Steve Holt
Frank Hale
Ken Miller
Steve Holt

NL Meeting re: Installation of Additional Monitoring Well(s)

5/14/87
BB

- surface water runoff? — May 5, 1987 - got surface H_2O sample
- predominant GW flow direction?
  - one well downgradient
  - one well near 108
  - one deep well is covered under Workplan

April 15 - May 5 - force majeur period, pending GW discussion

- see handout - gives screened interval, + GW elevations for different sampling rounds for each well

- mounding @ 1075 - may be because fire hose lines breaks in bldg. next to 1075
  - considered to be a very localized thing

problem: all deep wells are in a row - hard to triangulate

proposal: put in deep well w/ 101 (nested pair)

- we said - need to go further E than 101 + may want to put another deep well downgrad. of pile (near 103)

sampling
1 = slag
2 = upper strata
3 = SLLR pile
4 = wells
5 = offsite soils
6 = drums

EPA Region 5 Records Ctr.
257764

ISGS - may be able to get a level meas. from an existing off-site well
- check w/ ISGS for info on wells in area - would have to be screened @ same ~~elevation~~ interval as on-site deep wells

- air/GW pathways will need different remedial approach

- consistency of RA is an issue if want to break out different pathways (i.e. GW) as operable unit or shift to RD/RA

⇒ need to raise relevant issues to mgmt. + get back to them in one week (5/21/87) decision on options + cover delays
⇒ get copy of IEPA air data - may be in Holt's letter to Rittenhouse

5/28/87 - QAPP package will be mailed out - raw data + all QA/QC info
- data by ~ 5/22

⇒ need to cover delay time up to this pt.
- talk to Grimes ↳ revised timeframe

5/14/86
Discussion w/ Russ
- go w/ the wells due to weakness of air argument (since air mon. data shows compliance w/ Pb NAAQS) - GW data will aid in effecting remedy