157051

Larry Bunbicka

F. Moyse felt this was high if based on Fine pump operation i.e. we are now operating two. You need to get with him and resolve

Jeff 9/11

WGK 4084590

9/10/85
L.V.B.

Jeff:

The $1.5M estimate was the "low" side of our range of cost for groundwater. We understand the need to minimize as much as possible the amount pumped in 1986 to keep the sewer job on schedule.

We are doing two things to help accomplish this:

1) We are throttling to a minimum the amount of water from the pumps that need to run.

2) We are metering the pump discharge to help determine the exact amount needed to be pumped as water table levels.

Also we are continuing our efforts to expedite the job to complete as much work as possible before May 1, 1986.

(CONT.)

WGK 4084591

EPA/CERRO COPPER/EIL/PCB ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT / ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

With these positive steps, I feel we would be accurate in saying the $1.5M is on the high side.

Larry.

WGK 4084592

Sorry Brumbicker,

There is $1.5m in the budget.
Can we reduce at all
with what we know today.

JHB 9/6/85

WGK 4084593

EPA/CERRO COPPER/EIL/PCB ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT / ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

9/5

~~Warren~~

~~We still need to hit the pumping cost for next year budget.~~

→ Jackie

9/6/85

Per Mc Queeny CED is still studying the problem but has no reduction of dewatering flow in hand. Suggest we proceed with current number. If CED comes up with a viable option we can either adjust the budget later or use it as a budget upside. (These latter items look scarce for 1986.)

[signature]

cc Mc Queeny.

WGK 4084594