6.) Tasks were discussed generally for the Phase II-RI. Much of the tasks are contingent on any recommendations made resulting from the RI report.

7.) I gave Weston my comments on the four tech memos that Dave provided. Revisions may require several weeks to complete but most of the work (writing) is complete. Compiling, editing and revision are remaining duties.

8.) 2 suggested tasks for Phase II RI are.
- Limited literature or data search into what is considered a "normal" or ambient soil contamination level expected at landfills.
2 reasons this research would come in handy are: a.) Provides a rationale as to why we are not addressing all slightly contaminated soil areas. If there are ambient soil contamination levels at non-hazardous landfills, we can make the statement that i.) Superfund program is not responsible for cleaning up typical landfills and ii.) if there are ambient (typical) levels, investigations to identify all soils contaminated over a SC area site is not feasible.
- Soil gas sampling in the central shoulder area (if applicable) to provide better coverage of area.

9.) Revisions to Phase II-RI (as was previously discussed in 10/31/86 meeting) were discussed. These included:

a) Soil borings in the Central Shoulder area near suspected buried drum locations

b.) 2 or more add'l wells downgradient of the buried lagoon location

c) Surface drums sampling, including recent found drums in the "Clearing" location and possibly the "S.L." drums.

d.) add'l sediment samples in the far NW pond for PCBs.

e) Sediment & surface water samples at location 12.

f.) tasks discussed in pt. 8.

g.) any add'l tasks resulting from recommendations during the refinement of the RI report.

10.) Weston will prepare a memo to address revisions to the Phase II study when the RI report is completed.

11.) Mike & myself will investigate the mechanism necessary (red tape) to revise the work plan. Presumably, the changes will not increase the cost above the present request because some tasks will be dropped.

12.) The Central Shoulder area may present the most problems in that if soil borings show up clean, can we than assume that the metallic buried containers are not drums? Soil gas may give us better

areal coverage. If soil gas & soil borings indicate no release, future O&M (assuming there is a R.A.) must check for possible releases from that area (especially). No release (no contamination) would not give us justification to do further study (such as exploratory excavation). If a release is detected in either the soil gas or soil borings, two things could occur:

a.) add'l investigation by tests pits or soil borings (Phase III - RI)

b) or limited investigation and the bulk of the work to locate all buried drums would be performed early on in the RD/RA phases.

13.) The final RI report will basically meld the more scientific tech memos into RI report sections using more readable (layman) language.

14.) Questions to be answered in the coming weeks are:

- need to amend access agreement if soil gas used?
- what is mechanism for W.P. tasks revision?
- what is schedule & new $ est?
- Is soil gas appropriate & possible for Central Vandalia?
- what are our rights to sample drums in situations such as the "silo" drums?

Note: Tech Memo comments are attached.