To: 'Suplee, Mike'[msuplee@mt.gov]; 'Mathieus, George'[gemathieus@mt.gov]
From: Laidlaw, Tina
Sent: Wed 3/19/2014 9:28:24 PM
Subject: National Nutrient Picture -- comments in the email.

;;

The National Picture

a. Phosphorus: 4 states with statewide criteria (HI, WI, FL, NJ) 10 with site-specific criteria

My count was 7 states with statewide criteria - (HI, WI, FL, NJ) plus Minnesota, RI, WV, Wisconsin. If you count CO, it's a total of 8. Lakes/ streams combined. No estuaries.

Plus 4 territories.

For site-specific criteria, I had 15 states with Site-specific TP criteria (combining lakes/ streams; excluding estuarine criteria. States include: VT, MA, SC, AZ,CA,GA, IL, MO, NE,NV, NM, OK, OR, VA, and MT (Clark fork)

b. Nitrogen: 3 states with statewide criteria (HI, FL, VT), 6 with site-specific criteria

My count was the same. Plus 4 territories. For Site-specific criteria, I also had a total of 6 states -- AZ,CA,GA,MO,NE,NV.

c. Criteria established by the other states similar in concentration to the criteria being proposed for Montana

d. EPA continues to expect states to pursue nutrient abatement policies and regulations, including numeric nutrient criteria

George, in terms of upcoming state work on nutrient criteria, here is what I'm aware of:

Ohio is adopting some kind of nutrient indicator

Utah plans to adopt TN/TP criteria for Headwaters streams, hopefully initiating rulemaking in late 2014/ early 2015

I think New Hampshire and New York are also working on adopting nutrient criteria. Our EPA national website indicates that a number of states (MA, VT, PA, AL, DE) also hope to adopt criteria for possibly both lakes / streams for TN and TP in the next few years.

Also, the link to EPA's 2014 guidance on use of the narrative for nutrients can be found at: http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/lawsguidance/cwa/tmdl/2014-memo.cfm#identifying

Hope this helps.

Tina