Audilet

Address 13340 S Hwy 183 Yorktown, TX 78164

County DeWitt

Date 1-11-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Doug Audilet

Print Name Douglas Audilet

Address 13340 S. Hwy 183 Yorktown, Tex

County DeWitt

Date 1-11-11

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Aldon J. Bade

Print Name Aldon J. Bade

Address 147 W. Fm 1961 Yorktown, Tx 78164

County Goliad

Date 1-10-11

Signature on Back

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…"

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that ... ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]...."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test ... to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Clarence E. Baker

Print Name CLARENCE E. LEROY BAKER

Address 5549 FM 884 TX 78164

County Goliad TEXAS

Date 11 JAN 11

Margie Smith

From: "carolyn wells" <crlyn_wells@yahoo.com>
To: "Margie Smith" <wmsmith@dishmail.net>
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 10:05 AM
Subject: Petition

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Glenda Bodenhamer

Print Name Glenda Bodenhamer

Address 208 Weea st Ke TX 77993

County Dewitt

Date 1-4-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature David Bowman

Print Name David Bowman

Address 7323 E. Fm 1961, Goliad, TX 77963

County Goliad

Date 3-15-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Dorothy Bowman

Print Name Dorothy Bowman

Address 7323 E. FM 1961, Goliad TX 77963

County Goliad

Date 3-15-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature G Brown

Print Name GENE BROWN

Address 468 FM 1961 YORKTOWN TX 78164

County GOLIAD

Date 12-30-2010

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Reta F. Brown

Print Name Reta F Brown

Address 468 FM 1961

County Goliad

Date 12-30-10

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Cathy Bru...

Print Name Cathy Brunicardi

Address P.O. Box 300, Weesatche, TX 77993

County Goliad

Date 1/12/2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Dennis Bruns

Print Name DENNIE BRUNS

Address 1925 AFFLERBACH RD YORKTOWN, TX 78164

County GOLIAD

Date 1-11-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Ginger Cook

Print Name Ginger Cook

Address 3933 Kilgore Rd, Goliad TX 77963

County Goliad

Date 1-1-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Lynn Cook

Print Name Lynn Cook

Address 3933 Kilgore Rd, Goliad TX 77963

County Goliad

Date 1-3-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Raymond Decker

Print Name Raymond Decker

Address P.O. Box 300, Weesatche, TX 77993

County Goliad

Date 1/12/2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Billy L. Dornburg

Print Name Billy L. Dornburg

Address 13613 U.S. Hwy. 183 N Goliad, Tx 77963

County Goliad Co

Date 1/16/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Linda S. Dornburg

Print Name Linda S. Dornburg

Address 13613 US Hwy 183 N

County Goliad, TX

Date 1/16/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature _____

Print Name Jennifer Drozd

Address Po Box 252 Weesatche, Tx. 77993

County Goliad

Date 01-11-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature _____

Print Name Karry Drozd

Address Po Box 252 Weesatche, Tx. 77993

County Goliad

Date 01-11-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Diana Ford

Print Name DIANA FORD

Address 5287 FM 884

County DE WITT

Date 1/13/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Don Fond

Print Name Don Fond

Address 5287 FM 884

County DeWitt Co

Date 1-13-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Debbie Foster

Print Name Debbie Foster

Address 1099 Duderstadt Rd #2 Yorktown TX 78164

County Goliad

Date 1-11-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Jamie Friedrichs

Print Name Jamie Friedrichs

Address 642 Lemke Rd, Goliad Tx 77963

County Goliad

Date 1/16/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature _____

Print Name RICHARD B GILL

Address 917 COLAKE DRIVE

County VICTORIA TX

Date 1/8/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Ruth B. Gill

Print Name Ruth B Gill

Address 917 Colake

County Victoria

Date Jan. 4, 2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Garland Gloor

Print Name GARLAND GLOOR

Address 2484 FM 622 Goliad, Tx. 77963

County Goliad County

Date 1-14-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Sherry Gloor

Print Name Sherry Gloor

Address 2484 FM 622, Goliad, TX 77963

County Goliad

Date 1-14-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Eva Nell Griffith

Print Name Eva Nell Griffith

Address 4335 Danforth Rd., Goliad, TX 77963

County Goliad

Date 1-16-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Gerald Griffith

Print Name GERALD GRIFFITH

Address 4335 DANFORTH RD

County GOLIAD, TX

Date 1/16/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned Landowner **resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.**

Signature Ernest P Hausman

Print Name ERNEST P HAUSMAN

Address 103 OXFORD DR SAN ANTONIO TX 78213

County BEXAR

Date JAN 10 2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned son of Landowner **resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.**

Signature Paul Hausman

Print Name PAUL J HAUSMAN

Address 3723 TICONDEROGA SAN ANTONIO TX 78230

County BEXAR

Date JAN 10 2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)
Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature [illegible]

Print Name Tracy Headstream Jr

Address 5731 St. Highway 119

County Goliad

Date 1-6-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Lanny Hollingshead

Print Name Lanny Hollingshead

Address 917 Colake Dr

County Victoria

Date 1-7-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Marie Hollingshead

Print Name MARIE HollingSHEAD

Address 917 Colake

County VICTORIA

Date 1-6-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Doug Huff

Print Name DOUG HUFF

Address P.O. BOX 112 WEESATCHE, TX 77993

County GOLIAD

Date 1-11-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Tana Huff

Print Name Tana Huff

Address P.O. Box 112 Weesatche, TX 77993

County Goliad

Date 1/11/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Linda Koehler

Print Name Linda Koehler

Address 3595 Bego Road Goliad, Tx 77963

County Goliad

Date 1-15-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Randell L. Koehler

Print Name Randell L. Koehler

Address 3595 Bego Road, Goliad, TX 77963

County Goliad

Date 1-15-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature _____

Print Name Jimmy W. Lenke

Address 1029 FM 1351

County Goliad

Date 1-12-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Vernell J. Lemke

Print Name Vernell J. Lemke

Address 1029 FM 1351

County Goliad

Date 1-12-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Barry Karnei

Print Name Barry Karnei

Address PO Box 231, Weesatche, TX 77993

County Goliad

Date Jan. 13, 2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Glenn Karnei

Print Name Glenn Karnei

Address 4560 Danforth Rd.

County Goliad, Tx.

Date 1-16-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Nadine Karnei

Print Name Nadine Karnei

Address PO Box 231, Weesatche, TX 77993

County Goliad

Date 1-13-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Rosie Karnei

Print Name ROSIE KARNEI

Address Po Box 174 WEEMche TEX

County Goliad

Date 1-16-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Georgia Anne Irwin

Print Name GEORGIA ANNE IRWIN

Address 3148 W. FM 884, WEESATCHE, TX 77993

County GOLIAD

Date 12/30/10

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Rankie L. Irwin Jr

Print Name Rankie L. Irwin Jr

Address 3148 F.M. 884, Weesatche

County Goliad TX

Date 12-31-10.

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature _____

Print Name Randy Janak

Address 6472 E. FM 1961 Goliad

County Goliad

Date 1/15/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Teresa Janak

Print Name Teresa Janak

Address 6472 E. FM 1961 Goliad

County Goliad

Date 1/15/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Carl E. Jenkins, Jr.

Print Name Carl E. Jenkins, Jr.

Address 580 Wheeler Lane

County Goliad, Texas 77963

Date 1/11/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Donna L Jenkins

Print Name DONNA L JENKINS

Address 580 WHEELER LANE

County Goliad, TEXAS 77963

Date 1/11/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature James W Johnson

Print Name JAMES W JOHNSON

Address PO BOX 905, GOLIAD, TX 77963

County GOLIAD

Date 1/14/2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Kathy Johnson

Print Name Kathy Johnson

Address PO Box 905

County Goliad

Date 1/14/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature _____

Print Name CHRIS LOEST

Address 417 N. FORT ST

County GOLIAD TX

Date 1-14-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Patrick Lovett

Print Name Patrick Lovett

Address 5770 Danforth Rd.

County Goliad TEXAS 77963

Date 1/16/2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature P. E. McCollum

Print Name PATRICK E. McCOLLUM

Address POB 1205 GOLIAD TX 77963

County GOLIAD

Date 1/14/2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County; (copies attached of ag census)

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate; (summary copy attached)

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature _____

Print Name H. Scot McGowan

Address PO Box 263, 120 FM 884 E Weesatche, TX 77993

County Goliad

Date 1/13/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Ricki McKinney

Print Name Ricki McKinney

Address 107 Tampa

County Goliad

Date 12/29/10

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Mary Ann Overlander

Print Name Mary Ann Overlander

Address 3744 old Goliad Rd

County Goliad

Date 1-11-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Mary Pargmann

Print Name MARY PARGMANN

Address 2550 Pargmann RD. YOAKUM, TX 77995

County DEWITT

Date 1-15-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature [illegible]

Print Name Michael Hartmann

Address 250 Hartmann Rd

County DeWitt Co, Yoakum TX 77995

Date 01-15-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Melvin Roessler

Print Name Melvin Roessler

Address 56 Kathy St. - Victoria, TX 77905

County Victoria & Goliad

Date 1-14-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature La Fern Roessler

Print Name LA FERN ROESSLER

Address 56 KATHY ST, VICTORIA TX 77905-3641

County VICTORIA, (Residence) GOLIAD (LANDOWNER)

Date 01-14-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Leighann Salge

Print Name Leigh Ann Salge

Address 903 McGuill Rd

County Goliad

Date 1/11/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature _____

Print Name Kristen Sihley

Address 240 E FM 1961 Goliad, TX 77963

County Goliad

Date 1/18/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature _____

Print Name Travis S Ashley

Address 240 E FM1961

County Goliad

Date 1-12-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Mattie D. Schulze

Print Name Mattie D. Schulze

Address 2281 W. Ward St

County Goliad

Date 1-11-11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County; (copies attached of ag census)

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate; (summary copy attached)

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Deborah L. Scott

Print Name Deborah L. Scott

Address PO Box 263, 120 FM 883 East, Weesatche TX 77993

County Goliad

Date 1/13/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature [signature]

Print Name Joshua B. Secord

Address 445 Dueckstadt LN.

County Goliad

Date 1-11-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Randy Shepler

Print Name RANDY SHEPLER

Address P.O. BOX 52, GOLIAD TX 77963

County Goliad

Date 1-11-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Susan Shepler

Print Name SUSAN SHEPLER

Address P.O. BOX 52 - GOLIAD TX 77963

County GOLIAD

Date 1-11-11

Margie Smith

From: "carolyn wells" <crlyn_wells@yahoo.com>
To: "Margie Smith" <wmsmith@dishmail.net>
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 10:05 AM
Subject: Petition

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Edward E Simmons

Print Name EDWARD E SIMMONS

Address 44 W FM 884 ~~Yoakum, Tx 77995~~ Weesatche, Tx 77993

County ~~DeWitt~~ Goliad

Date 1-4-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County; (copies attached of ag census)

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate; (summary copy attached)

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Barbara Smith

Print Name Barbara Smith

Address 376 Goliad N Goliad, TX 77963

County Goliad

Date 1-13-11

Margie Smith

From: "carolyn wells" <crlyn_wells@yahoo.com>
To: "Margie Smith" <wmsmith@dishmail.net>
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 10:05 AM
Subject: Petition

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Margie Smith

Print Name Margie Smith

Address 4 Los Robles Rd, Yorktown, TX 78164

County DeWitt

Date 1-4-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Jurnell Suggs

Print Name Jurnell Suggs

Address 1483 E 884 Yorktown Tx 78164

County Goliad

Date 1-14-2011

Margie Smith

From: "carolyn wells" <crlyn_wells@yahoo.com>
To: "Margie Smith" <wmsmith@dishmail.net>
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 10:05 AM
Subject: Petition

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Dorothy P. Trevilion

Print Name Dorothy P. Trevilion

Address 1048 Yorktown Slickfield Rd
Yorktown, TX 78164

County Dewitt

Date 1-4-2011

Margie Smith

From: "carolyn wells" <crlyn_wells@yahoo.com>
To: "Margie Smith" <wmsmith@dishmail.net>
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 10:05 AM
Subject: Petition

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Tom Trevillion

Print Name TOM TREVILION

Address BOX 1214 YORKTOWN, TX 78164

County DeWitt

Date 1/4/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Deanna L. Wacker

Print Name DEANNA L. WACKER

Address 14682 N. US 183

County Goliad

Date 1-16-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Gary Wacker

Print Name GARY WACKER

Address 14682 N. US Hwy 183

County Goliad

Date 1-16-2011

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Jill Wiggins

Print Name JILL WIGGINS

Address 5391 DANFORTH RD.

County GOLIAD

Date 1/16/11

PETITION

To: The Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas, Texas

Whereas, agricultural production and heritage tourism are the primary economic engines of Goliad county;

Whereas, *livestock production and wildlife resources* account for 89 percent of total agricultural sales in Goliad County;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is part of an underground source of drinking water aquifer;

Whereas, the proposed aquifer exemption is a source of drinking water used for domestic purposes by citizens of Goliad County;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that the use and installation of injection wells are not in the public interest;

Whereas, the Office of the Public Interest Council stated in their closing arguments that Uranium Energy Corp. failed to meet its burden of proof in both permit applications (UR03075 & UR03075PAA1), and therefore, both applications must be denied;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) concluded that "[b]efore the [TCEQ] may authorize a mining operation by injection of pollutants into an aquifer, the law requires that the [TCEQ] review all of the information available to ensure that … ground and surface fresh water can be adequately protected from pollution." (Tex. Water Code § 27.051(a)(3);

Whereas, the ALJ concluded the permits cannot be issued because evidence indicated "the application may not be sufficiently protective of groundwater."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that mining fluids may migrate vertically and horizontally and may contaminate a Underground Source of Drinking Water [USDW]…."

Whereas, the ALJ concluded "that USDWs within Goliad County and outside the proposed aquifer exemption area may be adversely impacted by UEC's proposed in situ uranium activities."

Whereas, "the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be remanded for UEC to conduct a Northwest Fault pump test … to determine whether the Northwest Fault is sealed or transmissive" and "if the Commission determines that such remand is not feasible or desirable then the ALJ recommends that the Mine Application and the PAA-1 Application be denied"; (Proposal for Decision at 138)

Whereas, UEC's expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife never addressed the consequences should an accident, mechanical failure or spill occur;

Whereas, UEC expert witness regarding negative impacts on livestock and wildlife testified that consumption of uranium by cattle can affect kidneys and lead to effects on other organs.

Whereas, expert witness for Goliad County offered unchallenged testimony that based on review of the TCEQ records, the TCEQ has **never** denied an amendment to allow higher concentrations of uranium and other constituents to remain in the groundwater post mining than existed prior to mining;

Whereas, expert witness for the Executive Director ("ED") testified that all monitoring of groundwater ceases once an amendment is granted by TCEQ to allow higher concentrations of constituents;

Whereas, USGS report offered at hearing documented that "no well field for which final sample results were found in TCEQ records returned every element to baseline";

Whereas, the ED's expert witness stated he only considered the positive aspects of uranium mining provided by the Applicant and not any negative aspects in his review of the public interest. (Volume 6, page 1234 testimony of David Murry)

Whereas, The Sunset Commission Review of TCEQ summarized that TCEQ's approach to regulation had a significant implementation problem, further stating that TCEQ had ample statutory leeway to regulate;

THEREFORE; I, the undersigned resident of Goliad County, Texas or adjacent county urge the EPA to oppose granting an Aquifer Exemption Permit in conjunction with Uranium Energy Corp.'s (UEC) Class III Underground Injection Control Permit Application UR03075.

Signature Terrell Wiggins

Print Name TERRELL WIGGINS

Address 5391 DANforth RD.

County GOLIAD, TX

Date 1-16-11