October 5, 1987

I.1
10/5/87
159442

Subject: Sauget Sites – Technical Review of Documents Work Assignment Plan, September 1987

From: Glenn Wittman, Office of Ground Water

To: Dave Favero, CERCLA Enforcement

I have reviewed the subject WA Plan and have the following comments.

- page 2, 2ND paragraph: It is stated that the report which will evaluate the conclusions and recommendations presented in the G&M site reports will be prepared "using the expertise of a staff geohydrologist. I strongly believe that an experienced, senior-level hydrogeologist should evaluate ~~the~~ and summarize the G&M data and conclusions. This may seem like I am

nit-picking, but a "staff geohydrologist" could mean a bachelor's-level, relatively inexperienced/unqualified staff person.

Are you confident that Jacobs ~~have~~ has the personnel to do a good job on this? (I don't know their capabilities in ground water assessment.)

- Section 3.0 - Deliverables:

  Can Jacobs provide a "well-done" Ground Water Site Report (albeit draft) by November 13? This seems like a pretty short time frame (from October 16 project plan submittal to November 13 for the report.)

- I know you have a good handle on this project from your past experience and continued involvement, Dave. Jerri Carl and I, however, would like to have some effective input and the opportunity to review

the draft Ground Water Site Report to assure that Jacobs has adequately/clearly evaluated, summarized, and presented the ground water information (especially from a ground water protection stand point).

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at 6-1503.

cc: J. Garl
A. Weinert
D. Bryson