From: Leinenbach, Peter
To: Henning, Alan; Kubo, Teresa
Subject: FW: possible RipStream presentation for today
Date: Monday, May 05, 2014 8:15:41 AM
Attachments: [redacted]

Look at the last figure in the powerpoint! I think it shows that the harvest was way less than the prescriptions in FPA – and they still saw an increase in stream temperature!

From: GROOM Jeremy [mailto:jeremy.groom@state.or.us]
Sent: Monday, May 05, 2014 8:11 AM
To: Henning, Alan; jeffrey.lockwood@noaa.gov; SEEDS Joshua; Kubo, Teresa; Leinenbach, Peter
Subject: possible RipStream presentation for today

Greetings,

Attached is a presentation we may use some or all of today.

See you soon,

Jeremy

Jeremy Groom
Monitoring Coordinator
Private Forests Division
Oregon Department of Forestry
2600 State St.
Salem, OR 97310-0340
503-945-7394



RipStream methods update to EPA, NOAA and DEQ

5 May, 2014

Developments

- Where we were in January
  - Deadlines
  - Communication
  - Developments
    - Methods
    - Ancillary review
      - Mark Teply – Cramer Scientific
      - Weyerhaeuser statisticians

To-do list

1) Model leave-one-out cross-validation – **1.8.3**, **Figure 14**
2) Priors tested in main model – **1.8.1**
3) 40 day vs. 7DMM – **Appendix 1**
4) How did harvests compare?? – **2.2.4**
5) Specific prescriptions – *Awaiting finalization of methods*
6) Write up methods – **First draft complete**

Other changes

- FPA harvest reviewed, some changes
- FMP harvest review in progress
- Literature review in progress
- Timeframe less rushed

Focus items

- Multiple models
- Shade curvature
- State vs. private
- Total distances
- Literature review


Predicted vs. Observed Temperature
Predicted Temperature Change, C
Observed Temperature Change, C
-1
0
1
2
-1
0
1
2


Distance and Shade
Percent Shade
0.5
0.6
0.7
0.8
0.9
40
60
80
100
120
140
160
MeanMaxDist
See Fig. 7 in Methods


Distance & Basal Area
Total basal area to 170', per 1000'
1000
800
600
400
200
40
60
80
100
120
140
160
MeanMaxDist
See Fig. 7 in Methods



No:
stream gradient
% hardwood
Tree height

Bayesian Assumption checks

- Model converged
  - **Yes (?)**
- Priors appropriate (means, distribution, precision)
  - **Uninformed means, relaxed precision, checked distributions**
- Model not overfit
  - **Leave-one-out cross-validation**



Figure 14 in Methods

7DAYMAX vs. 40-day max





Appendix 1

7DAYMAX exceedance locations





Appendix 1



Figure 18, methods

Model Limitations

- Within 100’ of the stream, no information on tree distribution (assumes hard-edged clearcut)
- Sites not randomly selected; representative?
- Built around highly shaded streams (80% +). May not be applicable for less shaded streams.

EPA-6822_001921